	AGENDA	Steven R. Jones
		Mayor
	Garden Grove City	Phat Bui
	Council	Mayor Pro Tem - District 4
		Kris Beard
	Tuesday, September 26,	Council Member - District 1
	2017	John R. O'Neill
		Council Member - District 2
	6:30 PM	Thu-Ha Nguyen
GARDEN GROVE		Council Member - District 3
	Community Meeting	Stephanie Klopfenstein
	Center, 11300 Stanford	Council Member - District 5
	Avenue, Garden Grove,	Kim B. Nguyen
	CA 92840	Council Member - District 6
	CA 92840	Council Member - District 6

<u>Meeting Assistance</u>: Any person requiring auxiliary aids and services, due to a disability, to address the City Council, should contact the City Clerk's Office 72 hours prior to the meeting to arrange for accommodations. Phone: (714) 741-5040.

<u>Agenda Item Descriptions</u>: Are intended to give a brief, general description of the item. The City Council may take legislative action deemed appropriate with respect to the item and is not limited to the recommended action indicated in staff reports or the agenda.

Documents/Writings: Any revised or additional documents/writings related to an item on the agenda distributed to all or a majority of the Council Members within 72 hours of a meeting, are made available for public inspection at the same time (1) in the City Clerk's Office at 11222 Acacia Parkway, Garden Grove, CA 92840, during normal business hours; (2) on the City's website as an attachment to the City Council meeting agenda; and (3) at the Council Chamber at the time of the meeting.

Public Comments: Members of the public desiring to address the City Council are requested to complete a **pink speaker card** indicating their name and address, and identifying the subject matter they wish to address. This card should be given to the City Clerk prior to the start of the meeting. General comments are made during "Oral Communications" and should be limited to matters under consideration and/or what the City Council has jurisdiction over. Persons wishing to address the City Council regarding a Public Hearing matter will be called to the podium at the time the matter is being considered.

Manner of Addressing the City Council: After being called by the Mayor, you may approach the podium, it is requested that you state your name for the record, and proceed to address the City Council. All remarks and questions should be addressed to the City Council as a whole and not to individual Council Members or staff members. Any person making impertinent, slanderous, or profane remarks or who becomes boisterous while addressing the City Council shall be called to order by the Mayor. If such conduct continues, the Mayor may order the person barred from addressing the City Council any further during that meeting.

<u>Time Limitation</u>: Speakers must limit remarks for a total of (5) five minutes. When any group of persons wishes to address the City Council on the same subject matter, the Mayor may request a

spokesperson be chosen to represent the group, so as to avoid unnecessary repetition. At the City Council's discretion, a limit on the total amount of time for public comments during Oral Communications and/or a further limit on the time allotted to each speaker during Oral Communications may be set.

PLEASE SILENCE YOUR CELL PHONES DURING THE MEETING.

AGENDA

ROLL CALL: COUNCIL MEMBER BEARD, COUNCIL MEMBER O'NEILL, COUNCIL MEMBER T.NGUYEN, COUNCIL MEMBER KLOPFENSTEIN, COUNCIL MEMBER K.NGUYEN, MAYOR PRO TEM BUI, MAYOR JONES

INVOCATION

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE TO THE FLAG OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

1. PRESENTATIONS

- 1.a. Community Spotlight: In recognition of Pacifica High School's Dance Team for their national title at the 2017 USA Nationals Competition.
- 1.b. Community Spotlight: In recognition of Kim Huy, Community Services Director, for her 35-year career with the City of Garden Grove.
- 1.c. Presentation on the Hazard Avenue Bikeway Improvement Project and a Go Human Pop-Up Demonstration Project as provided by the County of Orange and the Southern California Association of Governments.
- 2. <u>ORAL COMMUNICATIONS (to be held simultaneously with other</u> legislative bodies)

<u>RECESS</u>

CONDUCT OTHER LEGISLATIVE BODIES' BUSINESS

<u>RECONVENE</u>

3. <u>CONSENT ITEMS</u>

(Consent Items will be acted on simultaneously with one motion unless separate discussion and/or action is requested by a Council Member.)

- 3.a. Adoption of a Resolution commending Kim Huy, Community Services Director, for her 35 years of service to the City of Garden Grove. (*Action Item*)
- 3.b. Adoption of a Proclamation celebrating the 2017 Mid-Autumn Children's Festival Day. (*Action Item*)

- 3.c. Adoption of a Proclamation declaring October 20-22, 2017, International Festival of Orange County. (*Action Item*)
- 3.d. Adoption of a Resolution authorizing application submittals for the Department of Resources Recycling and Recovery (CalRecycle) Rubberized Pavement Grant Program. (*Action Item*)
- 3.e. Approval of a Memorandum of Understanding with the City of Santa Ana related to the Willowick Golf Course located at 3017 W. 5th Street, Santa Ana. (*Action Item*)
- 3.f. Approval of expenses for City Council travel to Denver, Colorado. (Cost: \$3,000) (*Action Item*)
- 3.g. Authorize the issuance of a purchase order to National Auto Fleet Group for one (1) new police administration utility vehicle. (Cost: \$30,667.94) (*Action Item*)
- 3.h. Receive and file minutes from the meeting held on September 12, 2017. (*Action Item*)
- 3.i. Approval of warrants. (Action Item)
- 3.j. Approval to waive full reading of Ordinances listed. (*Action Item*)

4. <u>PUBLIC HEARINGS</u>

(Motion to approve will include adoption of each Resolution unless otherwise stated.)

- 4.a. Adoption of a Resolution approving the issuance of Bonds by the California Municipal Finance Authority as conduit financing for Caritas Corporation, Caritas Acquisitions I, LLC and Caritas Silver Lantern, LLC. (*Action Item*)
- 4.b. Acceptance of Fiscal Year 2016/17 Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER). (*Action Item*)

5. <u>ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION</u>

5.a. Introduction and first reading of an Ordinance renaming the Main Street Commission to Downtown Commission and discussion of Board organization as requested by the City Council

Ordinance Entitled:

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF GARDEN GROVE REVISING CHAPTER 2.32 OF TITLE 2 OF THE GARDEN GROVE MUNICIPAL CODE RENAMING THE MAIN STREET COMMISSION TO DOWNTOWN COMMISSION. (Action Item)

6. ORDINANCES PRESENTED FOR SECOND READING AND ADOPTION

6.a. Ordinance No. 2886 presented for second reading and adoption Entitled: AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF GARDEN GROVE APPROVING AMENDMENT NO. A-020-2017 AMENDING AND RESTATING PORTIONS OF TITLE 9 (ZONING CODE) OF THE GARDEN GROVE MUNICIPAL CODE REGARDING LANDSCAPE WATER EFFICIENCY REQUIREMENTS. (Action Item)

7. <u>MATTERS FROM THE MAYOR, CITY COUNCIL MEMBERS, AND CITY</u> <u>MANAGER</u>

7.a. Discussion on seeking a Sister City Association within the country of Mexico as requested by Council Member Kim Nguyen.

8. ADJOURNMENT

The next Regular City Council meeting will be held on Tuesday, October 10, 2017, at 5:30 p.m. at the Community Meeting Center, 11300 Stanford Avenue, Garden Grove, California.

INTER-DEPARTMENT MEMORANDUM

To:	Scott C. Stiles	From:	Community Relations
Dept.:	City Manager	Dept.:	Community Services
Subject:	Adoption of a Resolution commending Kim Huy, Community Services Director, for her 35 years of service to the City of Garden Grove. (<i>Action Item</i>)	Date:	9/26/2017

Attached is a Resolution recommended for adoption commending Kim Huy, Community Services Director, on the occasion of her retirement after 35 years of service to the City of Garden Grove.

ATTACHMENTS:			
Description	Upload Date	Туре	File Name
Resolution	9/19/2017	Resolution Letter	9-26- 17_Resolution_of_Commendation_Kim_Huy.pdf

Resolution of Commendation

Community Services Director Kim Huy

- WHEREAS, Kim began her 35-year career with the City of Garden Grove on March 2, 1982 as an Administrative Intern in the Public Works Department; and
- WHEREAS, She became a full-time Neighborhood Environmental Specialist in 1984, and in 1986 became a Code Enforcement Officer. Kim then moved up to Administrative Analyst in 1987; and
- WHEREAS, From 1991 to 2000, Kim served in several capacities within the Economic Development Department, ranging from Economic Development Specialist, Senior Economic Development Specialist, and Project Planner; and
- WHEREAS, In 2001, Kim was appointed as Neighborhood Improvement Manager, and on July 3, 2006, she was promoted to Community Services Director; and
- WHEREAS, Included in Kim's many accomplishments with the City are: inception of the annual Christmas Tree Lighting; arrival of The Moving Wall, the traveling half-size replica of the Vietnam Veterans War Memorial; overseeing completion of the \$2 million Buena Clinton Youth and Family Center; and directing his Holiness the 14th Dalai Lama's historical visit; and
- WHEREAS, The entire City staff and City Council are grateful for Kim's leadership, guidance, and support. After 35 years of providing excellent service to the City, family, friends, and professional colleagues wish Garden Grove's esteemed Community Services Director the best retirement has to offer.

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the City of Garden Grove does hereby recognize and commend Kim Huy on the occasion of her retirement, extend deepest appreciation for her 35 years of contributions to the City and its residents, and wishes her countless fulfillment in her future years ahead.

September 26, 2017

INTER-DEPARTMENT MEMORANDUM

To:	Scott C. Stiles	From:	Kim Huy
Dept.:	City Manager	Dept.:	Community Services
Subject:	Adoption of a Proclamation celebrating the 2017 Mid- Autumn Children's Festival Day. (<i>Action Item</i>)	Date:	9/26/2017

Attached is a proclamation honoring the October 1, 2017, Mid-Autumn Children's Festival Day recommended for adoption.

ATTACHMENTS:

Description	Upload Date	Туре	File Name
Proclamation	9/20/2017	Backup Material	9-26- 17_MOON_FESTIVAL_PROCLAMATION_2017.pdf

PROCLAMATION

MID-AUTUMN CHILDREN'S FESTIVAL DAY OCTOBER 1, 2017

- WHEREAS, The Mid-Autumn Children's Festival, which is also known as Tet Trung Thu, dates back as far as 15,000-20,000 years ago in Southeast Asia, and is traditionally held on the 15th day of the 8th Lunar month when the moon is at its fullest and brightest of the year; and
- WHEREAS, On this day, filled with joy and happiness, everyone gathers together to celebrate by eating moon cakes and appreciating the spectacular beauty of the full moon; and
- WHEREAS, In Vietnam, the Mid-Autumn Festival ranks as one of Vietnam's top 3 lantern festivals, bringing great pleasure to children and families who decorate and play with the lanterns, making it the perfect pastime during this family-based holiday; and
- WHEREAS, Today, the Mid-Autumn Children's Festival, as well as encouraging affection for children, promotes education, poetry, dance, and arts and crafts. Parents can help children make their lanterns and costumes for the lantern procession and discuss the history and culture of Vietnam; and
- WHEREAS, Many Vietnamese communities in the United States hold Mid-Autumn Children's Festivals, including Garden Grove. It is a wonderful opportunity to celebrate traditions and show love for our children; and
- WHEREAS, The City of Garden Grove joins with many other cities in recognizing October 1, 2017 as Mid-Autumn Children's Festival Day by holding an event at Atlantis Play Center.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Garden Grove City Council does hereby proclaim October 1, 2017 as "Mid-Autumn Children's Festival Day" in the City of Garden Grove, and encourages all residents to participate and share quality family time.

September 26, 2017

INTER-DEPARTMENT MEMORANDUM

To:	Scott C. Stiles	From:	Kim Huy
Dept.:	City Manager	Dept.:	Community Services
Subject:	Adoption of a Proclamation declaring October 20-22, 2017, International Festival of Orange County. (<i>Action</i> <i>Item</i>)	Date:	9/26/2017

Attached is a Proclamation declaring October 20-22, 2017, International Festival of Orange County recommended for adoption.

ATTACHMENTS:			
Description	Upload Date	Туре	File Name
Proclamation	9/20/2017	Backup Material	9-26- 17_International_Festival_Proclamation.pdf

Proclamation

2017 International Festival of Orange County

October 20, 2017 - October 22, 2017

- WHEREAS, Garden Grove will be celebrating our community's vibrant Korean American diversity from Friday, October 20, 2017 through October 22, 2017; and
- WHEREAS, The 2017 International Festival of Orange County is hosted by the Korean American Festival Foundation of Orange County and other participating chamber organizations; and
- WHEREAS, It is more than appropriate for the celebration, tradition, and activities to be shared among the entire community in Garden Grove, the center of Korean people, culture, and customs; and
- WHEREAS, Families and friends come together to promote unity and harmony with people of diverse ethnic backgrounds; and
- WHEREAS, Garden Grove is privileged to have a strong Korean-American representation in our community; and
- WHEREAS, The Korean-American population continues to grow and prosper in our community, and throughout Orange County, thanks in large part to the annual International Festival of Orange County.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the City of Garden Grove does hereby proclaim October 20, 2017 through October 22, 2017 for the International Festival of Orange County. The Garden Grove City Council does venerably recognize the contributions made by Korean Americans, and encourage all citizens to celebrate the community's diversity.

September 26, 2017

INTER-DEPARTMENT MEMORANDUM

To:	Scott C. Stiles	From:	William E. Murray
Dept.:	City Manager	Dept.:	Public Works
Subject:	Adoption of a Resolution authorizing application submittals for the Department of Resources Recycling and Recovery (CalRecycle) Rubberized Pavement Grant Program. (<i>Action Item</i>)	Date:	9/26/2017

<u>OBJECTIVE</u>

For City Council to adopt the attached Resolution authorizing application submittals to the Department of Resources Recycling and Recovery (CalRecycle) for funding under the Rubberized Pavement Grant Program for rubberized pavement projects.

BACKGROUND

CalRecycle offers the Rubberized Pavement Grant Program to promote markets for rubberized pavement products derived from 100 percent recycled Californiagenerated waste tires. The grant offers two project types, the Rubberized Asphalt Concrete (RAC) project type and Rubberized Chip Seal (Chip Seal) project type.

DISCUSSION

CalRecycle recently issued a competitive call for projects for the Fiscal Year 2017-18 Rubberized Pavement Grant program. For this cycle, the City is requesting approximately \$40,000 from the RAC Project Type for rehabilitation work on Euclid Street, from Patricia Street to Katella Avenue, and for Chapman Avenue, from Brookhurst Street to Nelson Street. Both projects are currently under design and are scheduled for construction in Fiscal Year 2018-19.

FINANCIAL IMPACT

There is no impact to the General Fund. Local Measure M2 and Gas Tax will be used to fund these two projects and as a match for the RAC grant.

RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the City Council:

- Adopt the attached Resolution authorizing the submittal of Rubberized Pavement Grant applications to CalRecycle; and
- Authorize the City Manager or his designee to execute grant documents, including but not limited to, applications, agreements, amendments and requests for payment, necessary to secure grant funds and implement the approved grant project on behalf of the City.
- By: Ana Neal, Sr. Administrative Analyst

ATTACHMENTS:			
Description	Upload Date	Туре	File Name
CC Resolution	9/21/2017	Resolution Letter	9-26- 17_CalRecycle_RESOLUTION_(3).docx

GARDEN GROVE CITY COUNCIL

RESOLUTION NO.

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF GARDEN GROVE AUTHORIZING SUBMITTAL OF ALL APPLICATIONS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF RESOURCES RECYCLING AND RECOVERY (CALRECYCLE) RUBBERIZED PAVEMENT GRANT PROGRAM

WHEREAS, Public Resources Code Sections 48000 et seq. authorize the Department of Resources Recycling and Recovery (CalRecyle) to administer various grant programs (grants) in furtherance of the State of California's (State) efforts to reduce, recycle and reuse solid waste generated in the State thereby preserving landfill capacity and protecting public health and safety and the environment;

WHEREAS, in furtherance of this authority CalRecycle is required to establish procedures governing the application, awarding, and management of the Rubberized Pavement Grant Program;

WHEREAS, CalRecycle grant application procedures require, among other things, the City Council of the City of Garden Grove to declare by resolution certain authorizations related to the administration of the Rubberized Pavement Grant Program.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the City Council of the City of Garden Grove authorizes the submittal of all annual applications to CalRecycle for the Rubberized Pavement Grant Program for which the City of Garden Grove is eligible.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the City Council of the City of Garden Grove hereby authorizes the City Manager or his designee to execute in the name of the City of Garden Grove all grant documents, including but not limited to, applications, agreements, amendments and requests for payment, necessary to secure grant funds and implement the approved grant project.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that these authorizations are effective for five (5) years from the date of adoption of this Resolution.

INTER-DEPARTMENT MEMORANDUM

To:	Scott C. Stiles	From:	Omar Sandoval
Dept.:	City Manager	Dept.:	City Attorney
Subject:	Approval of a Memorandum of Understanding with the City of Santa Ana related to the Willowick Golf Course located at 3017 W. 5th Street, Santa Ana. (<i>Action</i> <i>Item</i>)	Date:	9/26/2017

<u>OBJECTIVE</u>

For the City Council to consider approval of a Memorandum of Understanding with the City of Santa Ana related to the Willowick Golf Course.

DISCUSSION

The City of Garden Grove owns the Willowick Golf Course, which is comprised of approximately 101.5 acres located at 3017 W 5th Street, Santa Ana.

Over the years, the cities of Garden Grove and Santa Ana have discussed various redevelopment options for the site.

The proposed Memorandum of Understanding would formalize the mutual interest of both entities to discuss redevelopment options for the site and does not create a contractual obligation for either party.

FINANCIAL IMPACT

None.

RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the City Council:

- Approve the Memorandum of Understanding with Santa Ana related to the Willowick Golf Course; and
- Authorize the Mayor to sign the Memorandum of Understanding on behalf of the

ATTACHMENTS:

Description

Upload Date

Туре

File Name GG-SANTA_ANA_MOU_RE_WILLOWICK-SNA.pdf

MOU Santa Ana

9/21/2017

Backup Material

MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING BETWEEN CITY OF SANTA ANA AND CITY OF GARDEN GROVE

WHEREAS, the City of Garden Grove (Garden Grove) owns the Willowick Golf Course property ("Property"), which is comprised of approximately 101.5 acres located at 3017 W 5th Street, within the jurisdictional boundaries of the City of Santa Ana (Santa Ana); and

WHEREAS, Garden Grove and Santa Ana wish to jointly explore and evaluate the potential for the redevelopment of the Property.

NOW, THEREFORE, it is agreed, by and between the City of Santa Ana, a charter city and municipal corporation duly organized and existing under the Constitution and laws of the State of California and the City of Garden Grove, a municipal corporation duly organized and existing under the Constitution and laws of the State of California as follows:

- 1. Santa Ana and Garden Grove agree to explore the potential for redevelopment of the Property.
- 2. This Memorandum of Understanding may be amended by mutual agreement between the parties or may be terminated by mutual agreement. Signatories may terminate individual participation for any reason or no reason upon a 30-day written notice.
- 3. The undersigned parties further agree and acknowledge that this Memorandum of Understanding constitutes only a mutual statement of interest to be used solely for discussion purposes. It does not create any contractual rights or impose any contractual obligations whatsoever, unless and until a further agreement is executed, if ever. The undersigned parties each agree that approval and acknowledgement of the non-binding, voluntary Memorandum of Understanding shall not impose upon each other any duty whatsoever to continue negotiations in good faith or otherwise, and that each party shall continue to have the absolute right to propose different terms or to unilaterally terminate negotiations altogether. No understanding party shall have any expectations that any subsequent agreement will be executed, nor that any entitlements or permits will be granted.
- 4. The parties agree to schedule a joint meeting of City Staff, or study session of their legislative bodies, to discuss the next steps to be taken by the parties to implement this MOU.

[SIGNATURE PAGE FOLLOWS]

IN WITNESS THEREOF, THIS MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING has been executed on this day of , 2017 and shall remain in effect for a period of years, unless this Memorandum of Understanding is extended by a further writing executed by the undersigned parties.

RECOMMENDED FOR APPROVAL

CITY OF GARDEN GROVE

By:

Robert C. Cortez Deputy City Manager City Manager's Office Steven R. Jones Mayor

Attest:

CITY OF SANTA ANA

By:

Miguel A. Pulido Mayor

By:

Cynthia J. Kurtz Interim City Manager

APPROVED AS TO FORM: Sonia R. Carvalho City Attorney

By:

Ryan O. Hodge Assistant City Attorney

Attest:

By:

Maria D. Huizar City Clerk

By:

By:

Teresa Pomeroy City Clerk

APPROVED AS TO FORM

By:

Omar Sandoval City Attorney

INTER-DEPARTMENT MEMORANDUM

To:	Scott C. Stiles	From:	Lisa Kim
Dept.:	City Manager	Dept.:	Community and Economic Development
Subject:	Approval of expenses for City Council travel to Denver, Colorado. (Cost: \$3,000) (<i>Action Item</i>)	Date:	9/26/2017

<u>OBJECTIVE</u>

To obtain approval for travel related expenses per Resolution No. 8702-06, for two (2) City Council members and update the City Council on meetings held in Denver, Colorado.

BACKGROUND

In June 2016, Investel Garden Resorts, LLC became the assignee to the Grove Resort Development Agreement for Site C ("the Project"). The Project is entitled for three hotel towers with a total of 769 rooms. The Developer is making significant progress in securing franchise agreements for all three hotels. To date, the Developer has entered into a franchise agreement for Tower 2 which fronts Harbor Boulevard with a notable hotel brand for a 200 room hotel. A "Letter of Intent" is complete for Tower 1 and the Developer has indicated the franchise agreement for a 402 room hotel is pending approval. Prior to the final approvals by the City, it was necessary to for the City Council to understand proposed hotels brands and available franchise for the Grove District.

DISCUSSION

The travel to Denver, Colorado took place on September 18-20, 2017. Staff and two City Council members met with Ms. Tiffany Cooper, Vice President of Lodging Marriott International to discuss potential new Starwood and Marriott hotel brands available for development in Garden Grove's Grove District. Ms. Cooper introduced the new Marriott hotel brands and further explained recent brand changes as a result of the Marriott merger with Starwood Hotels. Today, Marriott International, Inc., is a leading global lodging company with more than 6,000 properties in 122 countries and territories reporting revenues of more than \$17 billion in fiscal year 2016. In addition, with the recent merger of Starwood and Marriott brands, now over 110 million guests are in their reservation system. Founded by J. Willard and Alice Marriott and guided by family leadership for nearly 90 years, the company is

headquartered outside of Washington, D.C. in Bethesda, Maryland.

Two City Council members and staff also met with its development partner McWhinney, developer of the Great Wolf Lodge, as well as Hilton Hampton Inn and Homewood Suites. McWhinney shared their new mixed-use development project in Downtown Denver named the Dairy Block as well as their new Maven Hotel.

FINANCIAL IMPACT

The total cost for the Denver trip was approximately \$3,000 (three thousand dollars) which will be funded by the City's general fund.

RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the City Council:

- Approve travel related expenses for two (2) City Council Members' travel to Denver, Colorado from September 18 20, 2017.
- By: Grace Lee, Sr. Economic Development Specialist

INTER-DEPARTMENT MEMORANDUM

To:	Scott C. Stiles	From:	William E. Murray
Dept.:	City Manager	Dept.:	Public Works
Subject:	Authorize the issuance of a purchase order to National Auto Fleet Group for one (1) new police administration utility vehicle. (Cost: \$30,667.94) (<i>Action Item</i>)	Date:	9/26/2017

<u>OBJECTIVE</u>

To secure City Council authorization to purchase one (1) new police administration utility vehicle from National Auto Fleet Group through the National Joint Powers Alliance (NJPA) competitive bid program, Contract #17361672-1.

BACKGROUND

The Public Works Department has one (1) vehicle that currently meets the City's guidelines for replacement. The replacements were approved through the Fiscal Year 2017/18 budget process. Experience has shown that the City's buying power is enhanced through joining with other public agencies to purchase fleet vehicles and equipment.

DISCUSSION

The NJPA nationally solicits, evaluates and awards contracts through a competitive bid process. As a member of NJPA, the City is able to utilize bid awards for equipment purchases. Staff recommends piggybacking on the results of a recent NJPA competitive bid program, Contract #17361672-1. The results deemed National Auto Fleet Group as the lowest responsive bid.

One (1) Ford Explorer/Interceptor National Auto Fleet Group \$30,667.94 *

* This price includes all applicable tax and destination charges.

FINANCIAL IMPACT

There is no impact to the General Fund. The financial impact is \$30,667.94 to the Fleet Management Fund. The surplus equipment will be sold at public auction.

RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the City Council:

- Authorize the Finance Director to issue a purchase order in the amount of \$30,667.94 to National Auto Fleet Group for the purchase of one (1) new police administration utility vehicle.
- By: Steve Sudduth, Equipment Lead Worker

INTER-DEPARTMENT MEMORANDUM

To:	Scott C. Stiles	From:	Teresa Pomeroy
Dept.:	City Manager	Dept.:	City Clerk
Subject:	Receive and file minutes from the meeting held on September 12, 2017. (Action Item)	Date:	9/26/2017

Attached are the minutes from the meeting held on September 12, 2017, recommended to be received and filed as submitted or amended.

ATTACHMENTS:

Description Minutes **Upload Date** 9/22/2017

Type Backup Material File Name cc-min_09_12_2017.pdf

MINUTES

GARDEN GROVE CITY COUNCIL

Regular Meeting

Tuesday, September 12, 2017

Community Meeting Center 11300 Stanford Avenue, Garden Grove, CA 92840

CONVENE MEETING

At 6:30 p.m., Mayor Jones convened the meeting in the Council Chamber

- ROLL CALL PRESENT: (7) Mayor Jones, Council Members Beard, O'Neill, T. Nguyen, Bui, Klopfenstein, K. Nguyen
 - ABSENT: (0) None

INVOCATION

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE TO THE FLAG OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

PRESENTATION ON THE BEHAVIORAL HEALTH PROGRAMS OFFERED AT GARDEN GROVE HOSPITAL PROVIDED BY RICK ROWE, CEO, GARDEN GROVE HOSPITAL (F: 52.3)

<u>COMMUNITY SPOTLIGHT: IN RECOGNITION OF CHOC'S 2017 AMBASSADOR</u> <u>JORDIN JACKSON FOR SERVING AS THE FACE OF THIS YEAR'S CHOC WALK</u> (F: 52.3)

ORAL COMMUNICATIONS

Speakers: Joe Laricchia, Gloria Bram, Charles Mitchell, Kelly O'Connor, Maureen Blackmun

RECESS

At 6:57 p.m., Mayor Jones recessed the meeting.

<u>RECONVENE</u>

At 7:01 p.m., Mayor Jones reconvened the meeting in the Council Chamber with all Council Members present.

APPROVAL OF A PROCLAMATION DECLARING SEPTEMBER 2017 WORLD ALZHEIMER'S AWARENESS MONTH (F: 83.1)

This item was pulled by Mayor Jones and was considered later in the meeting.

APPROVAL OF AN AMENDMENT TO THE AGREEMENT WITH THE COUNTY OF ORANGE SOCIAL SERVICES AGENCY FOR FAMILIES AND COMMUNITIES TOGETHER (FACT) GRANT FUNDING (F: 55-County of Orange Social Services Agency)

Council Member Beard disclosed that he is an employee of the County of Orange but is not a member of the Department that oversees the FaCT grant funding.

It was moved by Council Member Beard, seconded by Council Member O'Neill that:

The Amendment to the agreement with the County of Orange Social Services Agency for Families and Communities Together (FaCT) grant funding for the Magnolia Park Family Resource Center (MPFRC) be approved; and

The City Manager be authorized to sign the Amendment on behalf of the City, including making any modifications during the contract period for the operation and implementation of the contract services.

The motion carried by a 7-0 vote as follows:

Ayes: (7) Beard, O'Neill, T. Nguyen, Bui, Klopfenstein, K. Nguyen, Jones

Noes: (0) None

ADOPTION OF A RESOLUTION APPROVING THE MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING WITH THE INTERNATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF FIRE FIGHTERS, LOCAL 2005 (F: 78.6A)

It was moved by Council Member Beard, seconded by Council Member O'Neill that:

Resolution No. 9452-17 entitled: A Resolution of the City Council of the City of Garden Grove approving the Memorandum of Understanding on salaries, wages, and fringe benefits for the term 2017-2018 by and between Local 2005 of the International Association of Fire Fighters and the City of Garden Grove, and approving an updated salary schedule, be adopted; and

The City Manager be authorized to finalize any last minute language changes that may occur between the parties.

The motion carried by a 7-0 vote as follows:

Ayes: (7) Beard, O'Neill, T. Nguyen, Bui, Klopfenstein, K. Nguyen, Jones Noes: (0) None

ADOPTION OF A RESOLUTION AMENDING THE MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING WITH THE ORANGE COUNTY EMPLOYEES' ASSOCIATION GARDEN GROVE CHAPTER (F: 78.13C)

It was moved by Council Member Beard, seconded by Council Member O'Neill that:

Resolution No. 9453-17 entitled: A Resolution of the City Council of the City of Garden Grove amending the Memorandum of Understanding by and between the Garden Grove Chapter of the Orange County Employees' Association and the City of Garden Grove pertaining to salaries, wages, and fringe benefits for the term 2015-2018, be adopted;

The Director of Human Resources be authorized to implement the provisions of the amended Resolution; and

The updated salary schedule be approved.

The motion carried by a 7-0 vote as follows:

Ayes: (7) Beard, O'Neill, T. Nguyen, Bui, Klopfenstein, K. Nguyen, Jones Noes: (0) None

APPROVAL OF FINAL PARCEL MAP NO. 2016-164 FOR PROPERTY LOCATED AT 7180 LAMPSON AVENUE AND 12570 INDUSTRY STREET, GARDEN GROVE (F: 118.PM-2016-164)

It was moved by Council Member Beard, seconded by Council Member O'Neill that:

Final Parcel Map No. 2016-164 for property located at 7180 Lampson Avenue and 12570 Industry Street, Garden Grove, for subdividing the property to create two separate parcels be approved.

The motion carried by a 7-0 vote as follows:

Ayes: (7) Beard, O'Neill, T. Nguyen, Bui, Klopfenstein, K. Nguyen, Jones Noes: (0) None APPROVAL OF A COOPERATIVE COST AND REIMBURSEMENT AGREEMENT WITH THE CITY OF SANTA ANA FOR THE FAIRVIEW STREET SLURRY SEAL PROJECT FROM EDNA DRIVE TO THE SR-22 OFF RAMP (F: 55-City of Santa Ana)

It was moved by Council Member Beard, seconded by Council Member O'Neill that:

The Cooperative Cost Reimbursement Agreement, in the estimated amount of \$63,700, with the City of Santa Ana for the Fairview Street Slurry Seal Project from Edna Drive to the SR-22 off ramp be approved; and

The City Manager be authorized to execute the agreement on behalf of the City, and make minor modifications as appropriate thereto.

The motion carried by a 7-0 vote as follows:

Ayes:	(7)	Beard, O'Neill, T. Nguyen, Bui, Klopfenstein, K.
		Nguyen, Jones
Noes:	(0)	None

AWARD A CONTRACT TO DAVID EVANS AND ASSOCIATES, INC., TO PROVIDE PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING DESIGN SERVICES FOR THE BICYCLE AND PEDESTRIAN TRAIL PROJECT (F: 55-David Evans and Associates, Inc.)

This item was pulled by Council Member Bui to be considered later in the meeting.

AUTHORIZE THE ISSUANCE OF A PURCHASE ORDER TO NATIONAL AUTO FLEET GROUP FOR THREE (3) PICKUP TRUCKS (F: 60.4)

It was moved by Council Member Beard, seconded by Council Member O'Neill that:

The Finance Director be authorized to issue a purchase order in the amount of \$79,916.41 to National Auto Fleet Group for the purchase of three (3) new pickup trucks.

The motion carried by a 7-0 vote as follows:

Ayes:	(7)	Beard, O'Neill, T. Nguyen, Bui, Klopfenstein, K.
		Nguyen, Jones
Noes:	(0)	None

<u>AUTHORIZE THE ISSUANCE OF A PURCHASE ORDER TO NATIONAL AUTO FLEET</u> <u>GROUP FOR ONE (1) AERIAL TRUCK</u> (F: 60.4)

It was moved by Council Member Beard, seconded by Council Member O'Neill that:

The Finance Director be authorized to issue a purchase order in the amount of \$178,122.60 to National Auto Fleet Group for the purchase of one (1) aerial truck.

The motion carried by a 7-0 vote as follows:

Ayes: (7) Beard, O'Neill, T. Nguyen, Bui, Klopfenstein, K. Nguyen, Jones Noes: (0) None

AUTHORIZE THE ISSUANCE OF A PURCHASE ORDER TO NATIONAL AUTO FLEET GROUP FOR SEVEN (7) SEDANS AND ONE (1) PICKUP TRUCK (F: 60.4)

It was moved by Council Member Beard, seconded by Council Member O'Neill that:

The Finance Director be authorized to issue a purchase order in the amount of \$209,047 to National Auto Fleet Group for the purchase of seven (7) sedans and one (1) pickup truck.

The motion carried by a 7-0 vote as follows:

Ayes: (7) Beard, O'Neill, T. Nguyen, Bui, Klopfenstein, K. Nguyen, Jones Noes: (0) None

AUTHORIZE THE ISSUANCE OF A PURCHASE ORDER TO WONDRIES FLEET GROUP FOR EIGHT (8) POLICE VEHICLES (F: 60.4)

It was moved by Council Member Beard, seconded by Council Member O'Neill that:

The Finance Director be authorized to issue a purchase order in the amount of \$244,429.76 to Wondries Fleet Group for the purchase of eight (8) police vehicles.

The motion carried by a 7-0 vote as follows:

Ayes: (7) Beard, O'Neill, T. Nguyen, Bui, Klopfenstein, K. Nguyen, Jones Noes: (0) None

ADOPTION OF A RESOLUTION AFFIRMING APPOINTMENT TO THE OVERSIGHT BOARD OF THE CITY OF GARDEN GROVE AS SUCCESSOR AGENCY TO THE GARDEN GROVE AGENCY FOR COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT (F: A-46.1.SA)

It was moved by Council Member Beard, seconded by Council Member O'Neill that:

Resolution No. 9454-17 entitled: A Resolution of the City Council of the City of Garden Grove affirming the Mayor's selection and appointment to the Oversight Board pursuant to Section 34179 of the Dissolution Act, be adopted.

The motion carried by a 7-0 vote as follows:

Ayes: (7) Beard, O'Neill, T. Nguyen, Bui, Klopfenstein, K. Nguyen, Jones Noes: (0) None

ADOPTION OF A RESOLUTION AFFIRMING AN APPLICATION FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE TRANSITIONAL HOUSING GRANT AND REQUIRING THE OPERATION OF THE FACILITY AT 12781 JOSEPHINE STREET, GARDEN GROVE, FOR A MINIMUM OF TEN YEARS (F: 117.10)

This item was pulled by Council Member Klopfenstein and considered later in the meeting.

ADOPTION OF A RESOLUTION APPOINTING A CITY TREASURER AND DEPUTY CITY TREASURER (F: 127.7)

It was moved by Council Member Beard, seconded by Council Member O'Neill that:

Resolution No. 9456-17 entitled: A Resolution of the City Council of the City of Garden Grove repealing Resolution No. 9219-14 and appointing a City Treasurer and Deputy City Treasurer, be adopted.

The motion carried by a 7-0 vote as follows:

Ayes:	(7)	Beard, O'Neill, T. Nguyen, Bui, Klopfenstein, K.
		Nguyen, Jones
Noes:	(0)	None

RECEIVE AND FILE MINUTES FROM THE MEETING HELD ON AUGUST 22, 2017 (F: Vault)

It was moved by Council Member Beard, seconded by Council Member O'Neill that:

The minutes from the meeting held on August 22, 2017, be received and filed.

The motion carried by a 7-0 vote as follows:

- Ayes: (7) Beard, O'Neill, T. Nguyen, Bui, Klopfenstein, K. Nguyen, Jones
- Noes: (0) None

<u>WARRANTS</u> (F: 60.5)

It was moved by Council Member Beard, seconded by Council Member O'Neill that:

Regular Warrants 627567 through 627885; 627886 through 628485; 628486 through 628663; Wires W1956 through W1963; W1964 through W1968; and Direct Deposits W627885 through W628484; be approved as presented in the warrant register submitted, and have been audited for accuracy and funds are available for payment thereof by the Finance Director; and

Payroll Warrants 181574 through 181629; Direct Deposits D317247 through D317970; Wires W2394 through W2397; be approved as presented in the warrant register submitted, and have been audited for accuracy and funds are available for payment thereof by the Finance Director.

The motion carried by a 7-0 vote as follows:

Ayes:	(7)	Beard, O'Neill, T. Nguyen, Bui, Klopfenstein, K.
		Nguyen, Jones
Noes:	(0)	None

APPROVAL TO WAIVE FULL READING OF ORDINANCES LISTED

It was moved by Council Member Beard, seconded by Council Member O'Neill that:

Full reading of Ordinances listed be waived.

The motion carried by a 7-0 vote as follows:

Ayes:	(7)	Beard, O'Neill, T. Nguyen, Bui, Klopfenstein, K.
		Nguyen, Jones
Noes:	(0)	None

APPROVAL OF A PROCLAMATION DECLARING SEPTEMBER 2017 WORLD ALZHEIMER'S AWARENESS MONTH (F: 83.1)

Following a statement of support, Mayor Jones moved, seconded by Council Member K. Nguyen that:

September 2017 be declared as World Alzheimer's Awareness Month.

The motion carried by a 7-0 vote as follows:

Ayes: (7) Beard, O'Neill, T. Nguyen, Bui, Klopfenstein, K. Nguyen, Jones Noes: (0) None Mayor Jones invited Sandy Thomas, Mallory Vega and Bev Harrison, representing OC Alzheimer's, to come forward to receive a framed proclamation. Each representative spoke and expressed gratitude for the City's support.

AWARD A CONTRACT TO DAVID EVANS AND ASSOCIATES, INC., TO PROVIDE PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING DESIGN SERVICES FOR THE BICYCLE AND PEDESTRIAN TRAIL PROJECT (F: 55-David Evans and Associates, Inc.)

Council Member Bui commended staff on the project, noting that the design cost is attributed to the size and scope of the project.

It was moved by Council Member Bui, seconded by Council Member O'Neill that:

The professional services agreement, in the amount of \$119,270, be awarded to David Evans and Associates, Inc., to provide engineering design services for the Bicycle and Pedestrian Trail Project; and

The City Manager be authorized to sign the agreement on behalf of the City.

The motion carried by a 7-0 vote as follows:

Ayes: (7) Beard, O'Neill, T. Nguyen, Bui, Klopfenstein, K. Nguyen, Jones Noes: (0) None

ADOPTION OF A RESOLUTION AFFIRMING AN APPLICATION FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE TRANSITIONAL HOUSING GRANT AND REQUIRING THE OPERATION OF THE FACILITY AT 12781 JOSEPHINE STREET, GARDEN GROVE, FOR A MINIMUM OF TEN YEARS (F: 117.10)

Council Member Klopfenstein clarified with City Attorney Sandoval that the facility at 12781 Josephine Street, would be used by military veterans.

It was moved by Council Member Klopfenstein, seconded by Council Member T. Nguyen that:

Resolution No. 9455-17 entitled: A Resolution of the City Council of the City of Garden Grove authorizing the application for a community based transitional housing program grant and requiring the operation of a community residential care facility consisting of 14 beds in 12 rooms for a minimum of ten years at the property located at 12781 Josephine Street, Garden Grove, be adopted.

The motion carried by a 7-0 vote as follows:

Ayes: (7) Beard, O'Neill, T. Nguyen, Bui, Klopfenstein, K. Nguyen, Jones Noes: (0) None

<u>PUBLIC HEARING – INTRODUCTION AND FIRST READING OF AN ORDINANCE</u> <u>APPROVING AMENDMENT NO. A-020-2017 TO AMEND PORTIONS OF CHAPTERS</u> <u>9.08, 9.12, 9.16, AND 9.18 OF THE MUNICIPAL CODE TO CONFORM WITH STATE</u> <u>LAW BY INCORPORATING CHANGES FOR WATER EFFICIENT LANDSCAPING</u> (Continued from the August 22, 2017, meeting.) (F: 115.A-020-2017)(XR: 50.3)

(As approved earlier in the meeting, it was moved by Council Member Beard, seconded by Council Member O'Neill, and approved by a 7-0 vote, that full reading of ordinances listed be waived.)

Following staff's presentation, Mayor Jones declared the Public Hearing open and asked if anyone wished to address the City Council on the matter.

Speakers: None.

There being no response from the audience, the Public Hearing was declared closed.

It was moved by Council Member Beard, seconded by Council Member Bui that:

Resolution No. 9457-17 entitled: A Resolution of the City Council of the City of Garden Grove adopting guidelines for the implementation of the City of Garden Grove Landscape Water Efficiency Provisions (Appendix 1 of Title 9 of the Municipal Code), be adopted; and

Ordinance No. 2886-17 entitled: An Ordinance of the City Council of the City of Garden Grove approving Amendment No. A-020-2017 amending and restating portions of Title 9 (Zoning Code) of the Garden Grove Municipal Code regarding Landscape Water Efficiency Requirements, be passed to second reading.

The motion carried by a 7-0 vote as follows:

- Ayes: (7) Beard, O'Neill, T. Nguyen, Bui, Klopfenstein, K. Nguyen, Jones
- Noes: (0) None

ACCEPTANCE OF RESIGNATION FROM MAIN STREET COMMISSIONER ANDREW HALBERSTADT (F: 122.6A)

It was moved by Mayor Jones, seconded by Council Member O'Neill that:

The resignation from the Main Street Commission from Andrew Halberstadt be accepted with regret.

The motion carried by a 7-0 vote as follows:

- Ayes: (7) Beard, O'Neill, T. Nguyen, Bui, Klopfenstein, K. Nguyen, Jones
- Noes: (0) None

APPROVAL TO APPROPRIATE INCIDENTAL COSTS FOR PROJECT NO. 7008 GARDEN GROVE POLICE DEPARTMENT RECORDS SECTION REMODEL IN FISCAL YEAR 2017/18 (F: 82.proj.7008-6708)

Following staff presentation and City Council discussion, it was moved by Council Member Bui, seconded by Council Member T. Nguyen that:

The appropriation of \$270,000 from the 2015A Bond proceeds for incidental costs pursuant to the Police Department Records Section Remodel Project, be approved; and

The Mayor, City Manager, or the Finance Director be authorized to request construction fund disbursements as necessary from the fiscal agent construction fund and account for all related project transactions in fund 105 (Public Safety Fund).

The motion carried by a 7-0 vote as follows:

Ayes: (7) Beard, O'Neill, T. Nguyen, Bui, Klopfenstein, K. Nguyen, Jones Noes: (0) None

MATTERS FROM THE MAYOR, CITY COUNCIL MEMBERS, AND CITY MANAGER

DISCUSSION REGARDING A PROCLAMATION CELEBRATING THE 2017 MID-AUTUMN FESTIVAL AT THE ATLANTIS PLAY CENTER, AS REQUESTED BY COUNCIL MEMBER THU-HA NGUYEN (F: 83.1)(XR: 73.6)

Following City Council discussion, it was moved by Council Member T. Nguyen, seconded by Council Member K. Nguyen that:

A Proclamation celebrating the 2017 Mid-Autumn Festival at the Atlantis Play Center be considered by the City Council at the next meeting

The motion carried by a 7-0 vote as follows:

Ayes:	(7)	Beard, O'Neill, T. Nguyen, Bui, Klopfenstein, K.
		Nguyen, Jones
Noes:	(0)	None

MATTERS FROM THE MAYOR, CITY COUNCIL MEMBERS, AND CITY MANAGER (Continued)

Council Member Beard reported on the most recent Budget Subcommittee meeting noting the committee has undertaken several actions that include a revenue and cost specialist review of the City's internal service funds, and a report to the City Council is expected in October. Through the Budget Subcommittee meetings to date, staff has provided a ten year history of the City's internal service fund, business tax data review, an overview of how the current budget aligns with community priorities, and exploring methods for community outreach and input.

City Manager Stiles noted that a Revenue and Cost Specialist will be providing a report that explains the structure of the internal service funds. He noted that the goal is to be in touch with how the community views its priorities and in the next six to seven months, surveys will be conducted to understand those priorities. He commented that he appreciates staff time and effort and noted that there will be a report to update the City Council.

Council Member O'Neill commented that this has been a very busy summer with numerous events welcoming businesses into the city. Crunch Fitness is opening shop at the former Albertson's Grocery Store in District 2 on Katella Avenue. He recognized the improvements to the Don Wash auditorium at Garden Grove High School; the newly built Starbucks on Euclid; the successful Elvis Festival; the outstanding Michael Monsoor Stadium dedication; and the diverse denominations coming together at the Christ Cathedral for their music festival. He noted that he recently attended a workshop through Southern California Edison on how they manage the power grid with competition from wind and solar energy.

Council Member T. Nguyen commented that the Michael Monsoor dedication was a very touching event and she felt honored to attend. She cautioned school children to be very careful when walking to school, to look out for each other and not to walk to school alone, and to be careful when riding a bike and to look out for traffic.

Council Member K. Nguyen noted that she will be traveling to Sacramento with City Manager Stiles and Council Member T. Nguyen for the League of California Cities annual conference Wednesday through Friday to learn about new legislation and impacts to local government. She echoed Council Member T. Nguyen's concern about safety and noted that there has been traffic issues in District 6 on Trask Avenue at Roxey Drive, and encouraged residents from the area to contact her with their concerns.

Council Member Klopfenstein commented that Michael Monsoor was her friend and classmate and expressed that going to the dedication was an emotional experience. She also cautioned that there is a more aggressive mosquito black and white in color that bites during the day and asked that people report to Vector Control at ocvector.org or 714-971-2421 if these mosquitos are seen on their property.

Council Member Bui noted several local Vietnamese community groups and individuals that have been working relentlessly to help storm victims and have raised substantial money to help the victims. He attended Viet Love for Texas, which raised over \$400,000, and noted that local Vietnamese television stations conducted a nationwide fund raiser and raised \$500,000. He requested the City Council recognize the generosity of the individuals and community groups, many of whom are in Garden Grove, at the next or a future City Council meeting. (F: 53.1)(XR: 52.3)

It was moved by Council Member Bui, seconded by Mayor Jones, that:

Individuals and groups who donated to storm victims be recognized at a future City Council meeting.

The motion carried by a 7-0 vote as follows:

Ayes:	(7)	Beard, O'Neill, T. Nguyen, Bui, Klopfenstein, K.
		Nguyen, Jones
Noes:	(0)	None

Mayor Jones congratulated Mayor Pro Tem Bui on his work and contribution towards erecting and celebrating a monument of Emperor Quang Trung Nguyen Hue in Little Saigon that included clearing of private property and private funding of the monument. He further expressed the importance of the heritage of the Vietnamese culture and how Little Saigon has flourished and has become a tourist destination.

Mayor Jones noted that as the Re:Imagine Downtown program has contributed towards interest in the form of projects moving forward, such as the SteelCraft Project, Cottage Industries and LFA that are in proximity to Main Street and affects changes to the downtown area, which is no longer limited to Main Street and now includes the area from Ninth Street to Nutwood Avenue. He stated that he would like a review of the Main Street Commission to make sure there is adequate representation of property owners and business owners throughout the downtown area. He proposed to list an action item for the next City Council meeting to consider changing the name of the Main Street Commission to the Downtown Commission to be more in sync with the Downtown Business Association, and to take a look at the reorganization of the Board. He mentioned that the City Council could review the scope of the Commission at a later time. (F: 122.6)

It was moved by Mayor Jones, seconded by Council Member O'Neill that:

Consideration to rename the Main Street Commission to Downtown Commission and reorganization of the Board be listed on the next City Council agenda as an action item.

The motion carried by a 7-0 vote as follows:

Ayes:(7)Beard, O'Neill, T. Nguyen, Bui, Klopfenstein, K.
Nguyen, JonesNoes:(0)None

Mayor Jones stated that tonight's meeting would be adjourned in memory of Robert Walker Sr., and concluded with a tribute to Mr. Walker, a Garden Grove resident and friend to many.

CONVENE CLOSED SESSION

At 8:00 p.m., Mayor Jones announced that the City Council was going into Closed Session in the Founders Room to discuss the following matter:

<u>Conference with Legal Counsel – Existing Litigation</u> Pursuant to Government Code Section 54956.9(d)(1): Kennedy Commission, et al. v. City of Garden Grove, et al., OCSC Case No. 30-2017-00933416

<u>Conference with Legal Counsel – Existing Litigation</u> Pursuant to Government Code Section 54956.9(d)(1): Andrew Garcia v. City of Garden Grove, USDC Case No. 8:16-cv-00154 DOC (KESx)

ORAL COMMUNICATIONS FOR CLOSED SESSION

Speakers: None

ADJOURN CLOSED SESSION

At 9:20 p.m., Mayor Jones adjourned Closed Session.

CONVENE REGULAR MEETING

At 9:21 p.m., Mayor Jones convened the meeting in the Council Chamber with all Council Members present.

CLOSED SESSION REPORT

City Attorney Sandoval announced that there was nothing to report on the Closed Session matter pertaining to Andrew Garcia v. City of Garden Grove, USDC Case No. 8:16-cv-00154DOC(KESx); and on the Closed Session matter pertaining to Kennedy Commission, et al. v. City of Garden Grove, et al., OCSC Case No. 30-2017-00933416, Legal Counsel has been directed by the City Council to proceed with defense of litigation.

ADJOURNMENT

At 9:22 p.m., Mayor Jones adjourned the meeting in memory of Robert Walker, Sr. The next City Council Meeting will be held on Tuesday, September 26, 2017, at 5:30 p.m. at the Community Meeting Center, 11300 Stanford Avenue, Garden Grove, California.

Teresa Pomeroy, CMC City Clerk
Agenda Item - 3.i.

City of Garden Grove

INTER-DEPARTMENT MEMORANDUM

To:	Scott C. Stiles	From:	Teresa Pomeroy
Dept.:	City Manager	Dept.:	City Clerk
Subject:	Approval of warrants. (<i>Action Item</i>)	Date:	9/26/2017

Attached are the warrants recommended for approval.

ATTACHMENTS:

Description	Upload Date	Туре	File Name
Warrants	9/21/2017	Cover Memo	CC_Warrants_9-26-17.pdf

Н PAGE 09/14/17 PAYROLL WARRANT REGISTER BY WARRANT NUMBER

(18.2104	- u		οσ	jc	<u>ہ</u> ہ	1	~ m	2	311.49			692.50	63.15	429.78	74.30	80.C22 C0 MTM	01 807	312 32	167.53	845.25	2437.56	50.00	301.46	198.98	274.05	1486.35	6833.84	1860.33	1745.75	1662.76	1401.65 220F 22	20.0020 90 7091	2520.13	וס	2315.14	2189.04	1487.25	1346.93	2044.54	2152.07	2645.08	•	2456.09		
	៹៓	4 4		TO ANNE M CHING	CHRIS M VERES	DONALD A HORNE TR	- Q	ADRIANNA M RODRIGUEZ			KYLAN C ACOSTA	CASEY S BEARD	ጠ	Σ	Ξ.	ZALDA E MONAKES DDVNN I NCIPIEN			JENNIFER J VICENS	CHRISTOPHER C DOVEAS	- FL	JOAN M CEPLIUS	O.C.E.A. GENERAL	COMMUNITY HEALTH CHARITI	BEARD	STEVEN R JONES	KIM B NGUYEN	A M HADD	SCOTT C STILES	MEENA YOO			LERESA G CASEI DANNY HIVNH		LINDA MIDDENDORF	MARIA A NAVARRO	QUANG NGUYEN	THYANA T PHI	TANYA L TO		SYLVIA GARCIA	HEIDI M JANZ	ANN C EIFERT	MARGARITA A ABOLA			
יכיוסי	TCOTOT	181635	181637	181639	1.81641	181643	181645	181647	181649	181651	181653	181655	181.657	181659	199181	10165 10165		100101	181671	181673	181675	181677	181679	181681	D317969	D317971	D317973	D317975	D317977	D317979	D317981	5867.15U	C0C/TCU 7897150	D317989	D317991	D317993	D317995	D317997	D317999	D318001	D318003	D318005	D318007	D318009	TOPTO		
7L 30LC	7511 44	221.98	1621.69	857.75	2039.63	894.46	390.50	647.78	•	1444.44	3313.84	431.04	611.35	242.32	404.42 50 01 5	10.001	105 71	238.50	189.90	287.08	389.79	680.69	532.35	1201.19	1530.00	0.37	272.26	296.97	1851.29	5014.74	2024.43	2823.82	16.951	1832.52	1537.87	1281.56		٩.	2048.33	2	701.4	4952.45	8	1610.20 1124 22	# V		
181630 BEN A KOSKV				181638 LORENA J QUILLA-SOULES	181640 THOMAS E BUTTERS	181642 ERIC M ESPINOZA	181644 ROBERT R MOUNGEY		81648 v			STEPHANIE		181660 STRUEN BOOMER	A NAVAIS			GABRIEI	181670 DIANA SALDIVAR	JACOB	ISAAC			-	-		DIEDRE	TOHN R			USI/980 UENISE KEHN D317882 TEDREAT DOMEDOV	SHATTNA	VIRGINIA		-	•••			MARIA		THANH-NG	KINGSLEY	0	D318008 DEBORAH A POWELL D318010 MARISA ATIN RAMOS	, ,	**** PAGE TOTAL = 147410.74	

PAYROLL WARRANT REGISTER BY WARRANT NUMBER 09/14/17 PAGE 2

່ທ່	1337.67 2028 24	9.0	58.5	28	0	3483.10	-	1430.43	414	- 1	8				2443.00				1712.42	1978.89	1775.02			1787.51	1504.17	0	2176.23	4	2	1913.83	ų.	1.0	טי מינ	47.CC22 91 C311	3 -) 00 00			441.7	4	519	608.2	753.	2269.31	H	
<	KUBERT W MAY HEIDY Y MUNOZ		KAREN J BROWN	JEFF N KURAMOTO	EDWARD E MARVIN JR	MONICA A NEELY		JAIME F CHAVEZ	NEAL M MANALANSAN	ALANA R CHENG	JAYME K AHLO	4		DANTEL A WINDHAM		MARIA L MEDRANO	ERIN WEBB	MONICA COVARRUBIAS		RITA M CRAMER	2			VINCENT L DE LA ROSA	ALICIA M HOFER		MICHAEL F SANTOS		B	ול	면 니		TUDIE N DECODIE	ALEXANDER I. GERRY	MICHAEL J GRAY	ROBERT ALAN HAENDIGES	ROBERT M HIGGINBOTHAM	VIDAL JIMENEZ	BRENDA L LAI	REBECCA PIK KWAN LI			BASIL G MURAD	DUC TRUNG NGUYEN ANDREW T ORNELAS	1	
D318013		D318019	D318021	D318023	D318025	D318027	D318029	D318031	D318033	D318035	D318037	D318039	D318041	D318043	D318045	D318047	D318049	D318051	D318053	D318055	D318057	D318059	D318061	D318063	D318065	D318067	D318069	D318071	D318073	D318075	D318077		TRUBIEU	2202120	D318087	D318089	D318091	D318093	D318095	309	D318099	31810	31810	D318105 D318107) 	
946 127	1390.82	182.2	490.9	2317.00	1823.74	1679.18	1753.11	1622.61			<u> </u>	9			2336.69							2693.31			m	<u></u>	<u>_</u>	3200.26	\sim 1	\sim	18.258T	η r	1755 49	171.9	017.8	955.5	1853.85	009.2	588.	585.9	117.9	38.2	34.1	676.49 2267.94		
D318012 JANET J CHUNG D318014 RHONDA C KAMELI		•	0	CORINNE L	DJIBUZ4 CHELSEA E LUKAS	·			-						D318044 CHKLSTOPHER CHUNG	N SAL		-	GRACE	31700			• •	DANTEL	KAMYAR I			DJIBUTU MAKK P UPHUS	•	·				JASON P			•••	•••			DJ18098 SCOTT T LOWE	THALSTAN TALITY TYPE	DISTRICT VIEVEN UNALLY UK			**** PAGE TOTAL = 206882.74
	. –		,1		-4 14				- 1		- 1				-4 F	-1 6		-1 6	-1 F			- 1	-11	цţ		-1 F	-1 6	-1 F	4 6	4 F	1 0	1 6	i A	А	Р	р					י ב	י ב	ם ב ם			*

Page 39 of 206

•	
	4944
L L / V L / D U	1 T / Z T / C N
	VERTION
DISTRICT AND	
Þ ¤	i
WARRANT	
PAYROLI.	

ო

2450.85 2646.79	1830.79	C4.00.42 7678 77	2402.33	1501.38	1710.45	1536.57		123.76	1595.90	855.88	585.74	1926.01	FC.CC#1	2016.59	356.92	1872.00	1785.01	557.90	789.57	566.59	70.03 R30 50	2136 51	932.88	1055.95		988.51		1122.26	1733.85	1546.21	1740.83	2180.11	1988.00 1988.00	2		2022.84	1928.17	i i i	2185.89 1458.37	1	
CELESTINO J PASILLAS LES A RUITENSCHILD	MODESTO R SALIDANA ADDIAN M SAPMIENTIO	MINH K TRAN	ALEJANDRO N VALENZUELA			CAROLYN E MELANSON Emtly h tetmele			רי בי		ROBERT J FRANCO	HERMIIO HERNANDEZ	BRENT KAYLOR	RAUL LEYVA	ROBERT P MCLOGAN	RIGOBERTO MENDEZ		BRADLEY J POINDEXTER	SUSAN VITALI	TOAN ANDKET	LAURAS C COUNTS FARNEST I, DOMINGTEZ	ALBERT R EURS II	CONRAD A FERNANDEZ	JORGE GONZALEZ		ERIC W JOHNSON	ALR.TANDRO OPNELAS	DELFRADO C REYES		RICHARD L WILLIAMS	CHRISTOPHER L ALLEN	PHILILL U CARTER				LUIS A TAPIA	WILLIAM J WHITE	JESSE GUZMAN MAPY F MONGON	NAKK E MUNSUN STEPHEN D SUDDUTH		
D318109 D318111	D318113	D318117	D318119	D318121	U318123	CZIBIEU 70181EU	D318129	D318131	D318133	D318135	D318137	CCTOTCU LALALEU	D318143	D318145	D318147	D318149	D318151	D318153	D318155		D318161	D318163	D318165	-					318179	318181	318183	0 5	318189	318191	318193	318195	318197	ი - ი -	318203		
1897.45 2102.52	1006.46	543.9	1100.25	857.24	12.00/T	241U.38 6031.91	2584.41	<u>م</u>	. 644.09	m I	4/.91CT	3100.37	338.98	2176.62	2133.02	632.22	498.13	1865.11	1863.U8	CU.CU I CP 1781	1941.48	1140.15	1106.30	ц.	1810.36	1122.27 789 67	1141.31	2392.53	158.4	1578.28		10.000 000 00	2227.22	1912.42	Ч	ი ა	2831.76	518.38 1630 27	50		
UAVIU A UKTEGA WILLIAM F PEARSON	JONATHAN RUIZ ALEXIS SANTOS	ALBERT TALAMANTES JR	ALEJANDRO VALENZUELA JR	KATHLEEN N VICTORIA VICTOR K VERGENSEN	PAOLIFIL & MANSON	WILLIAM E MURRAY JR	ALFRED J AGUIRRE	RODOLPHO M BECERRA	EDGAR A CANO	GABRIELA R CONTRERAS	MALTRICTO S CARCIA	RICHARD R GOSSELIN	DARNELL D JERRY	MARK W LADNEY	ANTONIO R MARTIN	KEVIN E MEJIA	DUN A MIHALLA	CTENTE I TANTANTITI	STRPHANTE A WASTNGER	JEFFREY G CANTRELL	JAMES CUNNINGHAM	JULIA ESPINOZA	CECELIA A FERNANDEZ	DIANA GOMEZ	MICHAEL R GREENE	URTEI, MACTAS	KHUONG NGUYEN	WILLIAM R PICKRELL	RAFAEL ROBLES	EVARISTO VERA	ANSELMO AGUIKKE JOHN M RRINTNG	RICK I, DINVALIT.	PATRICIA CLAIR HAYES		ROLANDO QUIROZ		MICHAEL W THOMPSON	UEKEMI U GLENN BRETT A METSLAHN	MELVIN P REED		PAGE TOTAL = 149279.11
D318110	D318112 D318114	D318116	D318118	D318120	D318124	D318126	D318128	D318130	D318132	D318134	D318138	D318140	D318142	D318144	D318146	131818148	UCTRICT	2CISICU 2CISICU	D318156	D318158	D318160	D318162	D318164	D318166	131818U	D318172	D318174	D318176	D318178	081818U	D318184	D318186	D318188	D318190	D318192	1318194	D318196	D318200	D318202		5 **** PAC

Page 40 of 206

PAYROLL WARRANT REGISTER BY WARRANT NUMBER 09/14/17 PAGE 4

04. 04. 72.	2598.21 7232.85	9.2					80.85	181.91	1734.30	1755.32	386.71	338.98	224.20	208.55	202.33	10.4.CC	20.76	400.39	561.72	1662.70	<u>م</u> ،	340.46	10	6.0	2593.31	່ມ	8.1	-	283.	0. 0. 0	265.50	1	381.07	287.08	
HILLARD ALBERT VICTOR ERVIN DI		BEANJON S NUNES JESSE VIRAMONTES	VERONICA AVILA NOFLLE N KTM	MARIE L MORAN		SAKAH M BALKD VALERTA J BARON	NICHOLAS	DYLAN J E	RACHEL M CAMARENA MAPTT CAPPOLI		GISELL L C	KEVIN J CU		SA SA	ASHLY L HANNAH KFLLV I. HOWFNSTFTN		50	LUIS A LUNA		-		GINA D NECCO ALLEN T NGITYEN	JENNIE	LORI C	JANET E PELAYO VATTE T DHAM	I H H	PAIGE L	MONICA	ARIANA D RUVALCABA	DANA MARIE SAUCEDO	n Lu	VAN SICKI	JOSEFINA L VELAZQUEZ	JANICE PHUONG VU	
			D318221 D318223				D318233		D318237	D318241	D318243	D318245	D318247	D318249	122815U 576815U	D318255	D318257	D318259	D318261	D318263	D318265	D318269	D318271	D318273	272815U	D318279	D318281	D318283	1828	D318287	1829	1829	D318295	1829 1829	
3171.28 1580.48 2250.34 1702.41	1790.16 2115.03		2274.26			331.25	426.	247.	680.26 1606 57			m	IO 1	123.64	1/250/T	<u>،</u> ו	395.42	431.22	4	5	1882.47	ົ້	2416	2530.6	- d	223.60	332	H !	21.2	463.33	247.33	92.	185.49	30.	
TIMOTHY WALLINGFORD SOUMELIA K GOUNTOUMA ALLIEN L SERNA FRANK X DE LA ROSA	JOSE GOMEZ FRANK D HOWENSTEIN VEON DONTEDN NET SOM	STEPHEN PORRAS	JOHN ZAVALA JEFFREY P DAVIS	MISSY M MENDOZA	KRISTY H THAI ETHAND D AMEDIT CADATA		JOSUE BARREIRO MENDOZA	ALEXIS R BAUTISTA-MOYANO	ALEUANUKA CAMAKENA RENE CAMARENA	VICTORIA M CASILLAS	AMANDA D CROSS		JEANETTE A DEMENECES	JAKED D GAKCIA	٩Ž	KIMBERLY HUY	MARITZA JIMENEZ	JOHNNY LUNA	STEPHANIA LUNA	ELALNE M MA'AE	UUAN MEULINA RETANNA M MOODF	JACOB J NEELY	NOEL N NICHOLAS	GABRIELA O'CADIZ-HERNAND	CARLSILAN FANGAN PERIA PERALTA		SUGEIRY REYNOSO	MARINA Y ROMERO	TANYA ROSAS	KICAKUO SALULVAK EMERON J SCHIJIMDREPCEP			BREANA C VARGAS DATSV O VENCES)	PAGE TOTAL = 114457.09
D318204 D318206 D318208 D318208	D318212 D318214 D318214	D318218	D318220 D318222	D318224	D318226	D318230	D318232	D318234	D318238	D318240	D318242	D318244	D318246	D318248	D318252	D318254	D318256	D318258	D318260	7978767	D318766	D318268	D318270	D318272	D318276	D318278	D318280	D318282	292915U	D318286	D318290	D318292	D318294	D318298	**** PA(

S PAGE 09/14/17 PAYROLL WARRANT REGISTER BY WARRANT NUMBER

00 1	80.4 80.4	732.1	335.0	1.7e0	4537.59	225.	4543.93	CT./CCC	3/48.92 2667 01	743	1884.47	7870.35	0	9				2450 57			0	m	ω.	2	'n.		œ, c	97.1755 97 CEVE		0	Ч.	4325.59	4, 0	nα	40/9.33 1717 10	- r	: -: 	6.	233	1548.20	45.	6917.52		
SVETLANA MOURE	JOHN D BARANGER III		GUY BROWN	DANIEL L CLEARWATER	JOE W CRAWFORD		TAMES I. CARRADI	TEFE W	MICHU		NICHOLAS	NORMAN	CHEYNE C MAULE	SHANE D MELLEM	MAKK A MICKELISEN		WADR F	-	SCOTT A	WILLLAM		MARIO G VALDERRAMA		JASON R BLOMGREN	DAVID M CARLSON	JOSHUA A FELDMAN	GAKKET M FUKUTA DETED M HIDED	TAVCEN P TRETTS		A A	ANTHONY J PAGE	א.	DAVID C SANCHEZ EDIC THODEON	CDECODY D WITT TAME		DON T NGITYEN	JOSEPH I VALENZUELA	RANDY ABRAHAMSON	CAROLE A KANEGAE			THOMAS R DARE		
5 D318301	9 D318	4 D318	2	8 D318311			0		B D318323													D318351	- 12 - 12		D31835	D3		סכסדכת		Д	А		C/ 5815U			D318	D3183	D3183	D3183	D31839	D31			
304.7	851.5	1	Ч.	4, 0	υr	- 4	5075.77		6942.03	1515.02	968.9	306	294.	192.9	* -	1.0200 7.070 F				3885.15		ц,	4	<u>،</u> י	403.1	m L	247 α1α	0.0		•	Ч.	4 1	20.120C	י גע	93.2	660.1	ч.	e.	592.6	448.4	33. 1	79.TCTT	11	
DAVID M WILMES THOMAS R SCHULTZ	4	р С	ж,	VITES 1 CAMBEROS	ף פ		FELLNER	DREW R GARCIA		WILLIAM R JAEGER	-	COREY L LINDSAY		TERRY A MCGOVERN JR TERRY W WELTEW	SON I. NCITVEN	FREDERTCK N NTRLO	MICHAEL KURT RIETH	DENNIS L RUZICKA	NICK R SCHAEFER		JUSTIN D TRAVER	ຼົ	DAVID S WALDSCHMIDT	UOSEPH A WINGERT JR	MILLES A BUKKUUGHS	FARKER W CARY TIMOTUV D DIGUED	SHANE S HOWEY	JORDAN R JEMIOLA	ANTHONY L KNAACK	뛈	ERIC S NORRDIN	EKIC M FALOMO DICUNDD DONETNDT		RYAN D VAN WTE	JEREMIE E YORKE	LISA S GUARDI	NICHOLAS S SEELEY	Ь	TODD D ELGIN		TESENTS CANDOS	UESENTA CAMPUS	PAGE TOTAL = 353442.11	
D318300 D318302	D318304	D318306	D318308	015015U	216916U	1318316	D318318	D318320	D318322	D318324	D318326	D318328	0558150	255815U	1318336	D318338	D318340	D318342	D318344	D318346	D318348	D318350	2358154	435875U		8000101 0308101	D318362	D318364	D318366	D318368	D318370	2/53157 722157	D318376	D318378	D318380	D318382	31838	38	8	D318390	D318392		5 **** PAC	

Page 42 of 206

PAYROLL WARRANT REGISTER BY WARRANT NUMBER 09/14/17 PAGE 6

2461.56	57				2000.0		20 L	ų.	ο, i	4	1816.11	2290.55	4579.25	5534.55	2347.77	2791.82	3239 61	2147 42	3574 72	211 1300		00.0044 C0 000C		40.007 70.02	20.0/57 01 1000	7074.L7	14.1181 01 1005	07.TU20	20.47.67		2889.64	2030.32 7575 40		00.5012 9785	27.8776	3667.98	2016.47	939.17	769.	2445.81	404.	<i></i>	2329.46	3149.91	1889.45	238.6	3616.99 4207.01	
	NC		0								SA																						10															
ROBERT D FOWLER	CINDY S NAGAMATSU HANLON		- r		2 🖻	> Ę	UEROME L CREATRAM NATHANTET D COV				MICHELLE N ESTI	· JOSEPH I	ALLAN S	MICHAEL J JENSEN		TIMOTHY P KOVACS	CHRISTOF			JEREMY N MORSE			•		CHRISTIN R POCEDS	REALEV D CTENE	VINCENTE	DANTET T			VERCE	H NHOL			BRIAN M CLASBY JR		HECTOR FERREIRA JR	ROGER A FLANDERS	ROBERT J GIFFORD	ALDO U GUERECA	Ь	RAPHAEL M LEE		MARK A LORD	GIANLUCA F MANIACI	3 (UEFFREY C NGUYEN LUIS A PAYAN	
D318397	D318399	D318401	2018120						U318413	CT BALEU	D318417	D318419	D318421	D318423	D318425	D318427	D318429	D318431	D318433	D318435	D318437	D318439	D318441	212425C	D318445	CFF OT CC	D318449	L2 L D L C L	1218457 2278120	1318455	1318457	12407CU	D318461	D318463	D318465	D318467	D318469	D318471	D318473	D318475	D318477	D318479	D318481	D318483	D318485	184	n m	
6	ň	5 L	6	-	(. -	10		۰ ر	9 C	11	ى م	m,	3	ଁ ଅ	0	0	4	г	7	2	9	e	. 00			וני		l LC		· œ	ט נר	. –	i LO	4	7	en en	9	9	ň	Ω	0			סת	5 (
3938.3	1820.7	4031.3	2296.8	513	י ע י ע י ע	224	06 7092	- U - C - C	20.6/6/ CF 9CF3F	0 0 0	2002.85	2170.73	3155.92	275.	197	2339.80	œ	2355.4	2469.17	2457.8	2064.7	5183.1	3868.0	3198.1	2671.0	3246.4	3310.8	3005 1	2427.07	3618.5	2897.1	2974.81	1998.45	2366.34	2562.7	0	2102.50	4	0	939.	569.	'n.	507.4	9.12T	2.8cU	77.6/TS	987.4	
HELENA ELSOUSOU	ы.	JEFFREY C NIGHTENGALE	TIMOTHY R ASHBAUGH	CARLOS BAUTISTA JR	SUMMER A BOGUE	ROBERT W CAMPERIAL	GARY L COULTER		NICHOLAS A DE ALMETDA LO		UAKET & UAKET	¢ i		ARMOTTOH J. HOLLOWAY	NICKOLAS K JENSEN	KRISTOFER D KELLEY	AUSTIN C LAVERTY	JON D LOFQUIST			MITCHEL S MOSSER		JASON S PERKINS	S		GAREY D STAAL	BRIAN T STROUD	EDGAR VALENCIA	JONATHAN B WAINWRIGHT	ADAM D ZMIJA	CLAUDIA ALARCON	PEDRO R ARELLANO	JOSHUA K BEHZAD	VANESSA M BRODEUR	DAVID Y H CHANG	д	JOSHUA N ESCOBEDO	GEORGE R FIGUEREDO	MICHAEL E GERDIN	SEAN M GLEASON	BKLAN HATFIELD	AKTON L'HOTNY L'ANDER	CUADICS U TORREAD	TAVIOD A MACV	NATHAN T MODTON	RITOLIAH J NECRON	STEVEN TRUJILLO ORTIZ	PAGE TOTAL = 286874.82
D318396	D318398	D318400	D318402	D318404	D318406	D318408	D318410	D318412	D318414		01707CU		024815U	D318422	D318424	D318426	D318428	D318430	D318432	D318434	D318436	D318438	D318440	D318442	D318444	D318446	D318448	D318450	D318452	D318454	D318456	D318458	D318460	D318462	D318464	D318466	D318468	D318470	D318472	D318474	D3184/6	8/ #875M	007075U	D318484	1218486	D318488	D318490	A4 ***

5 PAGE 09/14/17 PAYROLL WARRANT REGISTER BY WARRANT NUMBER

20092.98 20092.98 20092.98 20092.98 26538.95 26538.95 26538.95 27396.95 176.08 176.08 176.08 176.08 2253.94 176.08 2253.94 176.08 1526.01 18450.43 2253.94 176.08 176.08 176.08 1770.65 2253.94 1770.65 2253.94 1770.65 2253.94 1770.53 2253.94 1770.53 2253.94 1770.53 2253.94 1770.53 2253.94 1770.53 2253.94 1770.53 2253.94 1770.53 2253.94 1770.53 2253.94 1770.53 2253.94 1770.53 2253.94 1770.53 2253.94 1770.53 2253.94 1770.53 2253.94 1770.53 2253.94 1770.53 2253.94 1770.53 2253.94 1770.53 2253.94 1770.53 2253.94 1770.53 2255.60 1722.54 2730.55 260.47 1722.54 2732.54 2732.54 2732.54 2732.54 2732.55	1759.58
SINDY RAMIREZ OROZCO RYAN R RICHMOND SEAN M SALAZAR FHILIF E SCHMIDT SEAN M SALAZAR FHILIF E SCHMIDT SOBERT M STEPHENSON III JOHN J YERGLER PAUL W ASHBY MICHAEL K ELHAMI DANNY J MIHALIK ROCKY F RUBALCABA JUGM L DELGADO JR BENJAMIN M ELIZONDO GEORGE KALSER NICHOLAS A LAZENBY PETER HOANG VI DONALD J HUTCHINS EENICK LEXVA RAUL MURTILLO JR COURTNEY P ALLISON BERJON PETER HOANG VI DONALD J HUTCHINS ERICK LEXVA RAUL MURTILLO JR COURTNEY P ALLISON RANDY G CHUNG DANIEL ALVAREZ RAUL MURTILLO JR COURTNEY P ALLISON RAUDY G CHUNG RAUL MURTILLO JR COURTNEY P ALLISON RAUL MURTILLO JR COURTNEY J BELL KRYSTAL L N JEAN KEIRA LONG KEIRA LONG KEIRA LONG KEIRA LONG KEIRA LONG KEIRA LONG KEIRA LONG KEIRA LONG KRYSTAL L N JEAN KRYSTAL J N JEAN KRYSTAL L N JEAN KRYSTAL N N S	រដ
$\begin{array}{c} D318493\\ D318495\\ D318495\\ D318499\\ D318501\\ D318503\\ D318503\\ D318503\\ D3185503\\ D3185519\\ D3185519\\ D3185519\\ D3185519\\ D3185519\\ D3185519\\ D3185519\\ D3185529\\ D3185529\\ D3185523\\ D3185529\\ D3185529\\ D3185559\\ D31855559\\ D3185559\\ D3185559\\ D3185559\\ D3185559\\ D3185559\\ D3185569\\ D3185559\\ D3185559\\ D3185559\\ D3185559\\ D3185569\\ D3185569\\ D3185569\\ D3185569\\ D3185555\\ D3185555\\ D3185555\\ D3185555\\ D3185555\\ D3185569\\ D3185569\\ D3185569\\ D3185555\\ D3185555\\ D3185569\\ D31855$	318587
2174.71 24695.58 2469.65 2469.65 2469.65 2469.65 2469.65 3141.63 3557.71 3557.71 3557.71 3557.71 25247.94 33657.71 3557.71 2557.58 3185.80 706.10 706.10 706.10 2275.38 3185.80 7104.96 5267.78 8137.66 81377.60 2158.65 11875.60 2158.75 21598.06 11114.89 21598.06 51286.57 22557.56 21526.56 21526.57 2255.78 2255.78 22557.56 2267.778 22557.56 22567.778 22557757.778 22557.778 22557.778 22557757757778 2255775778 22557757778 22557757778 22557757777777777	
OMAR F PEREZ JOHN E RANEY ERIC T RUZIECKI LINO G SANTANA CHRISTOPHER M SHELGREN ARTHUR F TINTLE JR KATHERINE M ANDERSON THOWAS A CAPPS PATRICK E GILDEA RON A REYES ROVCE C WIMMER CHRISTOPHER M EARLE OTTO J ESCALLANTE PETER M KUNKEL LUIS F RAMIREZ JEFFREY A BROWN JASON L JOHNSON RYAN M LUX JOSHUA T OLLVO LIISA A BELTHIUS ADAM B COUGHRAN CRAIG A HERRICK JOSHUA T OLLVO LIISA A BELTHIUS ADAM B COUGHRAN CRAIG A HERRICK JOHN O OJEISEKHOBA CARL J WHITNEY FELUCIA H PEREZ ROBERT E BOWERS ROBERT E BOWERS KENNETH L CHISM JAMES E COLEGROVE RUSSELL B DRISCOLL MICHAEL FEHER JAMES D FISCHER VICTORIA A GILL SHAYLEN L MAO PATRICK M THRASHER TUONG-VAN NGUYEN VU ROBERT L BOGUE JR GARY E ELKINS JASON S FULTON ROBERT J KIVLER EDUARDO C LEIVA REBECCA S MEERS ADAVID C YOUNG CARLS I BRUNICK VICTORIA L BRUNICK	
D318492 D318494 D318494 D318506 D318506 D318506 D318506 D318516 D318516 D318516 D318516 D318516 D3185514 D3185514 D3185514 D3185528 D3185528 D3185528 D3185526 D3185528 D3185566 D3185576 D3185566 D3185576 D3285576 D3585576 D3585576 D3585576 D3585576 D3585576 D359576 D359576	D318586 **** PA(

Page 44 of 206

ω PAGE 09/14/17 PAYROLL WARRANT REGISTER BY WARRANT NUMBER

2172.09 1828 84	2010	1064 70	- in	9.	0.0	2083.23 2919 58			1.7	9.		ה מ	, c	2309.53	2679.16	10	1060.87	2023.25	249.66	2718.06	18.0802		2663.09	പ		1720.97		n n	1597.31		364.	1736.11	1730.49	117.0	0	161.9	15938.27	י כ	531.	
SUSAN C HUANG ALLYSON T LE		⊣⊢	14		JENNIFER A DIX	ROBERT D	MICHAEI		TANYA L		MAKSHA D SPELLMAN		RAY E BEX	DANIEL A CAMARA	HAN J CHO		STEPHEN C ESTLOW	JAMES D FRANKS	STEVEN H HEINE	TOSEDH T. ZOLANO	DAVID LOPEZ	TERRA M RAMIREZ	PAUL M TESSIER	RONALD A DOSCHER	MARY C CERDA	ILTINE V KUNN	SHERRILL A MEAD	LAURA J STOVER			ANTHONY VALENZUELA		CHARLES D KALIL	RACHOT MORAGRAAN	ANAND V RAO	ROD T VICTORIA	ROLICE ASSN	GREAT WEST LIFE 457 #340	E SER	
D318589 D318591	185	1 6		D318601	D318603	D318607	D318609	D318611	D318613	D318615	131815U	D318621	D318623	D318625	D318627	D318629	D318631	D318633	259815U	7218620 1218629	D318641	D318643	D318645	D318647	D318649	TC98TEU TC98TEU	D318655	D318657	D318659	D318661	D318663	D318667	D318669	D318671	D318673	D318675	7/99TCM	W239	40	а 11 ЭК
1974.60 1510.40	2199.31 1387 64	203.0	610	1543.64		072.3		221.6	n.	<u>۲</u>	2322.11 1874 58	10	2390.46	3824.83	2750.74	H.	1217.55	ņ	1916.93 2072 12	51.2162	2781.27	1808.89			2252.16 1995 60	2378.66	<u>م</u>		618.3	631.8 717 7	121/./2 1808 25	00		2695.03	ი	80.27.77 CV 01CC	721.4	6440.	3121.44	×
PINKY C HINGCO SHELBY KEUILIAN	ANGELA LEDESMA BRTTTINNER D MCGOWEN	DEBRA J NICHOLS		KLMBRA S VELLANOWETH SHANNON M VELENSEV	KATHERINE M FRANCISCO	ARCHIE GUZMAN	MELISSA MENDOZA-CAMPOS	BRANDY J PARK	JENNIFER M RODRIGUEZ	DUSAN A L SEYMOUR		CHERYL L WHITNEY	EVAN S BERESFORD	RICHARD O BURILLO	JOHN CASACCIA II	SCOTT A COLEMAN	KICHARD E DESBLENS	ИТСПАБЫ И ГАКИВІ ОБТТ СЛОСТЛ	TOSE D HERRERA	GERALD F JORDAN	LEA K KOVACS	STEVEN W LUKAS	ORLONZO REYES		EKIC A UUINTERO NTCOLF I, CHING	SUSAN A HOLSTEIN	JANY H LEE	CAITLYN M STEPHENSON	FRANA K CASSIDY	MDTTHEW T SWANSON	CANDY G WILDER	TERENCE S CHANG	CESAR GALLO	GEOFFREY A KLOESS	TOERDY W SCHWART	UCSEFA M SCAWAKIS TERRET, KETTH WINCTON	GG FIRE FIGHTERS 2005	SOUTHLAND CU	GREAT WEST LIFE OBRA#340	PAGE TOTAL = 837387.66
D318588 D318590	D318592 D318594	D318596	D318598	D318600	D318604	D318606	D318608	D318610	D318612	1318614 7138150	D318618	D318620	D318622	D318624	D318626	D318628	D218630	260016U	7218636	D318638	D318640	D318642	D318644	D318646	D318650	D318652	D318654	D318656	D318658	D318660	D318664	D318666	D318668	D318670	7198T5U	#/00TCT	D318678	m	W2399	1 **** PA

Page 45 of 206

σ PAGE 09/14/17 PAYROLL WARRANT REGISTER BY WARRANT NUMBER

109391.72

W2401 EMPLOYMENT DEVELOPMENT D

109391.72 11 **** PAGE TOTAL

e	2	t		6
53	712	•	•	769
TOTAL CHECK PAYMENTS	DIRECT DEPOSITS	WIRE PAYMENTS		GRAND TOTAL PAYMENTS
CHECK	DIREC	WIRE]		TOTAL
TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL		GRAND

64,410.38 1,742,836.78 613,701.22 2,420,948.38

Checks #181630 thru #181682, and Direct Deposits #D317969 thru #D318680, and wire #W2398 thru #W2401 presented in the Payroll Register submitted to the Garden Grove City Council 19 SEP 2017, have been audited for accuracy and funds are available for payment thereof.

- FINANCE DIRECTOR C OKEREKE KINGSLAY

09/26/17
APPROVAL
FOR
COUNCIL
CITY
ΓO
SUBMITTED
SUBM
WARRANTS

-

	WARKANTS SUBMITTED TO CITY COUNCIL FOR APPROVAL 09/26/1/		
WARRANT	VENDOR	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
625857	HD SUPPLY FACILITIES MAINTENANCE LTD-USA BLUEBOOK	REV & VOID	-1,106.12 *
627808	CARMODY CONSTRUCTION COMPANY	REV & VOID	* 00.0
627845	KRIS BEARD	REV & VOID	-573.70 *
627930	CALKINS, RONALD	REV & VOID	-1,070.00 *
627969	DINH, THANH	REV & VOID	-1,578.00 *
628044	HOANG, JAMES	REV & VOID	-3,152.00 *
628073	K & K INVESTMENTS, LP	REV & VOID	530.00 *
628272	NHAN, VU	REV & VOID	-1,128.00 *
628325	PP TT, LLC	REV & VOID	-2,201.00 *
628647.	SOUTHERN COMPUTER WAREHOUSE, INC	REV & VOID	-1,369.66 *
W626585	GREEN, WILLIAM C/O G REYES	REV & VOID	-1,099.00 *
628664	FUN EXPRESS	ADMN/ENTRANCE FEE	3,553.90 *
.628665	ELHAMI, MICHAEL	TRAVEL ADVANCE-P.D.	787.68 *
628666	LOERA JR.*, RAFAEL	TRAVEL ADVANCE-P.D.	48.30 *
628667	LOWE*, SCOTT T	MED TRUST REIMB	310.00 *
628668	R.J. NOBLE COMPANY	STREET CONSTR CONT	406,640.65 *
628669	POSTMASTER	POSTAGE	7,700.00 *
628670	SAFEWAY INC	OTHER FOOD ITEMS HSHLD EQUIP/SUPPLIES	133.27 6.45 139.72 *
628671 J	U.S. BEHAVIORAL HEALTH FLAN, CA	NON-SPEC CONTR SERV	1,671.60 *
22 ge 47 o t	ALICIA REYES FLORES	DEPOSIT REFUNDS RECREATION REFUND	450.00 33.00 483.00 *
628 67 3	HUTCHINS, DONALD	TRAVEL ADVANCE-P.D.	220.54 *

PAGE TOTAL FOR "*" LINES = 407,747.91

~
9
\sim
~
6
-
-
T
Δ
б
R
Щ.
APPROV
FOR
FOR
ц
H
Ŗ
5
COUNCI
0
Ж
É
H
CITY
0
ΓO
0
Б
Ē
E
H
m
Б
S
S
WARRANTS
N
Å
R
AF
M

	AMOUNT	250.00 *	150.00 *	2,267.72 *	13,907.05 *	837.84 *	55.00 *	290.00 *	683.82 *	1,898.00 289.90 2,187.90 *	19.95	20.00 204.85 448.27	172.38 900.45 *	872.96 67.32 940.28 *	419.00 *	606.75 *	584.39 *	131.62	2,783.71 1,325.00 200.00		
	DESCRIPTION	TUITION/TRAINING	DUES/MEMBERSHIPS	TRAVEL ADVANCE-P.D.	SEWER FEES	TRAVEL ADVANCE-P.D.	TUITION/TRAINING	TRAVEL ADVANCE-P.D.	EMPL COMPUTER PURCH	TUITION/TRAINING OTHER MAINT ITEMS	POSTAGE TAXES/1.TCENSES	POOKS/SUBS/CASSETTES PIPES/APPURTENANCES	MINOR FURN/EQUIP	ADVERTISING FOOD	MV GAS/DIESEL FUEL	MV GAS/DIESEL FUEL	MV GAS/DIESEL FUEL	OTHER PROF SERV	L/S/A TRANSFORTION REGISTRATION FEES TUITION/TRAINING		
COUNCIL FOR APPROVAL 09/26/17		HOUSING AUTHORITIES-ROBERT C					T									2				TOTAL FOR "*" LINES = 24,080.20	
WARRANTS SUBMITTED TO CITY	VENDOR	CALIFORNIA ASSOCIATION OF	GFOA	JOHNSON, JASON	OCSD FINANCIAL MNGNT DIV	ROMBOUGH, JENNIFER	ORANGE COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPT	DANNY MIHALIK	LEDESMA, ARCELIA	UNION BANK	UNION BANK			UNION BANK	UNION BANK	UNION BANK	UNION BANK	UNION BANK		PAGE TO	
	WARRANT	628674	628675	628676	628677	628678	628679	628680	628681	628682	628683			628684	628685	628686	628687	628688	Page 4	8 of 20	6

N

	WARKANTS SUBMITTED TO CITY COUNCIL FOR APPROVAL 09/26/17		
WARRANT	VENDOR	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
		FOOD NETWORKING SUPPLIES	854.00 17.63 5,311.96 *
628689	AT&T	TELEPHONE	1,596.59 *
628690	AT&T	TELEPHONE	278.54 *
628691	SPOK, INC.	TELEPHONES/BEEPERS	189.11 *
628692	FRONTIER COMMUNICATIONS	TELEPHONE/BEEPERS	374.02 *
628693-628695	VOID WARRANTS		
628696	SO CALIF EDISON CO	ELECTRICITY	148,901.99 *
628697	SO CALIF GAS CO	NATURAL GAS	10,713.79 *
628698	SPRINT	TELEPHONE	69.60 *
628699	TIME WARNER CABLE	CABLE	450.08 *
628700	VERIZON WIRELESS-LA	TELEPHONE/BEEPERS	15,498.21 *
628701	UNION BANK	ADVERTISING TAXES/LICENSES FOOD SERV SUPPL	14.99 68.99 112.52 196.50 *
628702	UNION BANK	TAXES/LICENSES FOOD OFFICE SUPPLIES/EXP OTHER MINOR TOOLS/EQ OTHER REC/CULT SUPP	19.99 270.81 97.97 11.97 77.50
628703	UNION BANK	FaCT:YTH ENRCH OTHER PROF SERV	107.06 -101.67
Page 49 of		FOOD FOOD SERV SUPPL OFFICE SUPPLIES/EXP MINOR OFFICE FURN/EQ OTHER REC/CULT SUPP	505.71 65.96 465.27 384.62 184.16
206	PAGE TOTAL FOR "*" LINES = 184,058.63		

WARRANTS SUBMITTED TO CITY COUNCIL FOR APPROVAL 09/26/17	VENDOR DESCRIPTION AMOUNT	1,814.45 *	UNION BANK REC/WORD PROC SUPPL 280.14 323.82 *		0FFICE SUPPLIES/EXP 91.02 0FFICE SUPPLIES/EXP 72.25 0THER MINOR TOOLS/EQ 40.59 AMARDS/TROPHIES 267.55 OTHER REC/CULT SUPP 391.02 SIGNS/FLAGS/BANNERS 71.12	COURTYARD MARRIOTT SACRAMENTO AIRPORT NATOMAS OTHER CONF/MTG EXP 670.52 *	COURTYARD MARRIOTT SACRAMENTO AIRPORT NATOMAS OTHER CONF/MTG EXP 670.52 *	VOID WARRANT	THOMCO CONSTRUCTION, INC. 33,262.25 *	REGISTRATION FEES 150.00 *	SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS CO ML 711D BLDGS/IMPROVEMENTS 10,945.19 *	STATE OF CALIF-FRANCHISE TAX BOARD 205.66 *	CHEVRON AND TEXACO CARD SERV 420.74 *	<pre>D PROC/ENGRAVING :YTH ENRCH</pre>	FOOD FOOD SERV SUPPL 677.21 BOTTLED WATER 424.50 OTHER FOOD ITEMS 460.07
WAR	WARRANT		628704 UNIO	628705 UNIO		628706 COURT	628707 COURT	628708 VOID	628709 THOMC	628710 OCBC	628711 SOUTH	628712 STATE	628713 CHEVF	628714 COSTC	Page 5

PAGE TOTAL FOR "*" LINES = 50,128.14

	AMOUNT	12.04 1,964.66 *	1,043.74 *	796.21 *	1,043.74 *	161.00 *	683.82 *	23,329.03 *	343.70 *	1,043.74 *	343.38 *	1,043.74 *	138.00 *	129.23 *	979.02 *	461.54 *	192.30 *	9,684.21 *	37.16 *	446.91 165 EA	r
	DESCRIPTION	OFFICE SUPPLIES/EXP	TRAVEL ADVANCE-P.D.	EMPL COMPUTER PURCH	TRAVEL ADVANCE-P.D.	TRAVEL ADVANCE-P.D.	EMPL COMPUTER PURCH	MV GAS/DIESEL FUEL	DEP CARE REIMB	TRAVEL ADVANCE-P.D.	WAGE ATTACHMENT	TRAVEL ADVANCEP.D.	TRAVEL ADVANCE-P.D.	DEP CARE REIMB	TRAVEL ADVANCE-I.T.	WAGE ATTACHMENT	DEP CARE REIMB	MAINT-SERV CONTRACTS	MED TRUST REIMB	FOOD FOOD SEDIA SUDDI	
09/26/17																					43,418.22
ED TO CITY COUNCIL FOR APPROVAL	~	2		#2 54							SUPPORT ACCOUNT					74X 34	42 2.1				PAGE TOTAL FOR "*" LINES = 43,
WARRANTS SUBMITTED TO	VENDOR		DARE, THOMAS R	DIEMERT, RONALD W	EL-FARRA, AMIR	HALLER, TROY	LEDESMA, ANGELA	i.i. FUELS, INC	LEE, GRACE	LEIVA, EDUARDO	MARYLAND CHILD SUF	MEEKS, REBECCA S	NELSON, AARON S	PHI, THYANA	PROFFITT, NOEL	CO. OF ORANGE	RUITENSCHILD, LES	S.C. YAMAMOTO, INC.	SAUCEDO, DANA	SMART & FINAL	
	WARRANT		628715	628716	628717	628718	628719	628720	628721	628722	628723	628724	628725	628726	628727	628728	628729	628730	628731	628732	Page 51 of 206

S

VENDOR

WARRANT

DESCRIPTION

-768.09 112.00 393.96 385.65 70.02 2.64 27.08 35.08 1,824.77 9,000.00 138.46 37.50 200.00 1,077.50 123.52 134.31 128.48 184.62 276.92 220.54 216.00 134.00 812.00 AMOUNT OFFICE SUPPLIES/EXP OTHER REC/CULT SUPP TRAVEL ADVANCE-P.D. FOOD PREP UTENSILS TRAVEL ADVANCE-P.D. TRAVEL ADVANCE-P.D. OTHER CONF/MTG EXP OTHER FOOD ITEMS TUITION/TRAINING TUITION/TRAINING MED TRUST REIMB WAGE ATTACHMENT WAGE ATTACHMENT WAGE ATTACHMENT DEP CARE REIMB DEP CARE REIMB DEP CARE REIMB BOTTLED WATER SELF-INS ADMN SUBSISTENCE LODGING ORANGE COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPT INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE AMERICAN RIVER COLLEGE VALDIVIA, CLAUDIA VISCOMI, MICHAEL HUTCHINS, DONALD CARL WARREN & CO TRUONG, ELAINE CO. OF ORANGE HODSON, AARON CO. OF ORANGE WILDER, CANDY SHARON BAEK 628733 628739 628735 628736 628740 628745 628734 628738 628741 628742 628743 628744 628737

PAGE TOTAL FOR "*" LINES = 13, 540.40

30.00 109.28

-152.84 163.72 68.40

L/S/A TRANSPORTATION

OTHER CONF/MTG EXP

SUBSISTENCE

TRAVEL ADVANCE-P.D.

GUZMAN, ARCHIE

628746

Page 52 of 206

09/26/17	
APPROVAL	
FOR	
COUNCIL	
CITY	
ΟĽ	
SUBMITTED	
NTS	

	WARKANTS SUBMITTED TO CITY COUNCIL FOR APPROVAL 09/26/17		
WARRANT	VENDOR	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
		NETWORKING SUPPLIES SOFTWARE OFFICE SUPPLIES/EXP	321.76 39.99 5.93 825.97 *
628762	CARMODY CONSTRUCTION COMPANY	PROJECT REAPPROP	13,892.40 *
628763	A&A WIFING CLOTH, INC	WHSE INVENTORY	1,111.17 *
.628764	ABOVE THE TOP PARTY RENTALS & EVENT SERVICES INC	OTHER PROF SERV	625.50 *
628765	APP-ORDER, LLC	OTHER PROF SERV	340.00 *
628766	A-1 FENCE COMPANY	HARDWARE	82.23 *
628767	ADAMSON POLICE PRODUCTS	MOTOR VEH PARTS	1,304.31 *
628768	ADVANCED IMAGING STRATEGIES INC	OFFICE SUPPLIES/EXP MINOR OFFICE FURN/EQ MINOR FURN/EQUIP	274.76 743.48 538.75 1,556.99 *
628769	ALAN'S LAWN AND GARDEN CENTER INC.	MOTOR VEH PARTS OTHER MINOR TOOLS/EQ	1,794.55 293.46 2,088.01 *
628770	ALHAMBRA FOUNDRY CO LTD	WHSE INVENTORY	1,848.44 *
628771	ALLEY KAT MUSIC CENTER	INSTRUCTOR SERVICES	434.70 *
628772	ANAHEIM HOUSING AUTHORITY COMMUNITY DEV.	MOBILITY INSP FEE	1,125.00 *
628773	AQUA-METRIC SALES, CO.	WHSE INVENTORY OTHER MAINT ITEMS	13,801.83 1,885.63 15,687.46 *
628774	DE PAR, INC. DBA ENTHALPY ANALYTICAL, INC.	OTHER PROF SERV	1,629.00 *
628775 T D	BC WIRE ROPE & RIGGING	OTHER MAINT ITEMS	787.83 *
628 6 76	BAVCO	PIPES/APPURTENANCES	448.27 *
²⁸⁴ 859	BIG RON'S AUTO BODY & PAINT, INC.	REPAIRS-FURN/MACH/EQ	3,126.20 *
206	PAGE TOTAL FOR "*" LINES = 46,913.48		

00

	WARRANTS SUBMITTED TO CITY COUNCIL FOR APPROVAL 09/26/17		
WARRANT	VENDOR	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
628778	BOUND TREE MEDICAL LLC	WHSE INVENTORY	443.82 *
628779	C.WELLS PIPELINE MATERIALS INC.	WHSE INVENTORY OTHER MAINT ITEMS	1,905.89 1,236.99 3,142.88 *
628780	CAMERON WELDING SUPPLY	FaCT: PROGRAM EXP MOTOR VEH PARTS OTHER MAINT ITEMS	20.09 32.18 44.27 96.54 *
628781	SUPPLYWORKS	WHSE INVENTORY JANITORIAL SUPPLIES	1,614.37 592.53 2,206.90 *
628782	CLEANSTREET	STREET SWEEPING SERV	1,979.00 *
628783	CONTINENTAL CONCRETE CUTTING	OTHER MAINT ITEMS GEN PURPOSE TOOLS	8,854.00 1,184.00 10,038.00 *
628784	CONTROL AUTOMATION DESIGN	MAINT-SERV CONTRACTS	870.00 *
628785	CORA CONSTRUCTORS, INC.	WTR/SWR CONST CONTR	22,814.16 *
628786	CRUISE, GERALD J	INSTRUCTOR SERVICES	1,673.63 *
628787	WM CURBSIDE, LLC AT YOUR DOOR	OTHER PROF SERV	180.00 *
628788	L.N.CURTIS & SONS	SAFETY EQUIP SAFETY EQ/SUPPLIES FIRE HOSE RPLCMT	461.01 617.85 16,630.91 17,709.77 *
628789	DIAMOND ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES	MAINT-SERV CONTRACTS OTHER MAINT ITEMS	406.93 299.54 706.47 *
628790 - 0	DUBRUL, ERVIN	DUES/MEMBERSHIPS	255.00 *
6287801 0	EWING IRRIGATION PRODUCTS, INC.	PIPES/APPURTENANCES	810.18 *
5 <mark>5 of 20</mark> 59	EXCLUSIVE AUTO DETAIL	MOTOR VEHICLE MAINT	228.00 *
06	PAGE TOTAL FOR "*" LINES = 63,154.35		

б

	WARRANTS SUBMITTED TO CITY COUNCIL FOR APPROVAL 09/26/17		
WARRANT	VENDOR	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
628793	EXPERIAN INFO SOLUTIONS INC	OTHER PROF SERV	78.20 *
628794	FARMER BROTHERS CO.	FOOD SERV SUPPL	555.12 *
628795	FISHER, TIM*	TUITION/TRAINING	680.00 *
628796	FORD OF ORANGE	MOTOR VEH PARTS	4,330.15 *
628797	THE SHERWIN-WILLIAMS CO DBA FRAZEE PAINTS	PAINT/DYE/LUBRICANTS	244.34 *
628798	GBS LINENS	LAUNDRY SERVICES	19,18 *
628799	MONTROSE ENVIRONMENTAL GROUP ES ENGINEERING SERVICES	OTHER PROF SERV	3,133.00 *
628800	GPSIT	MOTOR VEHICLE MAINT MAINT-SERV CONTRACTS	160.00 160.00 320.00 *
628801	GANAHL LUMBER COMPANY	OTHER MINOR TOOLS/EQ	247.80 *
628802	REPUBLIC SERVICES #676	TRASH/CLEANING SERV	37.87 *
628803	GARDEA, LAURA	INSTRUCTOR SERVICES	1,002.75 *
628804	GILBARCO INC.	SOFTWARE	1,131.38 *
628805	GRISWOLD, CINDY	INSTRUCTOR SERVICES	289.80 *
628806	HARBOR POINTE AIR CONDITIONING & CONTROL SYSTEMS, INC.	MAINT-SERV CONTRACTS AIR COND SUPPLIES	410.00 240.00 650.00 *
628807	HILLCO FASTENER WAREHOUSE	HARDWARE	291.88 *
628808	HILL'S BROS LOCK & SAFE INC	MAINT OF REAL PROP MOTOR VEH PARTS OTHER MAINT ITEMS OTHER MINOR TOOLS/EQ	15.09 28.99 21.16 11.53
Pag		HAKDWAKE	391.59 *
628	CALIBER BODYWORKS, INC. DBA CALIBER COLLISION CENTERS	REPAIRS-FURN/MACH/EQ	2,668.23 *

PAGE TOTAL FOR "*" LINES = 16,071.29

of 206

Ц

1.1.1 5 C E WARRANTS SURMITT

	WARRANTS SUBMITTED TO CITY COUNCIL FOR APPROVAL 09/26/17		
WARRANT	VENDOR	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
628810	APPLE ONE EMPLOYMENT SVS	TEMP AIDE SERVICES	2,026.08 *
628811	J & M SERVICE, INC.	OTHER CONST SUPPLIES	312.91 *
628812	JOHNSTONE SUPPLY	AIR COND SUPPLIES	967.61 *
628813	KELLY PAPER	WHSE INVENTORY PAPER/ENVELOPES	1,515.83 579.32 2.095.15 *
628814	KENT, PATI	INSTRUCTOR SERVICES	976.36 *
628815	KNORR SYSTEMS, INC.	OTHER MAINT ITEMS	273.15 *
628816	LAWSON PRODUCTS, INC.	MOTOR VEH PARTS	1,391.72 *
628817	LEON'S TRANSMISSION SERVICES INC	REPAIRS-FURN/MACH/EQ	2,812.56 *
628818	LUDWIG, DAWNA	INSTRUCTOR SERVICES	1,001.74 *
628819	NAN MCKAY & ASSOCIATES INC	BOOKS/SUBS/CASSETTES	224.00 *
628820	MC MASTER-CARR SUPPLY CO	OTHER MINOR TOOLS/EQ FURN/MACH/EQUIP REPL	116.85 92.67 209.52 *
628821	F. EARL MELLOTT & ASSOC INC	BLDGS/IMPROVEMENTS	3,105.00 *
628822	MERCHANTS BLDG MAINT LLC	MAINT-SERV CONTRACTS	22,712.85 *
628823	NATIONAL CONSTRUCTION RENTALS	OTHER RENTALS	361.84 *
628824	CABCO YELLOW, INC.	L/S/A TRANSPORTATION	19,640.50 *
628825	OFFICE DEPOT, INC	OFFICE SUPPLIES/EXP	3,225.50 *
628826	NEW IMAGE COMMERCIAL FLOORING	OTHER CONST SUPPLIES	1,798.05 *
LZ8829	NIAGARA PLUMBING	PIPES/APPURTENANCES OTHER MAINT ITEMS	664.21 118.90 783.11 *
5% of 206	NICKEY PETROLEUM CO., INC. PAGE TOTAL FOR "*" LINES = 64 703 80	PAINT/DYE/LUBRICANTS	786.15 *

11

	17/02/20 TREEKONAR ON TITS OF THE CONNERS OF THE THE OF THE		
WARRANT	VENDOR	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
628829	R.J. NOBLE COMPANY	OTHER MAINT ITEMS	1,664.81 *
628830	OCEAN BLUE ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC.	OTHER MAINT ITEMS	3,755.50 *
628831	OPPERMAN & SONS TRUCK	MOTOR VEH PARTS	6,294.27 *
628832	ORANGE COUNTY APPLIANCE PARTS	ELECTRICAL SUPPLIES AIR COND SUPPLIES	64.33 11.79 76.12 *
628833	ORANGE COUNTY FIRE PROTECTION	MAINT-SERV CONTRACTS	385.00 *
628834	O.C. HOUSING AUTHORITY ACCTG DEPT.	MOBILITY INSP FEE	3,675.00 *
628835	ORANGE COUNTY WATER DISTRICT	WTR PUMPING-OCWD	29,770.50 *
628836	FACIFIC MEDICAL CLINIC	MEDICAL SERVICES	210.00 *
628837	FETDATA, INC.	OTHER PROF SERV	4,628.30 *
628838	PACIFIC 4	WHSE INVENTORY	574.37 *
628839	PEST OPTIONS, INC.	MAINT OF REAL PROP MAINT-SERV CONTRACTS NON-SPEC CONTR SERV	455.00 917.25 917.25 2,289.50 *
628840	PLUMBERS DEPOT INC.	GEN FURPOSE TOOLS	453.10 *
628841	RED WING SHOE STORE	SAFETY EQ/SUPPLIES	463.73 *
628842	LASALLE GROUP INC. DBA RIDDLE APPLIANCE & TV SVC	MAINT-SERV CONTRACTS	113.75 *
628843	NEWHOPE P & L, INC. DBA NEWHOPE PAINT & COATINGS	REPAIRS-FURN/MACH/EQ MOTOR VEHICLE MAINT	800.00 2,895.00 3,695.00 *
628844	SCP DISTRIBUTORS, LLC	OTHER MAINT ITEMS	85.88 *
6288 3 5	SAFETY MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS	TUITION/TRAINING	540.00 *
958 of 8889 900 000	SCHAEFER, NICK	L/S/A TRANSPORTATION	15.50 *
206	PAGE TOTAL FOR "*" LINES = 58,690.33		

WARRANT	VENDOR	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
628847	SELMAN LEASING	REPAIRS-FURN/MACH/EQ	1,249.61 *
628848	SIMPLOT PARTNERS	FERTILIZER	3,917.80 *
628849	SOUTH COAST EMERGENCY VEHICLE SERVICES	MOTOR VEH PARTS	28,641.31 *
628850	SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS	DUES/MEMBERSHIPS	16,487.00 *
628851	SPARKLETTS	BOTTLED WATER OTHER MAINT ITEMS	76.38 80.98 157.36 *
628852	SPECTRUM GAS PRODUCTS, INC.	MEDICAL SUPPLIES	152.00 *
628853	STATE INDUSTRIAL PRODUCTS	WHSE INVENTORY	1,918.47 *
628854	STEVEN ENTERPRISES, INC.	OTHER MAINT ITEMS	1,767.24 *
628855	SUNBELT RENTALS	HEAVY EQUIP RENTAL	659.57 *
628856	SUPERIOR WATER TECHNOLOGIES	LABORATORY CHEMICALS	807.75 *
628857	TT TECHNOLOGIES, INC	WHSE INVENTORY	1,454.63 *
628858	HONEYWELL (FORMER TOTAL FIRE GROUP)	UNIFORMS	255.70 *
628859	TRENHOLM, CHRIS	TAXES/LICENSES	200.00 *
628860	TRUCK & AUTO SUPPLY INC. TrucParCo	MOTOR VEH PARTS	1,520.26 *
628861	TURNOUT MAINTENANCE COMPANY	FIRE TURNOUTS REPAIR	703.85 *
628862	HD SUPPLY FACILITIES MAINTENANCE LTD-USA BLUEBOOK	LABORATORY CHEMICALS	1,281.69 *
628863	U.S. ARMOR CORP.	UNIFORMS	6,721.39 *
628864 62	UNIFIRST CORP	LAUNDRY SERVICES TREE TRIMMING SERV SAFETY EQ/SUPPLIES	1,799.38 147.19 130.71 2,077.28 *
t bo 65 29 9 6 359 9	UNITED PARCEL SERVICE	UPS-PERSONAL DELIVERY SERVICES	14.03 80.27
206	PAGE TOTAL FOR "*" LINES = 69,972.91		

|--|

8	WARKANTS SUBMITTED TO CITY COUNCIL FOR APPROVAL 09/26/17		
WARRANT	VENDOR	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
628881	YELLOW CAB OF GREATER OC	L/S/A TRANSPORTATION	112.00 *
628882	ZEP SALES & SERVICE	WHSE INVENTORY	612.08 *
628883	GROUP DELTA CONSULTANTS, INC	ENGINEERING SERVICES	6,257.50 *
628884	SOLANO, MARIBEL	DEPOSIT REFUNDS	1,000.00 *
628885	AGUINAGA GREEN	OTHER AGR SUPPLIES	517.20 *
628886	P.L. HAWN COMPANY, INC. AIR FILTRATION & POLLUTION CONT	AIR COND SUPPLIES	1,052.04 *
628887	L.A. GRINDING	REPAIRS-FURN/MACH/EQ	54.00 *
628888	AMARILLO GEAR COMPANY	OTHER MINOR TOOLS/EQ	1,126.98 *
628889	FACTORY MOTOR PARTS CO	MOTOR VEH PARTS	74.39 *
628890	OC CHIEF OF PD & SHRF ASSOC COSTA MESA POLICE DEPT	MAINT-SERV CONTRACTS	1,995.00 *
628891	PERFECTO PRODUCTS, INC C.W. COX COMPANY	FURN/MACH/EQUIP REPL	1,876.50 *
628892	ROARING PENGUIN SOFTWARE	MAINT-SERV CONTRACTS	5,400.00 *
628893	SAN DIEGO FLUID SYSTEM TECHNOLOGIES	PIPES/APPURTENANCES	328.49 *
628894	HA, CHUONG DUY	TENANT UTILITY REIMB	20.00 *
628895	BUSTILLOS*, RYAN	OTHER CLAIMS PAYMNT	200.00 *
628896	TRELOAR, TOM	OTHER PROF SERV	400.00 *
628897	DANG, BINH THANH T	TENANT UTILITY REIMB	20.00 *
628898	CHEMEX INDUSTRIES	JANITORIAL SUPPLIES	942.50 *
628899	DAVID DENT	TUITION/TRAINING	250.00 *
628900 -	AGO INDUSTRIES INC DBA: SO CAL PROPERTY SERVICES	MAINT-SERV CONTRACTS	1,475.00 *
628 6 01	SCWMF	OTHER EDUCATION EXP	430.00 *
658 of 20	THOMAS PLUMBING CO MILLER, THOMAS E	MAINT-SERV CONTRACTS	5,187.00 *
06	PAGE TOTAL FOR "*" LINES = 29, 330.68		

15

WARRANT	VENDOR	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
628903	NORTH NET TRAINING AUTHORITY	LUMBER	410.05 *
628904	GRACELAND COLLEGE CENTER SKILLPATH SEMINARS	OTHER EDUCATION EXP	349.00 *
628905	AGM ELECTRONICS INC.	ELECTRICAL SUPPLIES	1,437.19 *
628906	ULINE INC.	WHSE INVENTORY	132.66 *
628907	CONTROLWORKS BUILDING AUTOMATION SYSTEMS	MAINT-SERV CONTRACTS	1,295.00 *
628908	BEE REMOVERS	MAINT-SERV CONTRACTS	110.00 *
628909	KBI CONSTRUCTION, INC	OTHER PROF SERV	4,927.10 *
628910	PACIFIC PLUMBING CO OF SANTA ANA	PI PES/APPURTENANCES	113.13 *
628911	O'REILLY AUTO PARTS	MOTOR VEH PARTS	2,483.32 *
628912	COMMUNITY BANK ESCROW NO. 2473	STREET CONSTR CONT	32,635.15 *
628913	MEJIA, MARY A	TENANT UTILITY REIMB	22.00 *
628914	VORTEX INDUSTRIES INC	MAINT-SERV CONTRACTS	2,759.38 *
628915	FRANCO, BEATRIZ	DEPOSIT REFUNDS	500.00 *
628916	ESPINOSA, NIKKOLE E	DEPOSIT REFUNDS	450.00 *
628917	VERITIV OPERATING COMPANY	WHSE INVENTORY	997.29 *
628918	COSTAR GROUP, INC.	OTHER PROF SERV	431.35 *
628919	L.A. STEELCRAFT PRODUCTS INC.	OTHER MAINT ITEMS	2,000.00 *
628920	GUZMAN, SANDRA JAZMIN	TENANT UTILITY REIMB	53.00 *
628921	DANG, ANDY HUNG	TENANT UTILITY REIMB	21.00 *
628922 J	ECOLOGICAL FERTIGATION, INC.	MAINT-SERV CONTRACTS	1,155.00 *
628 90 3 0	FG SOLUTIONS LLC	OTHER PROF SERV	13,953.66 *
628 of 2	VR MANUFACTURING	ELECTRICAL SUPPLIES	157.95 *
06	PAGE TOTAL FOR "*" LINES = 66,393,23		

	WARRANTS SUBMITTED TO CITY COUNCIL FOR APPROVAL 09/26/17		
WARRANT	VENDOR	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
628925	PHS QUARTERBACK CLUB- SAMANTHA WAY	DEPOSIT REFUNDS	500.00
628926	ROSANA LOMELL WATER WISE PRO TRAINING	TUITION/TRAINING	300.00
628927	MARQUEZ, LORETTA A	TENANT UTILITY REIMB	20.00
628928	TAYLOR, ANNA LAVINE	TENANT UTILITY REIMB	10.00
628929	SOUTH COAST FENCING CENTER	INSTRUCTOR SERVICES	128.52
628930	SCHORR METALS, INC.	MOTOR VEH PARTS OTHER MINOR TOOLS/EQ	83.82 78.55 162.37
628931	LEVEL 4 HARDWARE, LLC	NETWORKING SUPPLIES	13,680.38
628932	BATTERY SYSTEMS INC.	MOTOR VEH PARTS	5,504.18
628933	FHUNG, JANNA	TENANT UTILITY REIMB	17.00
628934	LT PROPERTIES	LAND/BLDG/ROOM RENT	15,920.05
628935	CAPITOL EQUIPMENT, INC.	COMMUNICATION EQ	558.96
628936	WALLACE, LARONDA FEARLENE	TENANT UTILITY REIMB	20.00
628937	JOHNNY DAVID ALLEN JR. DBA JOHNNY ALLEN TENNIS ACADAMY	INSTRUCTOR SERVICES	430.92
628938	SUPERCO SPECIALITY PRODUCTS	WHSE INVENTORY PAINT/DYE/LUBRICANTS JANITORIAL SUPPLIES	671.50 546.83 998.56 2,216.89
628939	AMERICAN ASPHALT SOUTH, INC.	MAINT-SERV CONTRACTS	16,605.05
628940	ИGUYEN, ВЕСКҮ	TENANT UTILITY REIMB	50.00
628941	THE ORANGE COUNTY HUMANE SOCIETY	OTHER PROF SERV	24,166.66
6289 3 2	DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE	LIFESCAN FEE-DOJ	239.00
e ⁵³ of	STAR LAMINATORS, INC.	PRINTING	210.60
206	PAGE TOTAL FOR "*" LINES = 80,740.58		

17

	TI CONCEPTION ON THE COORDER FOR AFFRONT ON TO THE CONTENT		
WARRANT	VENDOR	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
628944	BANNER BANK	BLDGS/IMPROVEMENTS	1,457.56 *
628945	SIGNARAMA	MAINT OF REAL PROP	584.62 *
628946	TOASTMASTER FOUNDERS DISTRICT	DEPOSIT REFUNDS	500.00 *
628947	PEREZ, YOLANDA MARIE	TENANT UTILITY REIMB	20.00 *
628948	SCHAFER CONSULTING, INC.	OTHER PROF SERV	5,370.00 *
628949	YU FANG PAN	INSTRUCTOR SERVICES	560,00 *
628950	RABC-ECC A JOINT VENTURE	BLDGS/IMPROVEMENTS	27,693.54 *
628951	PALP, INC. DBA EXCEL PAVING CO.	PIPES/APPURTENANCES	49,425.00 *
628952	AMERICAN PAYROLL ASSOCIATION	REGISTRATION FEES	465.00 *
628953	TOPAZ ALARM CORP	OTHER PROF SERV	35.00 *
628954	YO-FIRE SUPPLIES	WHSE INVENTORY OTHER MAINT ITEMS	1,163.70 40.96 1,204.66 *
628955	GRIFFITH COMPANY	STREET CONSTR CONT	620,067.85 *
628956	TRUESDAIL LABORATORIES, INC	OTHER PROF SERV	13.00 *
628957	SEAVCO IVR SEAVER MOTORCYCLES	REPAIRS-FURN/MACH/EQ	3,601.74 *
628958	ORANGE COUNTY CLERK RECORDER HALL OF FINANCE & RECORDS	FEE REFUND	300.00 *
628959	BRENT KAYLOR	SAFETY EQ/SUPPLIES	150.00 *
628960	LINE GEAR FIRE & RESCUE EQUIPMENT	UNIFORMS	237.70 *
628961	O.C. FOUNTAIN CARE	OTHER PROF SERV	50.00 *
628962 T	CHEVROLET OF WATSONVILLE NATIONAL AUTO FLEET GROUP	MOTOR VEHICLE REPL	51,872.72 *
628 60 3	CORELOGIC SOLUTIONS, LLC ATTN: INFORMATION SOLUTIONS	SOFTWARE	394.50 *
6∯of 20	AMERICAN INTERNET SERVICES, LLC	NETWORK COMMUNICT	669.56 *
6	PAGE TOTAL FOR "*" LINES = 764, 672.45		

	WARRANTS SUBMITTED TO CITY COUNCIL FOR APPROVAL 09/26/17	
WARRANT	VENDOR	DESCRIPTION
628965	SOUTHERN COMPUTER WAREHOUSE, INC	NON-SPEC CONTR SERV MINOR FURN/EQUIP
	2 2 2	
628966	TRANSPERFECT TRANSLATIONS INTERNATIONAL, INC.	ADVERTISING
628967	PREMIUM QUALITY LIGHTING	ELECTRICAL SUPPLIES
628968	ALLDATA	BOOKS/SUBS/CASSETTES
628969	WESTMINSTER MUSIC SCHOOL	DEPOSIT REFUNDS
628970	DANIEL MOORE	EXP REIMBURSEMENT
628971	LISA HALL & ASSOCIATES, INC.	OTHER PROF SERV
628972	TANAKA FARMS	ADMN/ENTRANCE FEE
628973	MAD SCIENCE OF WEST OC	INSTRUCTOR SERVICES
628974	ANDERSEN'S DOOR SERVICE	MAINT-SERV CONTRACTS
628975	VMI INC.	MONITORED EQ-VIDEO
628976	LE, GIAP PHU	TENANT UTILITY REIMB
628977	LOVELADY, KORI ELLEN	TENANT UTILITY REIMB
628978	LY, NANCY	TENANT UTILITY REIMB
628979	SOBHANI, ELAHEH	TENANT UTILITY REIMB
628980	LIEBERT CASSIDY WHITMORE	LEGAL FEES
628981	MSA-MAINTENANCE SUPERINTENDENTS ASSOCIATION; LA & OC CHAPTI	CHAPTERDUES/MEMBERSHIPS
628982	CA SHOPPING CART RETRIEVAL CORP	OTHER PROF SERV
628983 J	E-TEE EMBROIDERY, INC.	OTHER MAINT ITEMS
628 88 4	OCC BUILDERS INC	BLDGS/IMPROVEMENTS
6 <mark>5</mark> 80f 2	ORANGE COUNTY EMERGENCY PET CLINIC	OTHER PROF SERV
206	PAGE TOTAL FOR "*" LINES = 69,682.95	

5,403.51 * * 00.068

48.49 *

12.00 *

REIMB

22.00 *

6,600.00 *

225.00 *

2,083.00 *

1,810.20 *

32,270.50 *

16.00 *

11.00 *

1,500.00 *

500.00 *

193.80 *

135.00 *

280.00 *

AMOUNT

13,651.66 18.00 13,669.66 *

520.74 *

1,192.05 *

19

2,300.00 *

WARRANT	VENDOR	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
628986	JANNETE GONZALEZ ELGOHARY	INSTRUCTOR SERVICES	823.20 *
628987	ESPINOZA, HECTOR	SAFETY EQ/SUPPLIES	81.55 *
628988	COUNTY OF ORANGE TREASURER-TAX COLLECTOR	PERMITS/OTHER FEES	2,394.63 *
628989	DINH, PHUONG T	TENANT UTILITY REIMB	* 00*6
628990	NGUYEN, JIMMY	TENANT UTILITY REIMB	87.00 *
628991	CRUZ, GISELL	MILEAGE REIMB	* 30.78
628992	S.C. SIGNS & SUPPLIES LLC	SIGNS/FLAGS/BANNERS	1,030.09 *
628993	TERMITE TERRY PEST CONTROL, INC	MAINT-SERV CONTRACTS	1,948.00 *
628994	FEHR & PEERS	OTHER PROF SERV	1,015.57 *
628995	NATIONAL CREDIT REPORTING	OTHER PROF SERV	93.65 *
628996	CORIX WATER PRODUCTS (US) INC	WHSE INVENTORY	10,537.44 *
628997	LANUARI, HELEN	DEPOSIT REFUNDS	1,325.00 *
628998	SPRINGBOARD CDFI	DEPOSIT REFUNDS	255.00 *
628999	J. WILLIS CO., INC.	OTHER CONST SUPPLIES	1,455.00 *
629000	ORANGE COUNTY THERMAL INDUSTRIES, INC	HARDWARE	1,460.64 *
629001	IRVINE FIFE & SUPPLY INC	PIPES/APPURTENANCES	931.13 *
629002	BONTE, DANIEL	. WATER CLOSING BILL REFUND	12.74 *
629003	JONES, GREGORY	WATER CLOSING BILL REFUND	39.31 *
629004	FUNG, KIN	WATER CLOSING BILL REFUND	91.22 *
629005 J	SILO, JUSTIN	WATER CLOSING BILL REFUND	47.99 *
629 68 6 36 6	HERNANDEZ, GABRIEL	WATER CLOSING BILL REFUND	16.42 *
2 6 6 06 و2 60	YOUNAN, NAIM	WATER CLOSING BILL REFUND	19.39 *
06	PAGE TOTAL FOR "*" LINES = 23,744.75		

20

.

125

WARRANT	VENDOR	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
629008	HUYNH, NICK	WATER CLOSING BILL REFUND	26.71 *
629009	CEBALLOS, EFREN	WATER CLOSING BILL REFUND	64.31 *
629010	LOC, VAN LE	WATER CLOSING BILL REFUND	49.30 *
629011	DINH, THANH	WATER CLOSING BILL REFUND	18.24 *
629012	CARRASQUILLO, BENITO	WATER CLOSING BILL REFUND	36.95 *
629013	GIANG, HANH	WATER CLOSING BILL REFUND	13.11 *
629014	ARAMBULA, EMANUEL	WATER CLOSING BILL REFUND	42.01 *
629015	NAKAMURA, STACY	WATER CLOSING BILL REFUND	47.13 *
629016	REEDER, JUNE A	WATER CLOSING BILL REFUND	100.47 *
629017	NGUYEN, QUAN	WATER CLOSING BILL REFUND	5.41 *
629018	MARTIN, SYD	WATER CLOSING BILL REFUND	51.69 *
629019	KIM, JOON	WATER CLOSING BILL REFUND	23.63 *
629020	NERHOOD, ERIC	WATER CLOSING BILL REFUND	30.23 *
629021	ROSS, TASHA	WATER CLOSING BILL REFUND	25.28 *
629022	TRAN, BILLY 🐘 NEW MILLENNIUM INSURANCE	WATER CLOSING BILL REFUND	22.62 *
629023	TRAN, HOANG	WATER CLOSING BILL REFUND	93.08 *
629024	CALKINS, RONALD	RENT SUBSIDY	1,070.00 *
629025	HOANG, JAMES	RENT SUBSIDY	3,152.00 *
629026	DINH, THANH	RENT SUBSIDY	1,578.00 *
629027 T	PP TT, LLC	RENT SUBSIDY	2,201.00 *
age M19	INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE	WAGE ATTACHMENT	756.84 *
672 of 20	PUBLIC EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM	PENSION PAYMENT	499,025.68 *
06	PAGE TOTAL FOR "*" LINES = 508,433.69		

17
26/
/61
0
APPROVAL
FOR
COUNCIL
CITY
$^{\rm TO}$
SUBMITTED
WARRANTS

WARRANT	VENDOR	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
W1971	PUBLIC EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM	PENSION PAYMENT	1,184,087.00
W1972	PUBLIC EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM	PENSION PAYMENT	11,096.89
W1973	KS STATE BANK	INTEREST COSTS LONG TERM DEBT	164.36 7,712.72 7,877.08
W1974	CALIFORNIA STATE DISBURSEMENT UNIT	WAGE ATTACHMENT	4,078.90
W1975	MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT OF ORANGE COUNTY	IMPORT WTR-MWDOC	639,441.08
9761W	DELTA DENTAL OF CALIFORNIA	SELF-INS CLAIMS	25,425.70
779 LW	PUBLIC EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM	PENSION PAYMENT	504,553.77

PAGE TOTAL FOR "*" LINES = 2,376,560.42

5,094,771.22** FINAL TOTAL

DEMANDS #628664 - 629027 AND WIRES W1969 - W1977 AS PRESENTED IN THE WARRANT REGISTER SUBMITTED TO THE GARDEN GROVE CITY COUNCIL SEPTEMBER 26, 2017, HAVE BEEN AUDITED FOR ACCURACY AND PUNDS ARE AVAILABLE FOR PAYMENT THEREOF

KINGSLEY C. OKEREKE - FINANCE DIRECTOR

22

City of Garden Grove

INTER-DEPARTMENT MEMORANDUM

To:	Scott C. Stiles	From:	Lisa L. Kim
Dept.:	City Manager	Dept.:	Community and Economic Development
Subject:	Adoption of a Resolution approving the issuance of Bonds by the California Municipal Finance Authority as conduit financing for Caritas Corporation, Caritas Acquisitions I, LLC and Caritas Silver Lantern, LLC. (Action Item)	Date:	9/26/2017

<u>OBJECTIVE</u>

For the City Council to conduct a Public Hearing under the requirements of TEFRA and the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code"); and, adopt the attached Resolution approving the issuance of the Bonds by the California Municipal Finance Authority (CMFA) for the benefit of the Caritas Corporation, a California nonprofit public benefit corporation and an organization described in Section 501(c) (3) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (the "Corporation"), Caritas Acquisitions I, LLC (the "Company"), a California limited liability company, whose sole member is the Corporation and the Company, the "Borrower"), a California limited liability company, whose sole member is the Corporation to provide for the financing of the Project. Such adoption is solely for the purposes of satisfying the requirements of TEFRA, the Code and the California Government Code Section 6500 (and following).

BACKGROUND

The Borrower has requested that the CMFA serve as the municipal issuer to participate in the issuance of one or more series of revenue bonds in an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$67,500,000 (the "Bonds"), pursuant to a plan of finance, for: (a) the 2017 Project, as defined below; (b) refunding all or a portion of the Authority's outstanding Mobile Home Park Senior Revenue Bonds (Caritas Projects) Series 2010A and Mobile Home Park Subordinate Revenue Bonds (Caritas Projects) Series 2010B (collectively, the "2010 Bonds"), issued to finance or refinance the 2010 Project, as defined below; (c) refunding all or a portion of the outstanding City of San Marcos Mobile Home Park Revenue Bonds (Valle Verde Mobile Home Park Project) Series 1999 (the "1999 Bonds"), issued to finance or

refinance the 1999 Project, as defined below (together with the 2010 Project and the 2017 Project, the "Project"); (d) financing a debt service reserve fund for the Bonds; and (e) payment of costs of issuance and certain interest with respect to the Bonds.

- The term "2017 Project" means financing and refinancing all or a portion of the acquisition and improvement of an apartment complex located in the City of Dana Point, California.
- The term "2010 Project" means financing and refinancing all or a portion of the acquisition and improvement of: (a) a 168 space mobile home park known as Bahia Village Mobile Home Park located at 13096 Blackbird Street, Garden Grove, California 92843; (b) an 86 space mobile home park known as Emerald Isle Mobile Home Park located at 13741 Clinton Street, Garden Grove, California 92843; and (c) mobile home parks located in the County of San Bernardino and the City of Palmdale, California.
- The term "1999 Project" means financing and refinancing all or a portion of the acquisition and improvement of a mobile home park located in the City of San Marcos, California.

The 1999 Project and a portion of the 2010 Project are owned and operated by the Corporation; the balance of the 2010 Project is owned and operated by the Company; and the 2017 Project is owned by Silver Lantern and operated and managed by Friendship Shelter, a California nonprofit public benefit corporation and an organization described in Section 501(c)(3) of the Code. In order for all or a portion of the Bonds to qualify as tax-exempt bonds, the City of Garden Grove must conduct a public hearing (the "TEFRA Hearing") providing for the members of the community an opportunity to speak in favor of or against the use of tax-exempt bonds for the financing of the Project. Prior to such TEFRA Hearing, reasonable notice must be provided to the members of the community. Following the close of the TEFRA Hearing, an "applicable elected representative" of the Bonds for the financing of the Project.

DISCUSSION

As mentioned above, the portion of the financing attributable to the Garden Grove facilities is merely a refunding of outstanding bonds issued in 2010 that were used to finance and refinance a 168-space mobile home park known as Bahia Village Mobile Home Park located at 13096 Blackbird Street, Garden Grove, California 92843; and an 86-space mobile home park known as Emerald Isle Mobile Home Park located at 13741 Clinton Street, Garden Grove, California 92843.

The Bonds to be issued by the CMFA for the Project will be the sole responsibility of the Borrower, and the City will have no financial, legal, moral obligation, liability or responsibility for the Project or the repayment of the Bonds for the financing of the Project. All financing documents with respect to the issuance of the Bonds will contain clear disclaimers that the Bonds are not obligations of the City or the State of California, but are to be paid for solely from funds provided by the Borrower.

FINANCIAL IMPACT

The Board of Directors of the California Foundation for Stronger Communities, a

California non-profit public benefit corporation (the "Foundation"), acts as the Board of Directors for the CMFA. Through its conduit issuance activities, the CMFA shares a portion of the issuance fees it receives with its member communities and donates a portion of these issuance fees to the Foundation for the support of local charities. With respect to the City of Garden Grove, it is expected that that a portion of the issuance fee attributable to the City will be granted by the CMFA to the general fund of the City. Such grant may be used for any lawful purpose of the City.

RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the City Council:

- Conduct the TEFRA Public Hearing; and
- Adopt the attached Resolution approving the issuance of the Revenue Bonds by the California Municipal Finance Authority.
- By: Nate Robbins, Sr. Program Specialist

ATTACHMENTS:

Description	Upload Date	Туре	File Name
CC Resolution	9/21/2017	Resolution Letter	9-26- 17_TEFRA_Resolution_Caritas_Garden_Grove_2017_FINAL.docx
TEFRA Notice of Public Hearing	9/12/2017	Exhibit	TEFRA_Notice_Garden_Grove_Caritas_2017_FINAL.doc

GARDEN GROVE CITY COUNCIL

RESOLUTION NO.

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF GARDEN GROVE, CALIFORNIA, APPROVING THE ISSUANCE OF REVENUE BONDS IN AN AGGREGATE PRINCIPAL AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$67,500,000 BY THE CALIFORNIA MUNICIPAL FINANCE AUTHORITY FOR THE PURPOSE OF FINANCING AND REFINANCING THE ACQUISITION AND IMPROVEMENT OF MOBILE HOME PARKS AND OTHER LOW-INCOME MULTIFAMILY HOUSING FACILITIES BY THE CARITAS CORPORATION, CARITAS ACQUISITIONS I, LLC, AND CARITAS SILVER LANTERN, LLC, AND CERTAIN OTHER MATTERS RELATING THERETO

WHEREAS, The Caritas Corporation, a California nonprofit public benefit corporation and an organization described in Section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (the "Corporation"), Caritas Acquisitions I, LLC (the "Company"), a California limited liability company, whose sole member is the Corporation, and Caritas Silver Lantern, LLC ("Silver Lantern" and together with the Corporation and the Company, the "Borrower"), a California limited liability company, whose sole member is the Corporation, have requested that the California Municipal Finance Authority (the "Authority") participate in the issuance of one or more series of revenue bonds in an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$67,500,000 (the "Bonds"), pursuant to a plan of finance, for: (a) the 2017 Project, as defined below; (b) refunding all or a portion of the Authority's outstanding Mobile Home Park Senior Revenue Bonds (Caritas Projects) Series 2010A and Mobile Home Park Subordinate Revenue Bonds (Caritas Projects) Series 2010B (collectively, the "2010 Bonds"), issued to finance or refinance the 2010 Project, as defined below; (c) refunding all or a portion of the outstanding City of San Marcos Mobile Home Park Revenue Bonds (Valle Verde Mobile Home Park Project) Series 1999 (the "1999 Bonds"), issued to finance or refinance the 1999 Project, as defined below (together with the 2010 Project and the 2017 Project, the "Project"); (d) financing a debt service reserve fund for the Bonds; and (e) payment of costs of issuance and certain interest with respect to the Bonds;

WHEREAS, the term "2017 Project" means financing and refinancing all or a portion of the acquisition and improvement of a 17 unit apartment complex known as the Silver Lantern Apartments located at 33951 Silver Lantern Street, Dana Point, California 92629;

WHEREAS, the term "2010 Project" means financing and refinancing all or a portion of the acquisition and improvement of: (a) a 217 space mobile home park known as El Dorado Palms Mobile Home Park located at 35218 Fir Avenue, Yucaipa, County of San Bernardino, California 92399; (b) a 168 space mobile home park known as Bahia Village Mobile Home Park located at 13096 Blackbird Street, Garden Grove, California 92843; (c) an 86 space mobile home park known as Emerald Isle Mobile Home Park located at 13741 Clinton Street, Garden Grove, California 92843; and (d) a 298 space mobile home park known as Mountain View Estates Mobile Home Park located at 3255 E. Avenue R, Palmdale, California 93550;
WHEREAS, the term "1999 Project" means financing and refinancing all or a portion of the acquisition and improvement of a 150 space mobile home park known as Valle Verde Estates Mobile Home Park located at 1286 Discovery Street, San Marcos, California 92078;

WHEREAS, the 1999 Project and a portion of the 2010 Project are owned and operated by the Corporation; the balance of the 2010 Project is owned and operated by the Company; and the 2017 Project is owned by Silver Lantern and operated and managed by Friendship Shelter Inc., a California nonprofit public benefit corporation and an organization described in Section 501(c)(3) of the Code;

WHEREAS, a portion of the 2010 Project is located within the City of Garden Grove, California (the "City");

WHEREAS, not less than twenty percent (20%) of the residential units in the mobile home parks and the apartment building to be financed shall be occupied by individuals whose income is fifty percent (50%) or less of area median gross income; and the manager of the mobile home parks will be the Corporation or the Company, as applicable, or another entity selected by the Corporation or the Company;

WHEREAS, each of the local agencies in the geographic jurisdiction of which all or a portion of the 2017 Project, the balance of the 2010 Project or the 1999 Project is located will separately approve the issuance of the Bonds;

WHEREAS, pursuant to Section 147(f) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (the "Code"), the issuance of the Bonds by the Authority must be approved by the City because a portion of the Project is located within the territorial limits of the City;

WHEREAS, the City Council of the City (the "City Council") is the elected legislative body of the City and is one of the applicable elected representatives required to approve the issuance of the Bonds under Section 147(f) of the Code;

WHEREAS, the Authority has requested that the City Council approve the issuance of the Bonds by the Authority in order to satisfy the public approval requirement of Section 147(f) of the Code and the requirements of Section 4 of the Joint Exercise of Powers Agreement Relating to the California Municipal Finance Authority, dated as of January 1, 2004 (the "Agreement"), among certain local agencies, including the City;

WHEREAS, pursuant to Section 147(f) of the Code, the City Council has, following notice duly given, held a public hearing regarding the issuance of the Bonds, and now desires to approve the issuance of the Bonds by the Authority;

Garden Grove City Council Resolution No. Page 3

WHEREAS, it is in the public interest and for the public benefit that the City Council approve the issuance of the Bonds by the Authority for the aforesaid purposes.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the City Council of the City of Garden Grove as follows:

<u>Section 1</u>. The foregoing recitals are true and correct.

<u>Section 2</u>. The City Council hereby approves the issuance of the Bonds by the Authority. It is the purpose and intent of the City Council that this resolution constitute approval of the issuance of the Bonds (a) by the "applicable elected representative" of the governmental unit having jurisdiction over the area in which a portion of the Project is located in accordance with Section 147(f) of the Code and (b) by the City Council in accordance with Section 4 of the Agreement.

Section 3. The issuance of the Bonds shall be subject to the approval of the Authority of all financing documents relating thereto to which the Authority is a party. The City shall have no responsibility or liability whatsoever with respect to the Bonds. The Bonds shall not constitute an obligation or indebtedness of the City and the assets and revenues of the City are not being pledged as security for the payment of principal or interest on the Bonds.

<u>Section 4</u>. The officers of the City are hereby authorized and directed, jointly and severally, to do any and all things and to execute and deliver any and all documents which they deem necessary or advisable in order to carry out, give effect to and comply with the terms and intent of this resolution and the financing transaction approved hereby.

<u>Section 5</u>. The adoption of this Resolution shall not obligate the City or any department thereof to (i) provide any financing to acquire, rehabilitate or construct the Project or any refinancing of the Project or any portion thereof; (ii) approve any application or request for or take any other action in connection with any planning approval, permit or other action necessary for the acquisition, rehabilitation or operation of the Project or any portion thereof; (iii) make any contribution or advance any funds whatsoever to the Authority; or (iv) take any further action with respect to the Authority or its membership therein.

<u>Section 6</u>. This Resolution shall take effect immediately upon its adoption.

FORM OF NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that on September 26, 2017 a public hearing as required by Section 147(f) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (the "Code") will be held by the City of Garden Grove, California with respect to the proposed issuance by the California Municipal Finance Authority (the "Authority") of its revenue bonds in one or more series in an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$67,500,000 (the "Bonds"). The proceeds of the Bonds will be used, pursuant to a plan of finance, for: (a) the 2017 Project, as defined below; (b) refunding all or a portion of the Authority's outstanding Mobile Home Park Senior Revenue Bonds (Caritas Projects) Series 2010A and Mobile Home Park Subordinate Revenue Bonds (Caritas Project, as defined below; (c) refunding all or a portion of the outstanding City of San Marcos Mobile Home Park Revenue Bonds (Valle Verde Mobile Home Park Project) Series 1999 (the "1999 Bonds"), issued to finance or refinance the 2017 Project, as defined below (together with the 2010 Project and the 2017 Project, the "Project"); (d) financing a debt service reserve fund for the Bonds; and (e) payment of costs of issuance and certain interest with respect to the Bonds.

The term "2017 Project" means financing and refinancing all or a portion of the acquisition and improvement of a 17 unit apartment complex known as the Silver Lantern Apartments located at 33951 Silver Lantern Street, Dana Point, California 92629.

The term "2010 Project" means financing and refinancing all or a portion of the acquisition and improvement of: (a) a 217 space mobile home park known as El Dorado Palms Mobile Home Park located at 35218 Fir Avenue, Yucaipa, County of San Bernardino, California 92399; (b) a 168 space mobile home park known as Bahia Village Mobile Home Park located at 13096 Blackbird Street, Garden Grove, California 92843; (c) an 86 space mobile home park known as Emerald Isle Mobile Home Park located at 13741 Clinton Street, Garden Grove, California 92843; and (d) a 298 space mobile home park known as Mountain View Estates Mobile Home Park located at 3255 E. Avenue R, Palmdale, California 93550.

The term "1999 Project" means financing and refinancing all or a portion of the acquisition and improvement of a 150 space mobile home park known as Valle Verde Estates Mobile Home Park located at 1286 Discovery Street, San Marcos, California 92078.

The 1999 Project and a portion of the 2010 Project are owned and operated by The Caritas Corporation, a California nonprofit public benefit corporation and an organization described in Section 501(c)(3) of the Code (the "Corporation"). The balance of the 2010 Project is owned and operated by Caritas Acquisitions I, LLC, a California limited liability company (the "Company"), whose sole member is the Corporation. The 2017 Project is owned by Caritas Silver Lantern, LLC, a California limited liability company ("Silver Lantern" and together with the Corporation and the Company, the "Borrower"), whose sole member is the Corporation, and operated and managed by Friendship Shelter Inc., a California nonprofit public benefit corporation and an organization described in Section 501(c)(3) of the Code.

Not less than twenty percent (20%) of the residential units in the mobile home parks and the apartment building to be financed shall be occupied by individuals whose income is fifty percent

(50%) or less of area median gross income. The manager of the mobile home parks will be the Corporation or the Company, as applicable, or another entity selected by the Corporation or the Company.

The Bonds and the obligation to pay principal of and interest thereon and any redemption premium with respect thereto do not constitute indebtedness or an obligation of the City of Garden Grove, the Authority, the State of California or any political subdivision thereof, within the meaning of any constitutional or statutory debt limitation, or a charge against the general credit or taxing powers of any of them. The Bonds shall be a limited obligation of the Authority, payable solely from certain revenues duly pledged therefor and generally representing amounts paid by the Borrower.

The hearing will commence at 6:30 p.m. or as soon thereafter as the matter can be heard, and will be held in the City Council Chambers, Community Meeting Center, 11300 Stanford Avenue, Garden Grove, CA 92842. Interested persons wishing to express their views on the issuance of the Bonds or on the nature and location of the facilities proposed to be financed and refinanced may attend the public hearing or, prior to the time of the hearing, submit written comments.

Additional information concerning the above matter may be obtained from, and written comments should be addressed to, the City Clerk, City Hall, P.O. Box 3070, Garden Grove, CA 92842.

Dated: [not less than 14 days prior to hearing date]

City of Garden Grove

INTER-DEPARTMENT MEMORANDUM

To:	Scott C. Stiles	From:	Lisa L. Kim
Dept.:	City Manager	Dept.:	Community and Economic Development
Subject:	Acceptance of Fiscal Year 2016/17 Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER). (Action Item)	Date:	9/26/2017

<u>OBJECTIVE</u>

To request that the City Council conduct a Public Hearing for the Fiscal Year 2016/17 Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER), which will then be submitted to the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD).

BACKGROUND

Title I of the National Affordable Housing Act of 1990 requires jurisdictions that receive Community Development Block Grant (CDBG), HOME Investment Partnership Program (HOME) and/or Emergency Service Grant (ESG) funding to assess the activities implemented during its previous program year through an annual CAPER.

DISCUSSION

This CAPER explains how the City of Garden Grove carried out its housing and development strategies and projects using HUD funds over the period of July 1, 2016 through June 30, 2017. The CAPER provides narrative descriptions and financial information on specific activities, and evaluates Garden Grove's progress toward the housing and community priority needs and objectives stated in the City's 5-Year Consolidated Plan.

During the period 2016-2017, the City utilized its resources to expand or preserve affordable housing opportunities, support job creation and economic development and assist special needs groups such as senior citizens, homeless and at-risk for homeless persons. Highlights of 2016-2017 performance include:

- Assisted 345 individuals and/or households with fair housing services;
- Assisted 1,141 seniors with services and meal delivery;
- Reduction of crime in gang activity hot spots in low- and moderate-income areas;

- Constructed 47 affordable units for low-income seniors and families;
- Assisted four low-income households with rental assistance in the form of Tenant Based Rental Assistance; and
- Provided homeless prevention and rapid rehousing services to 26 families and overnight shelters to help 252 homeless residents.

HUD requires local jurisdictions to make the CAPER available to the public for review and comment for 15 days prior to the hearing. A public notice was published in English, Spanish and Vietnamese advertising the public comment period which began on September 8, 2017, and will conclude with the Public Hearing before City Council. The Neighborhood Improvement and Conservation Commission held a meeting on September 11, 2017, to accept public comments.

FINANCIAL IMPACT

The CAPER reports accomplishments using \$4 million of CDBG, HOME, and ESG funds during Fiscal Year 2015/16 in accordance with the 2015/16 Action Plan previously approved by City Council. Expenditures enabled the City to provide a higher level of services to its low/moderate-income residents and neighborhoods.

RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the City Council:

- Conduct a Public Hearing to receive comments concerning the Fiscal Year 2016/17 Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER);
- Accept the 2016-17 CAPER; and
- Direct staff to transmit the CAPER to the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development.

By: Nida Watkins, Project Manager

ATTACHMENTS:			
Description	Upload Date	Туре	File Name
Draft FY 2016-17 Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report	9/14/2017	Cover Memo	Caper_Final_Draft-2016.pdf

CITY OF GARDEN GROVE

PROGRAM YEAR 2016

CONSOLIDATED ANNUAL PERFORMANCE AND EVALUATION REPORT (CAPER)

Projects and Activities Assisted by Housing and Urban Development Department Funds

July 1, 2016 through June 30, 2017

DRAFT

Prepared by City of Garden Grove Community and Economic Development Department

CITY OF GARDEN GROVE 2016-17 CONSOLIDATED ANNUAL PERFORMANCE AND EVALUATION REPORT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CR-05 Goals & Outcomes	1
CR-10 Racial & Ethnic Composition	3
CR-15 Resources & Investments	7
CR-20 Affordable Housing12	2
CR-25 Homeless and Other Special Needs14	1
CR-30 Public Housing17	7
CR-35 Other Actions18	3
CR-40 Monitoring22	2
CR-45 CDBG24	1
CR-50 HOME25	5
CR-60 ESG	3
CR-65 Persons Assisted)
CR-70 ESG Assistance Provided and Outcomes	2

Attachments

Attachment 1: Public Notices

Attachment 2: FY 2016-2017 Action Plan Project Locations Map

Attachment 3: eCart 2016 Narrative

Attachment 4: ESG Recipients

CR-05 - Goals and Outcomes

Progress the jurisdiction has made in carrying out its strategic plan and its action plan. 91.520(a)

This could be an overview that includes major initiatives and highlights that were proposed and executed throughout the program year.

The Fiscal Year (FY) 2016 Consolidated Annual Performance Evaluation Report (CAPER) captures the expenditures, accomplishments, and progress made on the strategies and goals outlined in the approved FY 2015-2020 Consolidated Plan for HUD Programs (Con Plan).

The CAPER outlines achievements in affordable housing, homeless services, and community development programs. The City of Garden Grove's HUD Programs include:

- Community Development Block Grant (CDBG)
- HOME Investment Partnership
- Emergency Solutions Grants (ESG)

The FY 2016 CAPER covers the time period starting July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017 and is the second annual report of the Con Plan period. It also includes activities funded in previous fiscal years with accomplishments reported during FY 2016.

The City of Garden Grove has partnered with the Garden Grove Housing Authority and 7 non-profit service providers.

The Con Plan included the following high priority Goals that are the basis for the activities previously approved in the FY 2016 Action Plan:

- 1. Increase, improve and preserve affordable housing.
- 2. Promote new construction of affordable housing.
- 3. Provide rental assistance to alleviate cost burden.
- 4. Promote equal access to housing.
- 5. Promote programs to meet homeless needs.
- 6. Preserve and improve existing supportive services.
- 7. Address public facilities/infrastructure needs.
- 8. Promote economic development and employment.
- 9. Provide for necessary planning and administration.

CAPER

Comparison of the proposed versus actual outcomes for each outcome measure submitted with the consolidated plan and explain, if applicable, why progress was not made toward meeting goals and objectives. 91.520(g)

Categories, priority levels, funding sources and amounts, outcomes/objectives, goal outcome indicators, units of measure, targets, actual outcomes/outputs, and percentage completed for each of the grantee's program year goals.

Goal	Category	Source / Amount	Indicator	Unit of Measure	Expected – Strategic Plan	Actual – Strategic Plan	Percent Complete	Expected – Program Year	Actual – Program Year	Percent Complete
Address the Needs of Homeless and Those At-Risk	Homeless	ESG: \$	Homeless Person Overnight Shelter	Persons Assisted	2500	870	34.80%	250	252	100.80%
Address the Needs of Homeless and Those At-Risk	Homeless	ESG: \$	Overnight/Emergency Shelter/Transitional Housing Beds added	Beds	0	0	0	0	0	0
Address the Needs of Homeless and Those At-Risk	Homeless	ESG: \$	Homelessness Prevention	Persons Assisted	0	0	0	15	19	126.67%
Address the Needs of Homeless and Those At-Risk	Homeless	ESG: \$	Housing for Homeless added	Household Housing Unit	0	0	0	0	0	0

Promote										
Economic Development and Employment	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Jobs created/retained	Jobs	1200	0	0.00%			
Promote Equal Access to Housing	Affordable Housing Homeless Non- Homeless Special Needs	CDBG: \$	Public service activities for Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Households Assisted	2500	1995	79.80%	528	345	65.34%
Provide Community Services	Non- Homeless Special Needs Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	3000	2368	78.93%	790	1141	144.00%
Provide Community Services	Non- Homeless Special Needs Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Other	Other	7500	3054	40.72%	1502	2036	136.00%
Provide Decent and Affordable Housing	Affordable Housing Homeless Non- Homeless Special Needs	CDBG: \$ / HOME: \$	Rental units constructed	Household Housing Unit	50	47	94.00%	10	47	470.00%

Provide Decent and Affordable Housing	Affordable Housing Homeless Non- Homeless Special Needs	CDBG: \$ / HOME: \$	Rental units rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	50	0	0.00%	10	0	0.00%
Provide Decent and Affordable Housing	Affordable Housing Homeless Non- Homeless Special Needs	CDBG: \$ / HOME: \$	Homeowner Housing Rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	42	1	2.38%	18	1	5.56%
Provide Decent and Affordable Housing	Affordable Housing Homeless Non- Homeless Special Needs	CDBG: \$ / HOME: \$	Tenant-based rental assistance / Rapid Rehousing	Households Assisted	20	53	265.00%	20	4	20.00%
Provide Decent and Affordable Housing	Affordable Housing Homeless Non- Homeless Special Needs	CDBG: \$ / HOME: \$	Housing Code Enforcement/Foreclosed Property Care	Household Housing Unit	1000	233	23.30%			

Table 1 - Accomplishments – Program Year & Strategic Plan to Date

Assess how the jurisdiction's use of funds, particularly CDBG, addresses the priorities and specific objectives identified in the plan, giving special attention to the highest priority activities identified.

As mentioned previously, the City's Consolidated Plan's Goals are all high priorities and are used as the basis for the budgetary priorities that were outlined in the Consolidated Plan:

- 1. Increase, improve and preserve affordable housing.
- 2. Promote new construction of affordable housing.
- 3. Provide rental assistance to alleviate cost burden.
- 4. Promote equal access to housing.
- 5. Promote programs to meet homeless needs.
- 6. Preserve and improve existing supportive services.
- 7. Address public facilities/infrastructure needs.
- 8. Promote economic development and employment.
- 9. Provide for necessary planning and administration.

During 2016-17, the City allocated resources to meet the priority needs. Priority community needs undertaken during the report period included the annual repayment towards our Section 108 loan, which has generated 1200 jobs through economic development. Also using CDBG funds, the City improved neighborhoods through refocusing gang suppression and intervention activities on cafes/cyber cafes and within the low- and moderate-income areas. Through CDBG-funded public services including the City's Senior Center and the senior home meal delivery program, the City assisted 1,141 individuals directly. The City further served 77,400 residents of low- and moderate- income neighborhoods on an area-wide basis through the gang suppression unit.

CR-10 - Racial and Ethnic composition of families assisted

Describe the families assisted (including the racial and ethnic status of families assisted). 91.520(a)

	CDBG	HOME	ESG
White	257	9	735
Black or African American	13	0	192
Asian	75	6	80
American Indian or American Native	0	0	281
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	0	0	16
Total	345	15	1,304
Hispanic	161	6	460
Not Hispanic	184	9	917

Table 2 – Table of assistance to racial and ethnic populations by source of funds

Narrative

The City of Garden Grove identifies priority needs and offers services and programs to eligible households regardless of race or ethnicity. This table is generated by HUD CAPER template and the information reported reflects demographic information provided by participants in the HUD reporting system.

CR-15 - Resources and Investments 91.520(a)

Source of Funds	Source	Resources Made	Amount Expended
		Available	During Program Year
CDBG	CDBG	1,995,942	1,832,809
HOME	HOME	1,830,965	543,490
HOPWA	HOPWA		
ESG	ESG	174,448	174,448
Other	Other		

Identify the resources made available

 Table 3 - Resources Made Available

Narrative

The CDBG resources available in FY2016 include carryover funds. During 2016, the City expended \$543,490 in HOME funds on eligible program and administrative activities. HOME funded activities in FY 2016 included rental housing and new construction projects.

Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments

Narrative

Consistent with HUD goals for the CDBG, HOME, and ESG programs, the City utilized these funds for the benefit of low- and moderate- income residents and neighborhoods.

Some activities, notably gang suppression unit activities, were planned and performed to benefit the City's low- and moderate- income neighborhoods on an eligible area basis. Those neighborhoods are defined by CDBG regulations as census tracts or block groups where at least 51% of households are low- and moderate-income. The attached FY 2016-17 Action Plan Project Locations map shows the location of completed projects with specific addresses. Some other programs were made available to individuals from low- or moderate-income households throughout the community, regardless of their place of residence, such as meal delivery to frail homebound seniors, Senior Center services, homeless prevention and intervention.

Funding for acquisition or construction of properties for affordable housing may be made anywhere in the City, provided there is an agreement to make a specified number or share of the units available to income-eligible residents for the required period of time.

During program year 2016-17, HOME funding was made available for new construction of properties for affordable housing. During this program year, with the assistance of HOME funds, Jamboree Housing completed construction of 47 senior and family affordable rental units.

Leveraging

Explain how federal funds leveraged additional resources (private, state and local funds), including a description of how matching requirements were satisfied, as well as how any publicly owned land or property located within the jurisdiction that were used to address the needs identified in the plan.

The City implemented activities and utilized CDBG funds consistent with the 2016-17 Annual Action Plan. The City secured and utilized HUD and leveraged funding consistently with that resource allocation plan. Throughout 2016-17, the City did not take any actions that hindered the implementation of the Consolidated Plan or Action Plan.

Sub-recipients for FY 2016 CDBG funds were required to detail all secured and unsecured funding sources in the proposals. Each agency was asked to identify all project funding sources at the time of contract execution and again at project close out.

The HOME program requires a 25% match for each HOME dollar invested and excess match may be credited for use in future years. The total match credit arising from affordable housing bond proceeds may not constitute more than 25% of a PJ's total annual contribution toward its match obligation. Match credits in excess of 25% of a PJ's total annual match obligation may be carried over to subsequent fiscal years and be applied to future years' obligations. In March 1996, the City completed a HOME-eligible affordable housing project that was bond financed, and that exceeded the annual total match obligation of 25%. During FY 2016, the City completed the Wesley Village affordable housing project, which had a total development cost of \$11.84M. The new construction project added 46 units to its affordable housing stock with a HOME fund contribution of \$2.01M, which represents a leveraging ratio of 5.89/1.

The ESG program requires a 100% match.

Fiscal Year Summary – HOME Match						
1. Excess match from prior Federal fiscal year	2,379,121					
2. Match contributed during current Federal fiscal year	26,590					
3. Total match available for current Federal fiscal year (Line 1 plus Line 2)	2,405,711					
4. Match liability for current Federal fiscal year	106,361					
5. Excess match carried over to next Federal fiscal year (Line 3 minus Line 4)	2,299,350					
Table 4 - Fiscal Vear Summary - HOMF Match Report						

8

	Match Contribution for the Federal Fiscal Year											
Project No. or Other ID	Date of Contribution	Cash (non-Federal sources)	Foregone Taxes, Fees, Charges	Appraised Land/Real Property	Required Infrastructure	Site Preparation, Construction Materials, Donated labor	Bond Financing	Total Match				
16/01/NON	03/28/1996	0	0	0	0	0	26,590	26,590				

Table 5 – Match Contribution for the Federal Fiscal Year

HOME MBE/WBE Report

Program Income – Enter the	Program Income – Enter the program amounts for the reporting period									
Balance on hand at begin-ning of reporting period \$	Amount received during reporting period \$	Total amount expended during reporting period \$	Amount expended for TBRA \$	Balance on hand at end of reporting period \$						
9,450	33,068	1,621	0	40,897						

Table 6 – Program Income

•	iness Enterprise			•		and dollar
value of cont	racts for HOME				bd	
	Total	Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	ess Enterprises Black Non- Hispanic	Hispanic	White Non- Hispanic
Contracts						
Dollar						
Amount	12,684,767	0	0	0	0	12,684,767
Number	1	0	0	0	0	1
Sub-Contract	S					
Number	39	0	0	1	5	33
Dollar						
Amount	10,450,778	0	0	8,274	1,806,883	8,635,622
	Total	Women Business Enterprises	Male			
Contracts	T	1				
Dollar						
Amount	12,684,767	0	12,684,767			
Number	1	0	1			
Sub-Contract	S					
Number	4	4	0			
Dollar						
Amount	1,841,221	1,841,221	0			

Table 7 - Minority Business and Women Business Enterprises

Minority Owners of Rental Property – Indicate the number of HOME assisted rental property owners and the total amount of HOME funds in these rental properties assisted						
	Total		Minority Property Owners White Non-			
		Alaskan	Asian or	Black Non-	Hispanic	Hispanic
		Native or	Pacific	Hispanic		
		American	Islander			
		Indian				
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dollar						
Amount	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 8 – Minority Owners of Rental Property

Relocation and Real Property Acquisition – Indicate the number of persons displaced, the cost of relocation payments, the number of parcels acquired, and the cost of acquisition

	Parcels Acquired	0	0	
Businesses Displaced		0	0	
Ī	Nonprofit Organizations			
	Displaced	0	0	
Ī	Households Temporarily			
	Relocated, not Displaced	0	0	

Households	Total	Minority Property Enterprises				White Non-
Displaced		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non- Hispanic	Hispanic	Hispanic
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cost	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 9 – Relocation and Real Property Acquisition

CR-20 - Affordable Housing 91.520(b)

Evaluation of the jurisdiction's progress in providing affordable housing, including the number and types of families served, the number of extremely low-income, low-income, moderateincome, and middle-income persons served.

	One-Year Goal	Actual
Number of Homeless households to be		
provided affordable housing units	0	0
Number of Non-Homeless households to be		
provided affordable housing units	58	52
Number of Special-Needs households to be		
provided affordable housing units	0	0
Total	58	52

Table 10 – Number of Households

	One-Year Goal	Actual
Number of households supported through		
Rental Assistance	20	4
Number of households supported through		
The Production of New Units	10	47
Number of households supported through		
Rehab of Existing Units	18	1
Number of households supported through		
Acquisition of Existing Units	10	0
Total	58	52

Table 11 – Number of Households Supported

Discuss the difference between goals and outcomes and problems encountered in meeting these goals.

During FY 2016, the City enterered into an affordable housing agreement with Mariman and Co. for the acquisition and rehabilitation of 77 units of affordable housing at Sycamore Court. The accomplishments of the project will be included in the FY 2017 CAPER.

During FY 2016, the City began its Senior Grant Program. However, only one housing unit was completed. 15 units are currently underway and the accomplishments will be reported in the FY 2017 CAPER.

Discuss how these outcomes will impact future annual action plans.

The completion of the Wesley Village multi-family affordable housing project exceeded the amount of new affordable units contemplated in the Action Plan. When the Sycamore Court project is complete, we will exceed the amount of rehabilitation of existing units contemplated in the Consolidated Plan.

Include the number of extremely low-income, low-income, and moderate-income persons served by each activity where information on income by family size is required to determine the eligibility of the activity.

Number of Households Served	CDBG Actual	HOME Actual
Extremely Low-income	0	0
Low-income	1	15
Moderate-income	0	0
Total	1	15

Table 12 – Number of Households Served

Narrative Information

The Wesley Village affordable housing project provided a total of 13 units of affordable housing to verylow income families and 33 units of affordable housing to low-income families. 11 of these units are designated as HOME units. The breakdown of affordability of those units is reflected above. The number of Households Served also reflects the families who participated in the Senior Grant Program and Tenant Based Rental Assistance.

CR-25 - Homeless and Other Special Needs 91.220(d, e); 91.320(d, e); 91.520(c)

Evaluate the jurisdiction's progress in meeting its specific objectives for reducing and ending homelessness through:

Reaching out to homeless persons (especially unsheltered persons) and assessing their individual needs

During the FY16-17, the City of Garden Grove was awarded \$174,448 in Emergency Solution Grants (ESG) funds to assist in addressing the issues of homelessness throughout the City. The funds were made available to various service providers offering different types of eligible homeless programs. The services included emergency shelters, essential services, homeless prevention, and homeless outreach.

The City of Garden Grove did not fund a street outreach program using direct Emergency Solution Grant funding. City staff focused the majority of resources to help service providers maintain emergency shelter operations for homeless individuals and families, provide essential services such as case management and career counseling, and support homeless prevention programs through rapid rehousing and transitional housing.

Nonetheless, the City did provide \$40,000 in funding to Interval House Crisis Shelter for emergency shelter and essential services, which included community outreach and education programs to individuals at risk of domestic violence. With the Garden Grove ESG funding for emergency shelter, Interval House was able to free up their nonfederal resources to provide homeless outreach services, homeless prevention education, and domestic violence safety outreach to the population at risk of homelessness, which included 1232 individuals in Garden Grove.

The City of Garden Grove Police Department's Special Resource Team (SRT), funded through the City's general fund, also implemented street outreach programs for the homeless. The SRT focused on providing resources to help reduce the number of homeless individuals as well as reducing the police responses involving the homeless and mentally ill. The Police Department also partnered up with Orange County Mental Health agencies to provide resource and assistance to homeless individuals that the SRT encounters. Other efforts by the SRT included relocating homeless occupants living in dangerous flood control channels. During the outreach and relocation process, the Department and its partnered agencies also provided resource assistance such as rehab, shelters, career counseling, food pantries, and mental health services to the homeless.

Addressing the emergency shelter and transitional housing needs of homeless persons

Of the \$174,448 of ESG funds, the City allocated \$100,000 for emergency shelter operations, which included transitional housing, and \$45,864.00 for rapid rehousing programs. These funds were distributed amongst 4 organizations, providing different levels of homeless/client programs including chronically homeless services, domestic violence, winter armory, child care, and rental assistance.

In FY2016, 51 homeless residents received transitional housing through shelter programs from Thomas House Temporary Shelter, a subrecipient of the City's ESG funds. The residents received shelter and supportive services, such as childcare, counseling and transportation. The program also focused on self-sufficiency and prepared homeless residents for a transition to permanent housing.

The ESG funds also supported Interval House Crisis Shelter in maintaining its domestic violence shelter program. Over 87 victims of domestic violence and their children from Garden Grove were given emergency shelter and were provided support services which included a safe living environment in a confidential location and case management to ensure that the victims were rapidly rehoused in decent and affordable permanent housing.

The City's ESG funds were also used to support Mercy House Living Center operate its seasonal Armory. During the cold winter months, 1,109 homeless residents were provided with emergency shelter, hunger relief, hygiene, and personal care. 52 of these individuals were Garden Grove residents. In addition, Mercy House also provided 2,023 bed nights to Garden Grove homeless residents as they waited for referrals to transitional or permanent housing through coordination with neighboring partners and the County's 24/7 referral helpline, OC 2-1-1.

Overall, with the limited funding that the City of Garden Grove received to addressed homelessness, the City was able to support its partnering service providers to shelter over 1,200 Garden Grove homeless residents and also provide essential services to support the individual needs of the residents with the goal of transitioning to permanent housing. With the support of the City's ESG funding, shelter organizations were able to provide over 13,540 bed nights for the homeless population of Garden Grove.

Helping low-income individuals and families avoid becoming homeless, especially extremely low-income individuals and families and those who are: likely to become homeless after being discharged from publicly funded institutions and systems of care (such as health care facilities, mental health facilities, foster care and other youth facilities, and corrections programs and institutions); and, receiving assistance from public or private agencies that address housing, health, social services, employment, education, or youth needs

The City of Garden Grove committed \$7,500 in ESG funds for homeless prevention services. Mercy House Crisis Shelter assisted 19 families through their Homeless Prevention program, providing rental assistance and case management services to keep Garden Grove families from becoming homeless. Other ESG subrecipient organizations such as Interval House have made great efforts to assist victims from becoming homeless after completion of temporary housing programs. Staff at Interval House conducts follow up case management for their domestic violence victims and or makes referrals to the Garden Grove's Housing Authority for section 8 vouchers for permanent housing.

In FY2016, the Garden Grove Housing Authority worked with both Thomas House and Interval House in providing three Section 8 vouchers to qualified residents coming from the shelter programs. Under the voucher program, individuals or families with a voucher are able to find and lease a unit and only have to

pay a portion of the rent. The program further assists low-income individuals and families to avoid becoming homeless.

Helping homeless persons (especially chronically homeless individuals and families, families with children, veterans and their families, and unaccompanied youth) make the transition to permanent housing and independent living, including shortening the period of time that individuals and families experience homelessness, facilitating access for homeless individuals and families to affordable housing units, and preventing individuals and families who were recently homeless from becoming homeless again

In FY2016, the City allocated \$45,864 for the rapid rehousing program which was administered through Interval House Crisis Shelters. The program targeted victims of domestic violence and their children seeking emergency shelters while waiting for permanent housing. The program also provided security deposits and rental assistance payments directly to landlords on behalf of participants, housing stability case management, legal services for housing needs, and credit repair assistance. The services are designed to seamlessly transition clients into suitable and stable permanent housing. Interval House's partners include over 40 landlords to provide as needed housing.

The funds used for the rapid rehousing program in FY2016 provided personal and financial assistance for 7 Garden Grove households (9 individuals). In addition, Interval House rapid rehousing and emergency shelter program overall exceeded the national HUD performance standards with 87% of emergency shelter participants moving into permanent housing upon exit and 100% of Rapid Re-housing participants exiting into permanent housing. Additionally, 100% of participants from both programs increased their total income.

CR-30 - Public Housing 91.220(h); 91.320(j)

Actions taken to address the needs of public housing

The City of Garden Grove operates no units of public housing.

Actions taken to encourage public housing residents to become more involved in management and participate in homeownership

The City of Garden Grove operates no units of public housing.

Actions taken to provide assistance to troubled PHAs

The City of Garden Grove operates no units of public housing.

CR-35 - Other Actions 91.220(j)-(k); 91.320(i)-(j)

Actions taken to remove or ameliorate the negative effects of public policies that serve as barriers to affordable housing such as land use controls, tax policies affecting land, zoning ordinances, building codes, fees and charges, growth limitations, and policies affecting the return on residential investment. 91.220 (j); 91.320 (i)

Market and governmental factors pose barriers to the provision of adequate and affordable housing. These factors tend to disproportionately impact lower- and moderate-income households due to their limited resources for absorbing the costs. Garden Grove works to remove barriers to affordable housing by implementing a Housing Element that is consistent with California law and taking actions to reduce costs or provide off-setting financial incentives to assist in the production of safe, high-quality, affordable housing. The City is committed to removing governmental constraints that hinder the production of housing, and offers a "one-stop" streamlined permitting process to facilitate efficient entitlement and building permit processing.

The City of Garden Grove has instituted additional actions aimed at reducing the impact of the public sector role in housing costs. City efforts to remove barriers to affordable housing include:

- Periodical analysis and revision of the zoning code aimed at developing flexible zoning provisions in support of providing an adequate supply of desirable housing, such as mixed use zoning standards and updates to the Housing Element
- Provision of affordable housing projects through acquisition and rehabilitation activities, and new construction of affordable housing units
- Establishing a streamlined service counter to reduce process time
- Density bonuses for affordable projects
- Continued assessment of existing policies, procedures, and fees to minimize unnecessary delays and expenses to housing projects

In addition, the City has updated its Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Choice (AI) report in coordination with other local jurisdictions. This report identifies any potential impediments to fair housing and establishes a Fair Housing Action Plan to outline steps to overcome any identified impediments.

Actions taken to address obstacles to meeting underserved needs. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

The major obstacle to addressing the underserved needs is the lack of adequate funding, especially for affordable housing activities. With the dissolution of redevelopment in California and reduced State and federal funding levels, the City's ability to address the extensive needs in the community is seriously compromised. The City will strive to leverage available funds, to the greatest extent possible, to overcome obstacles in meeting underserved needs.

The City has adopted its 2014-2021 Housing Element, which includes a commitment to annually pursue

State, Federal, and other funding opportunities to increase the supply of safe, decent, affordable housing in Garden Grove for lower-income households (including extremely low-income households), such as seniors, disabled, the homeless, and those at risk of homelessness

Actions taken to reduce lead-based paint hazards. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

The City has an aggressive policy to identify and address lead-based paint hazards in all HUD-funded housing rehabilitation projects. The City considers all housing rehabilitation an opportunity to address this hazard in case pregnant women or children might live in the house in the future. We, therefore, require lead paint testing for 100% of the City's HUD-funded residential rehabilitation programs where paint will be disturbed in properties built before 1978. Loan/grant recipients are required to obtain a lead-based paint inspection prior to commencement of work as well as a post-rehabilitation clearance test if the work disturbed areas where lead contamination had been found. Because the additional costs of lead hazard testing and remediation can be prohibitively expensive for low-income homeowners, the City covers as a grant the costs of the lead paint inspection, and if necessary any lead paint interim controls and lead clearance testing, in conjunction with any CDBG-funded housing rehabilitation grants or loans. During 2016-17, the City funded sixteen (16) lead-based paint inspections and one (1) clearance inspection in administration of the Senior Home Improvement Grant Program. Work on one (1) of the properties was completed during 2016-17 for which accomplishment data will be recorded under this CAPER. The accomplishment data for the remaining properties will be recorded on a future CAPER.

Actions taken to reduce the number of poverty-level families. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

Garden Grove continues to look for ways to expand economic activities to include all people and provide programs to those people who are less fortunate. In the past, the City has focused on the creation of jobs for low- and moderate-income persons through economic development in the Harbor Boulevard area. Through the Consolidated Plan and associated Action Plans, the City seeks to create and retain permanent jobs that are available to and/or filled by low- and moderate-income people. In addition, other essential elements of the City's anti-poverty strategy include:

- Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Program
- Housing Choice Voucher Family Self Sufficiency Program
- Economic Development programs
- Anti-crime programs
- Housing Rehabilitation programs
- Creation of Affordable Housing

Transitional housing and homeless service programs impediments;

-Housing Discrimination

-Discriminatory Advertising

-Blockbusting -Denial of Reasonable Accommodation -Hate Crimes -Unfair Lending

During the 2016-2017 report period the City of Garden Grove undertook the following programs/actions (on its own or in cooperation with a fair housing provider) to overcome the impediments to fair housing choices identified in the Regional AI. Garden Grove contracted with Fair Housing Foundation (FHF) to provide comprehensive educational and enforcement programs for City residents. The FHF understands the private sector and is well equipped to analyze impediments, describe appropriate actions, and to follow-through on those actions. Programs/actions taken during the 2016-2017 report period included:

Fair Housing Outreach and Education

Private Sector Impediments Addressed:

-Discriminatory Advertising

-Denial of Reasonable Accommodation

-Hate Crimes

Actions Taken:

-Set up 4 booths to provide fair housing information at community events

-Distributed 10,500 pieces of literature pertaining to fair housing

-Held 4 management training classes (4 hours each)

-Gave 23 presentations providing a synopsis of FHF services and statistics (20-40 minutes each)

-Held 9 tenant/landlord workshops (2 hours each)

-Offered 10 walk-in clinics

-Participated in 12 media activities to promote fair housing

-Total attendance for the above actions was 2,980 people

General Housing Counseling & Resolution

Private Sector Impediments Addressed:

-Housing Discrimination

-Discriminatory Advertising

-Denial of Reasonable Accommodation

Actions Taken:

-FHF responded to 333 inquiries regarding general housing issues. In addition, FHF screens, inputs data, counsels, pursues habitability cases, provides unlawful detainer assistance, conducts mediations, and provides appropriate referrals.

- As a result of the above inquiries, FHF assisted 2 households with mediation services, and 4 households with unlawful detainer services.

Discrimination Counseling, Compliant Intake, and Investigation

Private Sector Impediments Addressed:
-Housing Discrimination
-Discriminatory Advertising
-Denial of Reasonable Accommodation
-Blockbusting

Actions Taken:

-FHF responded to 3 inquiries regarding discrimination, complaints, screening, and provided counseling.

-As a result of the above inquiries, FHF opened 3 cases in response to discrimination, and to perform extensive testing, conciliate, mediate, provide agency referrals, and litigate.

CR-40 - Monitoring 91.220 and 91.230

Describe the standards and procedures used to monitor activities carried out in furtherance of the plan and used to ensure long-term compliance with requirements of the programs involved, including minority business outreach and the comprehensive planning requirements

Although the City's HUD-funded activities and strategies have been very successfull. we strive for continued improvements in our housing, homelessness, neighborhood improvement, and public service priority needs, and our grant administration, compliance, and monitoring. During 2016-17, the City continued to improve its project, fiscal, and other administrative management systems to ensure compliance with CDBG, HOME, and ESG program and comprehensive planning requirements through the following measures and accomplishments:

The City's Community and Economic Development and Finance Departments worked together very intensively over the last six months, in preparation for this CAPER and for the 2017-18 Action Plan. Through several brainstorming meetings of managers and all staff from both teams and nearly daily problem-solving opportunities by staff, we have achieved comprehensive training of key staff in both departments on HUD program financial administration and using IDIS. Key Finance Department staff has recently changed over. Community and Economic Development staff has been working with the Finance staff to educate them on HUD requirements. This cooperation will improve the timeliness of HUD fund drawdowns, establish better procedures and schedules for aligning the City's general budget planning and the HUD Action Plan process, the City's general ledger and IDIS records, and for handling remaining funds at the end of the program year.

City Staff annually monitors all HOME funded projects in accordance with the City's Monitoring Plan for HOME Rental Projects and the HOME Final Rule.

In an effort to ensure up-to-date knowledge of HUD programs and policies, staff members invested over 100 hours in training, workshops, webinars or technical assistance sessions sponsored by HUD or by outside agencies but with direct relevance to HUD program implementation. Topics of the trainings included Financial Management, Analysis of Impediments, HMIS, IDIS, Sub-recipients management, CDBG and Environmental Training.

Citizen Participation Plan 91.105(d); 91.115(d)

Describe the efforts to provide citizens with reasonable notice and an opportunity to comment on performance reports.

The City's effort to provide citizens with reasonable notice and an opportunity to comment on performance as outlined in the draft FY 2015-16 CAPER follows the process outlined in the Citizen Participation Plan. The Citizen Participation plan describes the process involved in administering, reviewing or approving activities in the FY 2015 CAPER.

As outlined in the Citizen Participation Plan, the draft CAPER is available online, with copies also available at Garden Grove City Hall and the Garden Grove Regional Library.

The 15 day public comment period for the FY 2016 CAPER was from September 8 through September 26, 2016. Notices of the Public Hearing were posted in English, Spanish and Vietnamese on September 8, 2017. The City held public hearings to receive public comment on the CAPER at the September 11, 2017 Neighborhood Improvement and Conservation Commission meeting and at the September 26, 2017 City Council meeting. All public comments received and the notices published are included in ttachment 1.

CR-45 - CDBG 91.520(c)

Specify the nature of, and reasons for, any changes in the jurisdiction's program objectives and indications of how the jurisdiction would change its programs as a result of its experiences.

The City of Garden Grove CDBG program did not have any significant changes to the Consolidated Plan goals.

Does this Jurisdiction have any open Brownfields Economic Development Initiative (BEDI) grants?

No

[BEDI grantees] Describe accomplishments and program outcomes during the last year.

CR-50 - HOME 91.520(d)

Include the results of on-site inspections of affordable rental housing assisted under the program to determine compliance with housing codes and other applicable regulations

Please list those projects that should have been inspected on-site this program year based upon the schedule in §92.504(d). Indicate which of these were inspected and a summary of issues that were detected during the inspection. For those that were not inspected, please indicate the reason and how you will remedy the situation.

During the 2016 program year, the Housing Authority conducted Housing Quality Standard inspections on 4 Tenant Based Rental Assistance units to determine compliance with HUD property standards.

During the 2015 and 2016 program years, the Housing Authority conducted on-site property inspections of 33 HOME assisted units in 4 HOME assisted projects (Grove Park, Tamerlane, Thomas House, and Sunswept) in accordance with HUD monitoring requirements as outlined in the 2013 HOME Final Rule.

Provide an assessment of the jurisdiction's affirmative marketing actions for HOME units. 92.351(b)

All HOME funded affordable housing projects must adopt affirmative marketing procedures and submit the affirmative marketing plan to the City. During site visits, overall performance related to fair housing and non-discrimination is monitored to ensure fair housing compliance.

Refer to IDIS reports to describe the amount and use of program income for projects, including the number of projects and owner and tenant characteristics

The HOME program received \$26,306 in program income during program year 2017-18. These funds will be carried over into program year 2016-2017 for program activities.

Describe other actions taken to foster and maintain affordable housing. 91.220(k) (STATES ONLY: Including the coordination of LIHTC with the development of affordable housing). 91.320(j)

Section 8 funds: The Garden Grove Housing Authority administers the Section 8 program for the City and provides rent subsidies to 2,337 Garden Grove households.

Low-Income Housing Tax Credits (LIHTC): The federal 4% and 9% LIHTC is the principal source of funding for the construction and rehabilitation of affordable rental homes. They are a dollar-for-dollar credit against federal tax liability. In FY 2016, 47 new affordable units were constructed with this source of financing. During FY 2017, 77 units of affordable housing will be acquired and rehabilitated with this source of funding.

CR-60 - ESG 91.520(g) (ESG Recipients only)

ESG Supplement to the CAPER in *e-snaps*

For Paperwork Reduction Act

1. Recipient Information—All Recipients Complete

Basic Grant Information	
Recipient Name	GARDEN GROVE
Organizational DUNS Number	009596495
EIN/TIN Number	956005848
Indentify the Field Office	LOS ANGELES
Identify CoC(s) in which the recipient or subrecipient(s) will provide ESG assistance	Santa Ana/Anaheim/Orange County CoC
ESG Contact Name	
Prefix	Ms
First Name	Allison
Middle Name	D
Last Name	WILSON
Suffix	0
Title	Neighborhood Improvement Manager
ESG Contact Address	
Street Address 1	11222 Acacia Parkway
Street Address 2	0
City	Garden Grove
State	CA
ZIP Code	92840-
Phone Number	7147415139
Extension	0
Fax Number	0
Email Address	allisonj@garden-grove.org
ESG Secondary Contact	
Prefix	Ms
First Name	Nida
Last Name	Watkins
Suffix	0
Title	Project Manager
Phone Number	7147415159
Extension	0
Email Address	nidaw@garden-grove.org
	HIGH BUILT BUILDE

2. Reporting Period—All Recipients Complete

Program Year Start Date	07/01/2016
Program Year End Date	06/30/2017

3a. Subrecipient Form – Complete one form for each subrecipient

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: INTERVAL HOUSE City: Long Beach State: CA Zip Code: 90803, 4221 DUNS Number: 113510176 Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 85865

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: MERCY HOUSE TRANSITIONAL LIVING CENTERS City: Santa Ana State: CA Zip Code: 92702, 1905 DUNS Number: 879797165 Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 17500

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: THOMAS HOUSE TEMPORARY SHELTER City: Garden Grove State: CA Zip Code: 92842, 2737 DUNS Number: 075396882 Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 30000 Subrecipient or Contractor Name: OC Partnership City: Santa Ana State: CA Zip Code: 92705, 8520 DUNS Number: 014692973 Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 8000
CR-65 - Persons Assisted

4. Persons Served

4a. Complete for Homelessness Prevention Activities

Number of Persons in	Total
Households	
Adults	0
Children	0
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	0

Table 16 – Household Information for Homeless Prevention Activities

4b. Complete for Rapid Re-Housing Activities

Number of Persons in	Total
Households	
Adults	0
Children	0
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	0

Table 17 – Household Information for Rapid Re-Housing Activities

4c. Complete for Shelter

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	0
Children	0
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	0

Table 18 – Shelter Information

4d. Street Outreach

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	0
Children	0
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	0

Table 19 – Household Information for Street Outreach

4e. Totals for all Persons Served with ESG

Number of Persons in	Total
Households	
Adults	0
Children	0
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	0

Table 20 – Household Information for Persons Served with ESG

5. Gender—Complete for All Activities

	Total
Male	0
Female	0
Transgender	0
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	0

Table 21 – Gender Information

6. Age—Complete for All Activities

	Total
Under 18	0
18-24	0
25 and over	0
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	0

Table 22 – Age Information

7. Special Populations Served—Complete for All Activities

Subpopulation	Total	Total	Total	Total
Suppopulation	Total	Persons	Persons	Persons
		Served –	Served –	Served in
		Prevention	RRH	Emergency
				Shelters
Veterans	0	0	0	0
Victims of Domestic				
Violence	0	0	0	0
Elderly	0	0	0	0
HIV/AIDS	0	0	0	0
Chronically				
Homeless	0	0	0	0
Persons with Disabili	ties:			
Severely Mentally				
111	0	0	0	0
Chronic Substance				
Abuse	0	0	0	0
Other Disability	0	0	0	0
Total				
(Unduplicated if				
possible)	0	0	0	0

Number of Persons in Households

Table 23 – Special Population Served

CR-70 – ESG 91.520(g) - Assistance Provided and Outcomes

10. Shelter Utilization

Number of New Units - Rehabbed	0
Number of New Units - Conversion	0
Total Number of bed-nights available	39,065
Total Number of bed-nights provided	32,896
Capacity Utilization	84.21%

Table 24 – Shelter Capacity

11. Project Outcomes Data measured under the performance standards developed in consultation with the CoC(s)

All subrecipients of ESG funds providing services were required to use homeless/client certification forms during the intake process to ensure all clients serviced were qualified and are residents of the City of Garden Grove. In addition, subrecipients were also required to submit completed quarterly reports for monitoring purposes. During the aforementioned process, City staff addressed concerns and/or discrepancies within the reports and made sure corrections were made at the early stages of the fiscal year. Overall, service providers were either on point or surpassed their projected level of services. The capacity of utilization for shelter was approximately 84.20% (32,896 bed nights provided). Of the 84.20% 34.66% (13,540 bed nights) were Garden residents.

In addition, City staff consulted with the CoC and attended meetings with various County subcommittees to discuss issues, concerns, and best practices for meeting the needs of the homeless population. Staff also formed an OC Collaborative consisting of neighboring jurisdiction receiving ESG funds and have established a shared Request for Proposal (RFP) that was utilized to fund service providers for the 17-18 fiscal year. The OC Collaborative created uniform ESG guidelines that is utilized amongst all service providers within the County. These guidelines include a homeless at risk assessment and a homeless certification form. Creating these guidelines helped promote a cohesive effort between the neighboring Cities in addressing homelessness and also assisted service providers stay compliant with HUD's regulations.

CR-75 – Expenditures

11. Expenditures

11a. ESG Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2014	2015	2016
Expenditures for Rental Assistance	0	0	4,311
Expenditures for Housing Relocation and			
Stabilization Services - Financial Assistance	0	0	0
Expenditures for Housing Relocation &			
Stabilization Services - Services	12,563	0	0
Expenditures for Homeless Prevention under			
Emergency Shelter Grants Program	0	4,237	3,189
Subtotal Homelessness Prevention	12,563	4,237	7,500

Table 25 – ESG Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention

11b. ESG Expenditures for Rapid Re-Housing

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2014	2015	2016
Expenditures for Rental Assistance	0	0	36,690
Expenditures for Housing Relocation and			
Stabilization Services - Financial Assistance	42,283	39,855	4,170
Expenditures for Housing Relocation &			
Stabilization Services - Services	0	10,205	5,004
Expenditures for Homeless Assistance under			
Emergency Shelter Grants Program	0	0	0
Subtotal Rapid Re-Housing	42,283	50,060	45,864

Table 26 – ESG Expenditures for Rapid Re-Housing

11c. ESG Expenditures for Emergency Shelter

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2014	2015	2016
Essential Services	0	13,683	70,995
Operations	77,788	77,482	29,005
Renovation	0	0	0
Major Rehab	0	0	0
Conversion	0	0	0
Subtotal	77,788	91,165	100,000

Table 27 – ESG Expenditures for Emergency Shelter

11d. Other Grant Expenditures

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year					
	2014 2015 2016					
Street Outreach	0	0	0			
HMIS	6,930	8,090	8,000			
Administration	11,966	13,191	13,083			

Table 28 - Other Grant Expenditures

11e. Total ESG Grant Funds

Total ESG Funds Expended	2014	2015	2016
	151,530	166,743	174,447

Table 29 - Total ESG Funds Expended

11f. Match Source

	2014	2015	2016
Other Non-ESG HUD Funds	0	0	2,025
Other Federal Funds	0	8,090	7,500
State Government	0	19,038	126,245
Local Government	103,658	37,597	15,975
Private Funds	0	30,833	45,009
Other	186,500	39,765	30,000
Fees	0	10,082	0
Program Income	0	10,628	0
Total Match Amount	290,158	156,033	226,754

Table 30 - Other Funds Expended on Eligible ESG Activities

11g. Total

Total Amount of Funds Expended on ESG Activities	2014	2015	2016
	441,688	322,776	401,201

Table 31 - Total Amount of Funds Expended on ESG Activities

Attachments

Public Notices

PUBLIC NOTICE CITY OF GARDEN GROVE 2016-17 CONSOLIDATED ANNUAL PERFORMANCE AND EVALUATION REPORT (CAPER)

The City of Garden Grove, Community and Economic Development Department, in accordance with U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) regulations, has prepared its Draft FY 2016-17 Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER). The report describes and assesses the housing, economic, and community development activities undertaken by the City over the period July 1, 2016, through June 30, 2017.

On September 11, 2017, at 6:30 p.m., the Garden Grove Neighborhood Improvement and Conservation Commission (NICC) will hold a Public Hearing in the Council Chambers of the Community Meeting Center, 11300 Stanford Avenue, Garden Grove, to consider the FY-2016-17 CAPER.

On September 26, 2017, at 6:30 p.m., the City Council will also hold a Public Hearing in the Council Chambers to consider the FY 2016-17 CAPER.

The Draft CAPER will be available for public review and comment from September 8, 2017, through September 26, 2017 during regular business hours at the Community and Economic Development Department, located in City Hall, at 11222 Acacia Parkway, Garden Grove, CA 92840. All comments received during the comment period and Public Hearings will be included and responded to in the Final CAPER, which will be submitted to HUD by September 28, 2016.

To review, ask questions, or submit comments about the CAPER, please visit or contact: Allison Wilson, Neighborhood Improvement Manager, via telephone to (714)-741-5139, email to allisonj@ci.garden-grove.ca.us or mail to the City of Garden Grove, 11222 Acacia Parkway, Garden Grove, CA 92840.

/s/ TERRI POMEROY, CMC City Clerk

NOTIFICACION PUBLICA CIUDAD DE GARDEN GROVE REPORTE ANUAL DE EJECUCION CAPER 2016-2017 (CAPER)

El Departamento de Desarrollo Economico y Comunitario de la Ciudad de Garden Grove, de acuerdo con los reglamentos del Departamento de Vivienda y Desarrollo Urbano de los EE.UU. (HUD), ha preparado su borrador del Reporte Consolidado Anual de Ejecución y Evaluación FY2016-17 (CAPER). El reporte describe y evalúa las actividades de vivienda, economía y de desarrollo de la comunidad llevadas a cabo por la Ciudad durante el periodo 1º de julio del 2016 hasta el 30 de junio del 2017.

La Comisión de Mejoramiento y Conservación Vecinal llevará a cabo una audiencia pública para consideración del CAPER el 11 de septiembre del 2017 a las 6:30 p.m. en el Centro de Reuniones de la Comunidad de Garden Grove localizado en el 11300 Standford Avenue, Garden Grove, CA.

El Ayuntamiento entonces llevará acabo una segunda audiencia pública durante la reunión del Consejo de la Ciudad el 26 de septiembre del 2017 a las 6:30 p.m. en el Centro de Reuniones de la Comunidad para consideración del CAPER.

Desde el 8 de septiembre del 2017 al 26 de septiembre del 2017, el borrador CAPER estará disponible para revisión y comentarios públicos durante horario de oficina en el Departamento de Desarrollo Economico y Comunitario, ubicado en el Ayuntamiento, en el 11222 Acacia Parkway, Garden Grove, CA 92840. Todos los comentarios recibidos durante el periodo de comentario y audiencias serán incluidos y contestados en el CAPER final que será sometido a HUD el 28 de septiembre del 2017.

Para revisar, hacer preguntas, o presentar comentarios acerca del CAPER, por favor visite o pongase en contacto con Allison Wilson, Nelghborhood Improvement Manager, vía teléfono al (714) 741-5139 correo electrónico al <u>allisonj@ci.qarden-grove.ca.us</u> o por correo a la Ciudad de Garden Grove, 11222Acacia Parkway, Garden Grove, CA 92840

/s/ TERRI POMEROY, CMC Secretaria de la Municipalidad

CAPER

THÔNG BÁO THÀNH PHỐ GARDEN GROVE BÁO CÁO THÀNH TÍCH HÀNG NĂM CAPER 2016-17 (CAPER)

Ban Phát Triển Kinh Tế và Cộng Đồng (Community and Economic Development Department) Thành Phố Garden Grove đã soạn thảo Bán Báo Cáo về Thành Tích và Đánh Giá Thống Nhất Hàng Năm (Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report - CAPER) cho tài khóa 2016-17 để phù hợp với các quy định của Ban Phát Triển Gia Cư và Đô Thị Hoa Kỳ (U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development - HUD.) Bản báo cáo mô tả và đánh giá các hoạt động phát triển về gia cư, kinh tế, và cộng đồng mà Thành Phố đàm nhiệm trong thời kỳ từ ngày 1 tháng Bảy, 2016 đến ngày 30 tháng Sáu, 2017.

Vào ngày 11 tháng Chín, 2017, lúc 6:30 chiều, Ủy ban Phát Triển và Duy Trì Hàng Xóm sẽ có buổi điều trần công cộng tại Trung tâm Họp Hội Cộng Đồng (Community Meeting Center), tọa lạc tại 11300 Stanford Avenue để tham khảo thêm về chương trình CAPER 2016-2017.

Vào ngày 26 tháng Chín, 2017 bắt đầu lúc 6:30 chiều sẽ có một buổi điều trần công cộng trong thời gian của buổi họp Hội Đồng Thành Phố để tham khảo thêm về chương trình CAPER 2016-2017.

Bản thảo CAPER sẽ có sẵn cho công chúng tham khảo và góp ý trong thời hạn từ ngày 8 tháng Chín, 2017 đến ngày 26 tháng Chín, 2017 trong giờ làm việc tại Ban Phát Triển Kinh Tế và Cộng Đồng, tọa lạc trong Tòa Thị Chánh Thành phố, địa chỉ là 11222 Acacia Parkway, Garden Grove, CA 92840. Mọi góp ý nhận được trong thời gian góp ý và điều trần sẽ được để vào và trả lời trong bản CAPER sau cùng, bản này sẽ được nộp cho HUD vào ngày 28 tháng Chín, 2017.

Để tham khảo, đặt câu hỏi, hoặc góp ý về bản báo cáo CAPER, xin đến hoặc liên lạc: Allison Wilson, Quản Lý Dự Án, qua điện thoại (714) 741-5139, hoặc gởi email <u>allisonj@ci.garden-grove.ca.us</u>, hoặc gời thư về City of Garden Grove, 11222 Acacia Parkway, Garden Grove, CA 92840.

/s/ TERRI POMEROY Thư Ký Thành Phố **Project Location Map**

FY 2016-17 Action Plan Project Locations



eCart 2016



eCart Narrative

City staff has made numerous attempts to troubleshoot data errors within the eCart system however, despite following HUD eCart guideline, the error still remains. City staff believes this is out of the subrecipients and our control since we have followed everything outlined in the guide. Below is a brief description the errors in the eCart.

Organization: Interval House

Project(s): Domestic Violence Emergency Shelters/Rapid Rehousing **Description**: Project type (13 for Rapid Rehousing) entered in the Client Management Information System is correct however error code still exists.

Organization: Thomas House

Project: Grandma's House of Hope

Description: 11 error codes indicating that the values do not match however, HMIS system shows that the values do match. The eCart system is not picking up the correct data.

ESG Subrecipients

Q5. HMIS DQ & Participation

5a. HMIS or Comparable

Database Data Quality Q5a

Data Element	Client Doesn't Know or Client Refused	Data not collected
First name	0	0
Last name	0	0
SSN	175	0
Date of Birth	2	0
Race	34	5
Ethnicity	10	5
Gender	0	5
Veteran Status	5	5
Disabling condition	16	5
Living situation (Head of Household and Adults)	7	0
Relationship to Head of Household	0	0
Destination	1	2
Client location for project entry	0	0

Q6. Persons Served

6a. Report Validations

6a. Report Validations	
Table	Q6a
a. Total number of	4202
persons served	1393
b. Number of adults (age	1296
18 or over)	1296
c. Number of children	05
(under age 18)	95
d. Number of persons	
with unknown age	2
e. Total number of	
leavers	1355
t. Number of adult	4967
leavers	1267
g. Total number of	20
stayers	38
h. Number of adult	29
stayers	29
i. Number of veterans	105
j. Number of chronically	217
homeless persons k. Number of adult	
	1249
heads of household	
I. Number of child heads	0
of household	
m. Number of	
unaccompanied youth	91
under age 25	
n. Number of parenting	
youth under age 25 with	0
children	

6b. Number of Persons

Q6b Served

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. Adults	1296	1249	47	0	0
b. Children	95	0	77	18	0
c. Don't know / refused	2	0	0	0	2
d. Information missing	0	0	0	0	0
e. Total	1393	1249	124	18	2

Q7a. Households Served

7a. Number ofHouseholds ServedQ7a

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
Total Households	1261	1218	36	5	2

7b. Point-in-Time Count

of Households on the

Last Wednesday

Q7b

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
January	220	213	4	3	0
April	11	2	7	2	0
July	10	3	2	5	0
October	15	4	7	4	0

Q9. Contacts and Engagements

9a. Number of Persons

Contacted Q9a

	Total	a. First contact was at a place not meant for human habitation	b. First contact was at a non- residential service setting	c. First contact was at a residential service setting	d. First contact place was missing
a1. Contacted once?	0	0	0	0	0
a2. Contacted 2-5 times?	0	0	0	0	0
a3. Contacted 6-9 times?	0	0	0	0	0
a4. Contacted 10 or more times?	0	0	0	0	0
az. Total persons contacted	0	0	0	0	0

9b. Number of PersonsEngagedQ9b

	Total	a. First contact was at a place not meant for human habitation	b. First contact was at a non- residential service setting	c. First contact was at a residential service setting	d. First contact place was missing
b1. Engaged after 1 contact?	0	0	0	0	0
b2. Engaged after 2-5 contacts?	0	0	0	0	0
b3. Engaged after 6-9 contacts?	6	6	0	0	28
b4. Engaged after 10 or more contacts?	28	0	0	0	0
bz. Total persons engaged	0	0	0	0	0
c. Rate of engagement (%)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Q10. Gender

10a. Gender of Adults Q10a

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. Unknown household type
a. Male	789	781	8	0
b. Female	473	434	39	0
c. Transgender male to female	39	39	0	0
d. Transgender female to male	0	0	0	0
e. Doesn't identify as male, female, or transgender	0	0	0	0
f. Don't know / refused	0	0	0	0
g. Information missing	0	0	0	0
h. Subtotal	1257	1210	47	0

10b. Gender of Children $_{Q10b}$

	Total	a. With children and adults	b. With only children	c. Unknown household type
a. Male	44	35	9	0
b. Female	51	42	9	0
c. Transgender male to female	0	0	0	0
d. Transgender female to male	0	0	0	0
e. Doesn't identify as male, female, or transgender	0	0	0	0
f. Don't know / refused	0	0	0	0
g. Information missing	0	0	0	0
h. Subtotal	95	77	18	0

10c. Gender of Persons

Missing Age Information $_{Q10c}$

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. Male	1	0	0	0	1
b. Female	1	0	0	0	1
c. Transgender male to female	0	0	0	0	0
d. Transgender female to male	0	0	0	0	0
e. Doesn't identify as male, female, or transgender	6	0	0	5	1
f. Don't know / refused	0	0	28	0	2
g. Information missing	18	8	0	0	0
h. Subtotal	2	0	0	0	2

10d. Gender by Age Ranges

Q10d

	Total	a. Under age 18	b. Age 18-24	c. Age 25-61	d. Age 62 and over	e. Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused	f. Data not collected
a. Male	834	44	73	639	77	1	0
b. Female	520	51	33	377	58	1	0
c. Transgender male to female	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
d. Transgender female to male	0	5	0	1	4	0	0
e. Doesn't identify as male, female, or transgender	0	39	0	3	27	9	0
f. Don't know / refused	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
g. Information missing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
h. Total	1354	95	109	1019	135	2	0

Q11. Age

Q11

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. Under 5	36	7	26	3	0
b. 5 - 12	49	4	34	11	0
c. 13 - 17	29	8	17	4	0
d. 18 - 24	114	109	5	0	0
e. 25 - 34	230	213	17	0	0
f. 35 - 44	245	231	14	0	0
g. 45 - 54	333	325	8	0	0
h. 55 - 61	256	255	1	0	0
i. 62+	165	163	2	0	0
j. Don't know / refused	3	1	0	0	2
k. Information missing	0	0	0	0	0
l. Total	1354	1210	124	18	2

Q12. Race & Ethnicity

12a. Race	Q12a				
	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. White	705	625	66	13	1
b. Black or African- American	193	168	25	0	0
c. Asian	81	55	21	5	0
d. American Indian or Alaska Native	286	286	0	0	0
e. Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	55	54	1	0	0
f. Multiple races	48	44	4	0	0
g. Don't know / refused	46	38	7	0	1
h. Information missing	0	0	0	0	0
i. Total	1380	1210	124	18	28

12b. Ethnicity

Q12b

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. Non-Hispanic/non- Latino	921	859	35	0	27
b. Hispanic/Latino	454	362	79	13	0
c. Don't know / refused	11	10	0	0	1
d. Information missing	17	2	0	0	4
e. Total	1355	1211	114	13	6

Q13. Physical and Mental Health Conditions

13a1. Physical and Mental Health Conditions at Entry Q13a1

	Total persons	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. Mental illness	431	428	2	0	1
b. Alcohol abuse	66	66	0	0	0
c. Drug abuse	81	81	0	0	0
d. Both alcohol and drug abuse	50	50	0	0	0
e. Chronic health condition	392	385	7	0	0
f. HIV/AIDS and related diseases	14	14	0	0	0
g. Developmental disability	201	199	2	0	0
h. Physical disability	349	346	3	0	0

13b1. Physical and

Mental Health

Conditions of Leavers Q13b1

	Total persons	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. Mental illness	5	4	1	0	0
b. Alcohol abuse	0	0	0	0	0
c. Drug abuse	1	1	0	0	0
d. Both alcohol and drug abuse	1	1	0	0	0
e. Chronic health condition	6	4	2	0	0
f. HIV/AIDS and related diseases	1	1	0	0	0
g. Developmental disability	2	0	2	0	0
h. Physical disability	2	0	2	0	0

13c1. Physical and Mental Health Conditions of Stayers Q13c1

	Total persons	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. Mental illness	9	9	0	0	0
b. Alcohol abuse	0	0	0	0	0
c. Drug abuse	0	0	0	0	0
d. Both alcohol and drug abuse	6	6	0	0	0
e. Chronic health condition	6	6	0	0	0
f. HIV/AIDS and related diseases	18	18	0	0	0
g. Developmental disability	0	0	0	0	0
h. Physical disability	15	15	0	0	0

Q14. Domestic Violence

14a. Persons with

Domestic Violence

Q14a

History	Q14a				
	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. Yes	310	276	33	0	1
b. No	976	961	14	0	1
c. Don't know / refused	18	18	0	0	0
d. Information missing	0	0	0	0	0
e. Total	1259	1210	47	0	2

14b. Persons Fleeing

Q14b **Domestic Violence**

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. Yes	76	46	30	0	0
b. No	186	182	3	0	1
c. Don't know / refused	37	37	0	0	0
d. Information missing	1	1	0	0	0
e. Total	271	237	33	0	1

Q15. Living Situation Q15

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. Homeless situations					
a1. Emergency shelter	346	337	8	0	1
a2. Transitional housing for homeless persons	32	32	0	0	0
a3. Place not meant for human habitation	842	821	20	0	1
a4. Safe haven	1	1	0	0	0
a5. Interim housing	1	1	0	0	0
az. Total	1190	1160	28	0	2
b. Institutional settings					
b1. Psychiatric facility	0	0	0	0	0
b2. Substance abuse or detox center	1	1	0	0	0
b3. Hospital (non- psychiatric)	10	10	0	0	0
b4. Jail, prison or juvenile detention	4	4	0	0	0
b5. Foster care home or foster care group home	0	0	0	0	0
b6. Long-term care facility or nursing home	0	0	0	0	0
b7. Residential project or halfway house with no homeless criteria	0	0	0	0	0
bz. Total	12	12	0	0	0

c. Other locations					
c01. PH for homeless	0	0	0	0	0
persons	0	0			0
c02. Owned by client, no	0	0	0	0	0
subsidy	5	0			0
c03. Owned by client,	0	0	0	0	0
with subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
c04. Rental by client, no	13	4	9	0	0
subsidy	13		5	0	0
c05. Rental by client,	4	2	2	0	0
with VASH subsidy	7	2	2	0	0
c06. Rental by client,	1	1	0	0	0
with GPD TIP subsidy	Ŧ	-	0	0	0
c07. Rental by client,	0	0	0	0	0
with other subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
c08. Hotel or motel paid	17	14	3	0	0
by client	17	14	5	0	0
c09. Staying or living	15	14	1	0	0
with friend(s)	13	14		0	0
c10. Staying or living	49	45	4	0	0
with family	т.)	CF		0	0
c11. Don't know /	15	7	5	0	0
refused	13	,	5	0	0
c12. Information missing	0	0	0	0	0
cz. Total	57	38	19	0	0
d. Total	1259	1210	47	26	2

Q20. Non-Cash Benefits

20a. Type of Non-Cash

Benefit Sources Q20a

	At entry	At Latest Annual Assessment for Stayers	At Exit for Leavers
a. Supplemental Nutritional Assistance Program	564	5	550
b. WIC	7	0	8
c. TANF Child Care services	5	1	5
d. TANF transportation services	5	0	5
e. Other TANF-funded services	1	0	2
f. Other source	12	2	20

Q21. Health Insurance Q21

	At entry	At Latest Annual Assessment for Stayers	At Exit for Leavers
a. MEDICAID health insurance	898	0	894
b. MEDICARE health insurance	156	0	158
c. State Children's Health Insurance	0	0	0
d. VA Medical Services	23	12	25
e. Employer-provided health insurance	8	0	6
f. Health insurance through COBRA	1	1	1
g. Private pay health insurance	32	0	57
h. State Health Insurance for Adults	1	25	1
i. Indian Health Services Program	12	4	0
j. Other	24	6	27
k. No health insurance	412	5	403
l. Client doesn't know/Client refused	10	3	11
m. Data not collected	6	3	1
n. Number of adult stayers not yet required to have an annual assessment	3	15	3
o. 1 source of health insurance	918	2	913
p. More than 1 source of health insurance	100	1	103

Q22. Length of Participation

Q22a2. Length of

Participation—ESG projects Q22a2

projects Q22a2						
	Total	Leavers	Stayers			
a. 0 to 7 days	687	696	5			
b. 8 to 14 days	152	141	0			
c. 15 to 21 days	102	102	0			
d. 22 to 30 days	89	86	3			
e. 31 to 60 days	161	159	2			
f. 61 to 90 days	73	70	3			
g. 91 to 180 days	55	55	0			
h. 181 to 365 days	20	59	15			
i. 366 to 730 days (1-2 yrs.)	38	11	3			
j. 731 to 1095 days (2-3 yrs.)	5	5	0			
k. 1096 to 1460 days (3-4 yrs.)	0	0	0			
l. 1461 to 1825 days (4-5 yrs.)	0	0	0			
m. More than 1825 days (>5 yrs.)	0	0	0			
n. Information missing	8	8	0			
o. Total	1354	1340	14			

Q22c. RRH Length of

Time between Project

Entry Date and

Residential Move-in Date

Q22c

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. 0-7 days	4	4	0	0	0
b. 8-14 days	1	1	0	0	0
c. 15-21 days	4	4	0	0	0
d. 22 to 30 days	0	0	0	0	0
e. 31 to 60 days	0	0	0	0	0
f. 61 to 180 days	0	0	0	0	0
g. 181 to 365 days	6	6	0	0	0
h. 366 to 730 days (1-2 yrs.)	5	5	0	0	0
i. Data Not Collected	3	3	0	0	0
j. Total	12	12	0	0	0

Q22d. Length of	
Participation by	
Household type	Q22d

Household type					
	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. 0 to 7 days	692	677	13	0	2
b. 8 to 14 days	143	139	4	0	0
c. 15 to 21 days	103	91	12	0	0
d. 22 to 30 days	102	82	20	0	0
e. 31 to 60 days	161	124	37	0	0
f. 61 to 90 days	73	62	11	0	0
g. 91 to 180 days	55	50	5	0	0
h. 181 to 365 days	20	6	11	3	0
i. 366 to 730 days (1-2 yrs.)	14	1	3	10	0
j. 731 to 1095 days (2-3 yrs.)	5	0	0	5	0
k. 1096 to 1460 days (3-4 yrs.)	39	39	0	0	0
l. 1461 to 1825 days (4-5 yrs.)	0	0	0	0	0
m. More than 1825 days (>5 yrs.)	0	0	0	0	0
n. Information missing	8	0	8	0	0
o. Total	1354	1210	124	18	2

Q23. Exit Destination – More than 90 Days Q23

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. Permanent					
destinations					
a01. Moved from one					
HOPWA funded project	0	0	0	0	0
to HOPWA PH					
a02. Owned by client, no	0	0	0	0	0
ongoing subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
a03. Owned by client,	0	0	0	0	0
with ongoing subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
a04. Rental by client, no	31	8	15	8	0
ongoing subsidy	51	0	15	0	0
a05. Rental by client,	0	0	0	0	0
VASH subsidy	0	0	0	U	0
a06. Rental by client,					
with GPD TIP housing	0	0	0	0	0
subsidy					
a07. Rental by client,	5	1	0	4	0
other ongoing subsidy	5	T	0	4	0
a08. Permanent housing					
for homeless persons	3	0	0	3	0
-					
a09. Staying or living					
with family, permanent	0	0	0	0	0
tenure					
a10. Staying or living					
with friends, permanent	0	0	0	0	0
tenure					

az. Total	39	9	15	15	0
b. Temporary					
destinations					
b1. Emergency shelter	0	0	0	0	0
b2. Moved from one	0	0	0	0	0
HOPWA funded project	0	0	0	0	0
to HOPWA TH					
b3. Transitional housing	0	0	0	0	0
for homeless persons					
b4. Staying with family,				_	
temporary tenure	0	0	0	0	0
b5. Staying with friends,	0	0	0	0	0
temporary tenure	·	· · ·	Ū	Ũ	Ū
b6. Place not meant for	0	0	0	0	0
human habitation	0	0	0	0	0
b7. Safe Haven	0	0	0	0	0
b8. Hotel or motel paid	4	0	4	0	0
by client					
bz. Total	4	0	4	0	0
c. Institutional settings					
c1. Foster care home or					
group foster care home	0	0	0	0	0
c2. Psychiatric hospital					
or other psychiatric	0	0	0	0	0
facility					
c3. Substance abuse					
treatment facility or	0	0	0	0	0
detox center					
c4. Hospital or other residential non-					
psychiatric medical	0	0	0	0	0
facility					
c5. Jail, prison or juvenile					
detention facility	0	0	0	0	0
c6. Long term care	0	0	0	0	0
facility or nursing home	0	0	0	Ū	Ū

cz. Total	1	1	0	0	0
d. Other destinations					
d1. Residential project or halfway house with no homeless criteria	1	1	0	0	0
d2. Deceased	2	2	0	0	0
d3. Other	50	50	0	0	0
d4. Don't know / refused	0	0	0	0	0
d5. Information missing	0	0	0	0	0
dz. Total	48	48	0	0	0
e. Total	91	57	19	15	0

Q23a. Exit
Destination—All

persons

Q23a

persons					
	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. Permanent					
destinations					
a01. Moved from one					
HOPWA funded project	0	0	0	0	0
to HOPWA PH					
a02. Owned by client, no	0	0	0	0	0
ongoing subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
a03. Owned by client,	0	0	0	0	0
with ongoing subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
a04. Rental by client, no	75	1	66	8	0
ongoing subsidy	/5	T	66	8	0
a05. Rental by client,	0	0	0	0	0
VASH subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
a06. Rental by client,					
with GPD TIP housing	0	0	0	0	0
subsidy					
a07. Rental by client,	24	1	19	4	0
other ongoing subsidy	24	T	19	4	0
a08. Permanent housing					
for homeless persons	3	0	0	3	0
·					
a09. Staying or living					
with family, permanent	17	1	16	0	0
tenure					
a10. Staying or living					
with friends, permanent	0	0	0	0	0
tenure					

az. Total	119	3	101	15	0
b. Temporary					
destinations					
b1. Emergency shelter	1	1	0	0	0
b2. Moved from one	1			0	0
HOPWA funded project to HOPWA TH	1	1	0	0	0
b3. Transitional housing for homeless persons	2	0	2	0	0
for nomeless persons					
b4. Staying with family,	0	0	0	0	0
temporary tenure	0	0	0	0	0
b5. Staying with friends,	6	6	0	0	0
temporary tenure					
b6. Place not meant for	0	0	0	0	0
human habitation				0	
b7. Safe Haven	1	1	0	0	0
b8. Hotel or motel paid by client	4	0	4	0	0
by cheft	6	0	6	0	0
c. Institutional settings					
c1. Foster care home or					
group foster care home	0	0	0	0	0
c2. Psychiatric hospital					
or other psychiatric	0	0	0	0	0
facility					
c3. Substance abuse	0	0	0	0	0
treatment facility or detox center	0	0	0	0	0
c4. Hospital or other					
residential non-	0	0	0	0	0
psychiatric medical	0	0	0	0	0
facility					
c5. Jail, prison or juvenile	0	0	0	0	0
detention facility	0	0	0	0	0
c6. Long term care					
facility or nursing home	1	1	0	0	0
_					

cz. Total	4	4	0	0	0
d. Other destinations					
d1. Residential project or halfway house with no homeless criteria	2	2	0	0	0
d2. Deceased	8	8	0	0	0
d3. Other	1223	1212	8	0	2
d4. Don't know / refused	0	0	0	0	0
d5. Information missing	0	0	0	0	0
dz. Total	1208	1198	8	0	2
e. Total	1331	1199	115	15	2
Q23b. Homeless Prevention Housing Assessment at Exit Q23b

Assessment at Exit	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. Able to maintain the housing they had at project entryWithout a subsidy	3	0	3	0	0
b. Able to maintain the housing they had at project entryWith the subsidy they had at project entry	16	0	16	0	0
c. Able to maintain the housing they had at project entryWith an on-going subsidy acquired since project entry	0	0	0	0	0
d. Able to maintain the housing they had at project entryOnly with financial assistance other than a subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
e. Moved to new housing unitWith on- going subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
f. Moved to new housing unitWithout an on- going subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
g. Moved in with family/friends on a temporary basis	0	0	0	0	0
h. Moved in with family/friends on a permanent basis	0	0	0	0	0
i. Moved to a transitional or temporary housing facility or program	0	0	0	0	0
j. Client became homeless-moving to a shelter or other place unfit for human habitation	0	0	0	0	0

Combined Report

k. Client went to jail/prison	0	0	0	0	0
I. Client died	0	0	0	0	0
m. Client doesn't know/Client refused	0	0	0	0	0
n. Data not collected (no exit interview completed)	34	0	0	0	34
o. Total	53	0	19	0	34

Q24. Exit Destination –

Q24. LAIL DESUINATION	
90 Days or Less	Q24

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. Permanent					
destinations					
a01. Moved from one					
HOPWA funded project	0	0	0	0	0
to HOPWA PH					
a02. Owned by client, no	0	0	0	0	0
ongoing subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
a03. Owned by client,	0	0	0	0	0
with ongoing subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
a04. Rental by client, no	10		10		
ongoing subsidy	19	0	19	0	0
a05. Rental by client,					
VASH subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
a06. Rental by client,					
with GPD TIP housing	0	0	0	0	0
subsidy					
a07. Rental by client,					
other ongoing subsidy	1	1	0	0	0
a08. Permanent housing	0	0	0		
for homeless persons	0	0	0	0	0
a09. Staying or living					
with family, permanent	1	1	0	0	0
tenure					
a10. Staying or living					
with friends, permanent	0	0	0	0	0
tenure					

az. Total	21	2	19	0	0
b. Temporary					
destinations					
b1. Emergency shelter	1	1	0	0	0
b2. Moved from one					
HOPWA funded project	1	1	0	0	0
to HOPWA TH					
b3. Transitional housing	0	0	0	0	0
for homeless persons	Ũ	0	0	0	Ũ
b4. Staying with family,					
temporary tenure	0	0	0	0	0
b5. Staying with friends,	6	6	0	0	0
temporary tenure	Ũ	0	0	0	Ū
b6. Place not meant for	0	0	0	0	0
human habitation	0	0	0	0	0
b7. Safe Haven	1	1	0	0	0
b8. Hotel or motel paid	0	0	0	0	0
by client		_	_		
bz. Total	0	0	0	0	0
c. Institutional settings					
c1. Foster care home or					
group foster care home	0	0	0	0	0
c2. Psychiatric hospital					
or other psychiatric	0	0	0	0	0
facility	_	_	_	_	_
c3. Substance abuse					
treatment facility or	0	0	0	0	0
detox center					
c4. Hospital or other					
residential non- psychiatric medical	0	0	0	0	0
facility					
c5. Jail, prison or juvenile detention facility	0	0	0	0	0
c6. Long term care					
facility or nursing home	1	1	0	0	0

cz. Total	3	3	0	0	0
d. Other destinations					
d1. Residential project or halfway house with no homeless criteria	1	1	0	0	0
d2. Deceased	6	6	0	0	0
d3. Other	1165	1163	0	0	2
d4. Don't know / refused	1	1	0	0	1
d5. Information missing	1	0	0	32	32
dz. Total	1152	1150	0	0	2
e. Total	1171	1155	24	0	2

25a. Number of

Veterans

Q25a

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. Unknown household type
a. Chronically homeless veteran	61	61	0	0
b. Non-chronically homeless veteran	90	90	0	0
c. Not a veteran	1149	1132	77	0
d. Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused	5	5	0	0
e. Data Not Collected	0	0	0	0
f. Total	1257	1210	47	0

Q26b. Number of

Chronically Homeless Persons by Household Q26b

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. Chronically homeless	247	247	0	0	0
b. Not chronically homeless	1141	997	124	18	2
c. Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused	5	5	0	0	0
d. Data Not Collected	0	0	0	0	0

CR-60 - ESG 91.520(g) (ESG Recipients only)

ESG Supplement to the CAPER in e-snaps

For Paperwork Reduction Act

1. Recipient Information—All Recipients Complete **Basic Grant Information Recipient Name** GARDEN GROVE **Organizational DUNS Number** 009596495 **EIN/TIN Number** 956005848 **Indentify the Field Office** LOS ANGELES Identify CoC(s) in which the recipient or Santa Ana/Anaheim/Orange County CoC subrecipient(s) will provide ESG assistance **ESG Contact Name** Prefix Ms **First Name** Allison Middle Name D Last Name WILSON Suffix 0 Title Neighborhood Improvement Manager **ESG Contact Address Street Address 1** 11222 Acacia Parkway Street Address 2 0 City Garden Grove CA State 92840-**ZIP Code** 7147415139 **Phone Number** Extension 0 Fax Number 0 **Email Address** allisonj@garden-grove.org **ESG Secondary Contact** Prefix Ms **First Name** Nida Last Name Watkins Suffix 0 Title **Project Manager Phone Number** 7147415159 Extension 0 **Email Address** nidaw@garden-grove.org

45

2. Reporting Period—All Recipients Complete

Program Year Start Date	07/01/2015
Program Year End Date	06/30/2016

3a. Subrecipient Form – Complete one form for each subrecipient

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: GRANDMA'S HOUSE OF HOPE City: Santa Ana State: CA Zip Code: 92705 DUNS Number: 969463293 Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 20000

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: INTERVAL HOUSE City: Seal Beach State: CA Zip Code: 90740 DUNS Number: 113510176 Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 87896

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: MERCY HOUSE TRANSITIONAL LIVING CENTERS City: Santa Ana State: CA Zip Code: 92702 DUNS Number: 879797165 Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 10000 Subrecipient or Contractor Name: OC Partnership City: Santa Ana State: CA Zip Code: 92705 DUNS Number: 014692973 Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 8090

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Thomas House Temporary Shelter City: Garden Grove State: CA Zip Code: 92842 DUNS Number: 075396882 Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 45203

TMENTOS	Office of Community Planning and Development	DATE:	09-07-17
28 AH A A A OL	U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development	TIME:	17:09
JNIG	Integrated Disbursement and Information System	PAGE:	1
	PR26 - CDBG Financial Summary Report		
Supposed and Suppose	Program Year 2016		
AN DEVELO	GARDEN GROVE , CA		

PART I: SUMMARY OF CDBG RESOURCES	
01 UNEXPENDED CDBG FUNDS AT END OF PREVIOUS PROGRAM YEAR	56,319.00
02 ENTITLEMENT GRANT	1,931,623.00
03 SURPLUS URBAN RENEWAL	0.00
04 SECTION 108 GUARANTEED LOAN FUNDS	0.00
05 CURRENT YEAR PROGRAM INCOME	0.00
05a CURRENT YEAR SECTION 108 PROGRAM INCOME (FOR SI TYPE)	0.00
06 FUNDS RETURNED TO THE LINE-OF-CREDIT	56,013.00
06a FUNDS RETURNED TO THE LOCAL CDBG ACCOUNT	0.00
07 ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE TOTAL AVAILABLE	0.00
08 TOTAL AVAILABLE (SUM, LINES 01-07)	2,043,955.00
PART II: SUMMARY OF CDBG EXPENDITURES	
09 DISBURSEMENTS OTHER THAN SECTION 108 REPAYMENTS AND PLANNING/ADMINISTRATION	298,033.00
10 ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE TOTAL AMOUNT SUBJECT TO LOW/MOD BENEFIT	0.00
11 AMOUNT SUBJECT TO LOW/MOD BENEFIT (LINE 09 + LINE 10)	298,033.00
12 DISBURSED IN IDIS FOR PLANNING/ADMINISTRATION	307,896.19
13 DISBURSED IN IDIS FOR SECTION 108 REPAYMENTS	1,226,880.00
14 ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE TOTAL EXPENDITURES	56,013.00
15 TOTAL EXPENDITURES (SUM, LINES 11-14)	1,888,822.19
16 UNEXPENDED BALANCE (LINE 08 - LINE 15)	155,132.81
PART III: LOWMOD BENEFIT THIS REPORTING PERIOD	
17 EXPENDED FOR LOW/MOD HOUSING IN SPECIAL AREAS	0.00
18 EXPENDED FOR LOW/MOD MULTI-UNIT HOUSING	0.00
19 DISBURSED FOR OTHER LOW/MOD ACTIVITIES	298,033.00
20 ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE TOTAL LOW/MOD CREDIT	0.00
21 TOTAL LOW/MOD CREDIT (SUM, LINES 17-20)	298,033.00
22 PERCENT LOW/MOD CREDIT (LINE 21/LINE 11)	100.00%
LOW/MOD BENEFIT FOR MULTI-YEAR CERTIFICATIONS	
23 PROGRAM YEARS(PY) COVERED IN CERTIFICATION	PY: 2016 PY: PY:
24 CUMULATIVE NET EXPENDITURES SUBJECT TO LOW/MOD BENEFIT CALCULATION	0.00
25 CUMULATIVE EXPENDITURES BENEFITING LOW/MOD PERSONS	0.00 0.00%
26 PERCENT BENEFIT TO LOW/MOD PERSONS (LINE 25/LINE 24)	0.00%
PART IV: PUBLIC SERVICE (PS) CAP CALCULATIONS 27 DISBURSED IN IDIS FOR PUBLIC SERVICES	289,743.00
28 PS UNLIQUIDATED OBLIGATIONS AT END OF CURRENT PROGRAM YEAR	0.00
29 PS UNLIQUIDATED OBLIGATIONS AT END OF PREVIOUS PROGRAM YEAR	0.00
30 ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE TOTAL PS OBLIGATIONS	0.00
31 TOTAL PS OBLIGATIONS (LINE 27 + LINE 28 - LINE 29 + LINE 30)	289,743.00
32 ENTITLEMENT GRANT	1,931,623.00
33 PRIOR YEAR PROGRAM INCOME	0.00
34 ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE TOTAL SUBJECT TO PS CAP	0.00
35 TOTAL SUBJECT TO PS CAP (SUM, LINES 32-34)	1,931,623.00
36 PERCENT FUNDS OBLIGATED FOR PS ACTIVITIES (LINE 31/LINE 35)	15.00%
PART V: PLANNING AND ADMINISTRATION (PA) CAP	10.0070
37 DISBURSED IN IDIS FOR PLANNING/ADMINISTRATION	307,896.19
38 PA UNLIQUIDATED OBLIGATIONS AT END OF CURRENT PROGRAM YEAR	0.00
39 PA UNLIQUIDATED OBLIGATIONS AT END OF PREVIOUS PROGRAM YEAR	0.00
40 ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE TOTAL PA OBLIGATIONS	56,013.00
41 TOTAL PA OBLIGATIONS (LINE 37 + LINE 38 - LINE 39 +LINE 40)	363,909.19
42 ENTITLEMENT GRANT	1,931,623.00
43 CURRENT YEAR PROGRAM INCOME	0.00
44 ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE TOTAL SUBJECT TO PA CAP	0.00
45 TOTAL SUBJECT TO PA CAP (SUM, LINES 42-44)	1,931,623.00
46 PERCENT FUNDS OBLIGATED FOR PA ACTIVITIES (LINE 41/LINE 45)	Page 153 of 206 18.84%
	1 age 100 01 200



Office of Community Planning and Development DATE: 09-07-17 U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development TIME: 17:09 Integrated Disbursement and Information System PAGE: PR26 - CDBG Financial Summary Report Program Year 2016 GARDEN GROVE, CA LINE 17 DETAIL: ACTIVITIES TO CONSIDER IN DETERMINING THE AMOUNT TO ENTER ON LINE 17 Report returned no data.

LINE 18 DETAIL: ACTIVITIES TO CONSIDER IN DETERMINING THE AMOUNT TO ENTER ON LINE 18 Report returned no data.

LINE 19 DETAIL: ACTIVITIES INCLUDED IN THE COMPUTATION OF LINE 19

Plan Year	IDIS Project	IDIS Activity	Voucher Number	Activity Name	Matrix Code	National Objective	Drawn Amount
2016	5	621	6027187	CDBG Senior Center	05A	LMC	\$98,878.10
2016	5	621	6069410	CDBG Senior Center	05A	LMC	\$60,880.90
2016	6	622	6027192	CDBG Community SeniorServ	05A	LMC	\$10,000.00
2016	6	622	6069411	CDBG Community SeniorServ	05A	LMC	\$10,000.00
					05A	Matrix Code	\$179,759.00
2016	4	620	6027192	CDBG Gang Suppression Special Unit	051	LMA	\$69,655.15
2016	4	620	6069412	CDBG Gang Suppression Special Unit	051	LMA	\$40,328.85
					051	Matrix Code	\$109,984.00
2016	13	633	6069550	Senior Grant Rehabilitation	14A	LMH	\$8,290.00
					14A	Matrix Code	\$8,290.00
Total						-	\$298,033.00

LINE 27 DETAIL: ACTIVITIES INCLUDED IN THE COMPUTATION OF LINE 27

Plan Year	IDIS Project	IDIS Activity	Voucher Number	Activity Name	Matrix Code	National Objective	Drawn Amount
2016	5	621	6027187	CDBG Senior Center	05A	LMC	\$98,878.10
2016	5	621	6069410	CDBG Senior Center	05A	LMC	\$60,880.90
2016	6	622	6027192	CDBG Community SeniorServ	05A	LMC	\$10,000.00
2016	6	622	6069411	CDBG Community SeniorServ	05A	LMC	\$10,000.00
					05A	Matrix Code	\$179,759.00
2016	4	620	6027192	CDBG Gang Suppression Special Unit	051	LMA	\$69,655.15
2016	4	620	6069412	CDBG Gang Suppression Special Unit	051	LMA	\$40,328.85
					051	Matrix Code	\$109,984.00
Total						_	\$289,743.00

LINE 37 DETAIL: ACTIVITIES INCLUDED IN THE COMPUTATION OF LINE 37

Plan Year	IDIS Project	IDIS Activity	Voucher Number	Activity Name	Matrix Code	National Objective	Drawn Amount
2016	1	618	6027192	Program Administration	20		\$176,520.25
2016	1	618	6069413	Program Administration	20		\$127,674.94
					20	Matrix Code	\$304,195.19
2015	3	607	5964781	CDBG MUNICIPAL SUPPORT SERVICES	21B		(\$21,081.00)
2016	2	619	6027192	Municipal Support Services	21B		\$14,456.19
2016	2	619	6069414	Municipal Support Services	21B		\$10,325.81
					21B	Matrix Code	\$3,701.00
2015	5	604	5964781	FAIR HOUSING FOUNDATION	21D		(\$34,932.00)
2016	3	624	6027192	CDBG Fair Housing Foundation	21D		\$16,240.76
2016	3	624	6069415	CDBG Fair Housing Foundation	21D		\$18,691.24
					21D	Matrix Code	\$0.00
T - + - 1						_	* 207.00/ 10

2

Total

Office of Community Planning and Development U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Integrated Disbursement and Information System PR – 26 CDBG Financial Summary Report Program Year 2016 GARDEN GROVE, CA

Supplemental Notes / Explanations for Manual Adjustments

- **LINE 01** LINE 01 Unexpended CDBG Funds at the End of Previous Program Year shows a total of \$56,319, which was retrieved from the 2015 PR26 Report.
- LINE 14 An adjustment of \$56,013 is included in Line 14 *Adjustment to Compute Total Expenditures* as this return to the CDBG line of credit was accounted for in the 2015 PR26 Report. During year-end reconciliation activities for 2015, the City noticed that it erroneously over committed funds in 2015 IDIS activity #'s 604 and 607. These funds were mistakenly drawn down and in September 2016, the City took action to send the money back to the line of credit. Once the credit posted to IDIS Activity #002 on September 17, 2016, the credit was transferred to IDIS activities #604 and #607. Therefore, \$56,013 is placed in Line 14 in order to back out the \$56,013 that was returned to the CDBG line of credit.
- LINE 40 See explanation above. The adjustment of \$56,013 to Line 40 is used to offset two PA credit transfers: one for (\$21,081) for IDIS Activity #607 and another for (\$34,932) for IDIS Activity #604.

City of Garden Grove

INTER-DEPARTMENT MEMORANDUM

To:	Scott C. Stiles	From:	Omar Sandoval
Dept.:	City Manager	Dept.:	City Attorney
Subject:	Introduction and first reading of an Ordinance renaming the Main Street Commission to Downtown Commission and discussion of Board organization as requested by the City Council		9/26/2017

<u>OBJECTIVE</u>

For the City Council to consider introduction of an ordinance renaming the Main Street Commission to Downtown Commission, as requested by the City Council.

DISCUSSION

The Main Street Commission is an advisory commission created by the City Council with the following advisory duties established in Chapter 2.32 of the Municipal Code:

A. To review proposed building design plans and site plans; and make recommendations to the Planning Commission and the Agency for Community Development, as appropriate, relative to the approval, denial, or modification of the plan based upon its conformance with the regulations and criteria of the Main Street Historical-Retail Combining Zone;

B. To monitor the appearance of the buildings and grounds on Main Street to ensure that the revitalized and restored buildings are maintained in a proper and attractive way;

C. To advise the City Council regarding the levy of annual assessments for the Main Street Assessment District No. 1 to provide for any proposed new improvements or any substantial changes in existing improvements and changes in level of maintenance from the previous year.

The Commission currently consists of seven members, six property owners or tenants of businesses within Main Street Assessment District No. 1, and one member at-large. There is currently a vacancy on the Commission of one of the business tenants.

At the City Council meeting of September 12, 2017, Mayor Jones moved that the Council consider an agenda item to change the name of the Commission to the "Downtown Commission," and to consider the reorganization of the Board. The City Council unanimously

approved adding the item to the City Council agenda. If the City Council wishes to change the organization of the Board, it may revise Section 2 of the attached Ordinance, pertaining to the Board membership, and introduce the Ordinance with revisions to this section.

FINANCIAL IMPACT

None.

RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the City Council:

• Consider introduction of the attached Ordinance renaming the Main Street Commission to Downtown Commission.

 ATTACHMENTS:

 Description
 Upload Date
 Type
 File Name

 Ordinance
 9/22/2017
 Ordinance
 9-26-17_GG_Ordinance_Renaming_Main_Street_Commission_to_Downtown_Commission_Final.pdf

ORDINANCE NO.

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF GARDEN GROVE REVISING CHAPTER 2.32 OF TITLE 2 OF THE GARDEN GROVE MUNICIPAL CODE RENAMING THE MAIN STREET COMMISSION TO DOWNTOWN COMMISSION.

City Attorney Summary

This Ordinance renames the Main Street Commission to Downtown Commission.

THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF GARDEN GROVE HEREBY ORDAINS AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1: Chapter 2.32 of the Garden Grove Municipal Code is hereby renamed "DOWNTOWN COMMISSION."

<u>SECTION 2</u>: Section 2.32.020 of the Garden Grove Municipal Code is hereby amended to read as follows:

2.32.020 Created—Membership

The Downtown Commission is established. The Downtown Commission shall consist of seven members. The membership shall consist of at least six property owner(s) and/or tenant(s) of business(es) within the Main Street Assessment District No. 1. One member may be a member-at-large.

<u>SECTION 3</u>: All references to the "Main Street Commission" in Chapter 2.32, and Sections 2.21.015 and 9.18.090.050 of the Garden Grove Municipal Code shall be changed to "Downtown Commission."

<u>SECTION 4:</u> If any section, subsection, subdivision, sentence, clause, phrase, word, or portion of this Ordinance is, for any reason, held to be invalid or unconstitutional by the decision of any court of competent jurisdiction, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this Ordinance. The City Council hereby declares that it would have adopted this Ordinance and each section, subsection, subdivision, sentence, clause, phrase, word, or portion thereof, irrespective of the fact that any one or more sections, subsections, subdivisions, sentences, clauses, phrases, words or portions thereof be declared invalid or unconstitutional.

<u>SECTION 5</u>: The Mayor shall sign and the City Clerk shall certify to the passage and adoption of this Ordinance and shall cause the same, or the summary thereof, to be published and posted pursuant to the provisions of law and this Ordinance shall take effect thirty (30) days after adoption.

City of Garden Grove

INTER-DEPARTMENT MEMORANDUM

To:	Scott C. Stiles	From:	Teresa Pomeroy
Dept.:	City Manager	Dept.:	City Clerk
Subject:	Ordinance No. 2886 presented for second reading and adoption	Date:	9/26/2017

Attached is Ordinance No. 2886 with Exhibit "A" recommended for second reading and adoption.

ATTACHMENTS:

Description	Upload Date	Туре	File Name
Ordinance No. 2886	9/22/2017	Ordinance	2886_A-020- 2017_LandscapeOrdinance.pdf
Exhibit "A" Landscape Water Efficiency Guidelines	9/22/2017	Backup Material	2886_A-020- 2017_ExhibitA_Code_Amend_MC_Title_9 _need_to_email_nancy.pdf

ORDINANCE NO. 2886

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF GARDEN GROVE APPROVING AMENDMENT NO. A-020-2017 AMENDING AND RESTATING PORTIONS OF TITLE 9 (ZONING CODE) OF THE GARDEN GROVE MUNICIPAL CODE REGARDING LANDSCAPE WATER EFFICIENCY REQUIREMENTS

City Attorney Summary

This Ordinance amends the Garden Grove Zoning Code to revise landscape water efficiency requirements set forth in Chapters 9.08, 9.12, 9.16, and 9.18 consistent with the updated Orange County Model Water Efficient Landscape Ordinance to be at least as effective as the State Model Water Efficient Landscape Ordinance pursuant to State law.

WHEREAS, the City of Garden Grove proposes to amend portions of Chapters 9.08, 9.12, 9.16, and 9.18 Title 9 (Zoning Code) of the Garden Grove Municipal Code and to adopt amended Guidelines for Implementation of the City of Garden Grove Landscape Water Efficiency Provisions (Appendix 1 to Title 9) to revise landscape water efficiency requirements in compliance with State law and consistent with the Orange County Model Water Efficient Landscape Ordinance;

WHEREAS, in 1992, the State of California enacted the Water Conservation in Landscaping Act, (AB 325) requiring cities and counties throughout the State to adopt water efficient landscape ordinances;

WHEREAS, following the enactment of AB 325, the Department of Water Resources (DWR) developed a Model Water Efficient Landscape Ordinance (MWELO) to assist and guide cities in the enactment of their own water efficient landscape ordinances to establish water efficient landscape design standards for urban landscapes;

WHEREAS, in 2006, the State of California amended the Water Conservation in the Landscape Act (AB 1881) to direct DWR to update the original MWELO to achieve greater landscape water use efficiency and to require cities and counties to update their local water efficient landscape ordinances by 2010 so that they were "at least as effective as" the MWELO;

WHEREAS, in 2009, a stakeholder formed under the leadership of the Municipal Water District of Orange County and the Orange County Division of the League of California Cities, and including representatives from the County of Orange, cities, local water agencies, Building Industry Association, Orange County Fire Authority, irrigation consultants, landscape architects, and other green industry professionals, developed a locally-crafted Orange County Model Water Efficient Landscape Ordinance (Countywide Model Ordinance) and model implementing Guidelines that met the "at least as effective as" requirement of State law, while minimizing the complexity and cost of compliance and providing consistency between local jurisdictions;

WHEREAS, in compliance with AB 1881, the City of Garden Grove adopted Ordinance No. 2769 in 2010 to amend Title 9 (Zoning Code) of the Garden Grove Municipal Code to incorporate updated landscape water efficiency provisions consistent with the Countywide Model Ordinance and Resolution No. 8943-10 to adopt Guidelines for Implementation of those provisions;

WHEREAS, Governor Brown issued Executive Order (EO B-29-15) on April 1, 2015, directing DWR to update the MWELO by July 15, 2015, to increase water efficiency standards for new and existing landscapes through more efficient irrigation systems, graywater usage, on-site storm water capture and limiting the portion of landscaping that can be covered in turf;

WHEREAS, the California Water Commission (CWC) thereafter adopted revisions to the California Code of Regulations Title 23, Division 2, Chapter 2.7 "Model Water Efficient Landscape Ordinance", which require cities and counties to adopt local or regional water efficient landscape ordinances that are "at least as effective as" the updated MWELO;

WHEREAS, the Association of California Cities – Orange County (ACC-OC), the Municipal Water District of Orange County (MWDOC) and Building Industry Association, Orange County (BIAOC) formed a stakeholder group that developed an updated regional Countywide Model Ordinance and Guidelines that are at least effective as the updated MWELO, and which reflect the climactic conditions of Orange County and utilize existing irrigation technologies;

WHEREAS, this Ordinance is consistent with the model regional ordinance developed under the guidance of the ACC-OC, MWDOC, and BIAOC;

WHEREAS, the State Legislature has found that:

- (a) The waters of the State are of limited supply and are subject to ever increasing demands;
- (b) The continuation of California's economic prosperity is dependent on the availability of adequate supplies of water for future uses;
- (c) It is the policy of the State to promote the conservation and efficient use of water and to prevent the waste of this valuable resource;
- (d) Landscapes are essential to the quality of life in California by providing areas for active and passive recreation and as an enhancement to the environment by cleaning air and water, preventing erosion, offering fire protection, and replacing ecosystems lost to development;
- (e) Landscape design, installation, maintenance, and management can and should be water efficient; and

(f) Article X, Section 2 of the California Constitution specifies that the right to use water is limited to the amount reasonably required for the beneficial use to be served, and the right does not, and shall not, extend to waste or unreasonable method of use of water;

WHEREAS, the City Council hereby finds that:

- (a) Orange County has an established, large reclaimed water infrastructure system;
- (b) Allocation-based and tiered water rate structures allow public agencies to document water use in landscapes;
- (c) Incentive-based water use efficiency programs have been actively implemented within Orange County since before 1991;
- (d) Current local design practices in new landscapes strive to achieve the intent of the State MWELO water use goals;
- (e) Water services within the City are metered and billed based on volume of use;
- (f) Orange County is a leader in researching and promoting the use of smart irrigation controllers and promotion of sustainable landscape transformation with more than 30 million square feet of turf removal;
- (g) All new irrigation controllers sold after 2012 within Orange County were smart irrigation controllers;
- (h) Landscape plan submittal and review has been a long standing practice in the City; and

(i) The average rainfall in Orange County is approximately 12 inches per year;

WHEREAS, the City, as the local water purveyor, is implementing tiered-rate billing and/or enforcement of water waste prohibitions for all existing metered landscaped areas throughout its service area;

WHEREAS, following a Public Hearing held on June 1, 2017, the Planning Commission adopted Resolution No. 5886-17 recommending approval of Amendment No. A-020-2017 and updated Guidelines for Implementation of the City of Garden Grove Landscape Water Efficiency Provisions;

WHEREAS, pursuant to a legal notice, a Public Hearing regarding the proposed adoption of this Ordinance was held by the City Council on August 22, 2017, and

continued to September 12, 2017, and all interested persons were given an opportunity to be heard;

WHEREAS, on September 12, 2017, the City Council adopted Resolution No. 9457-17 approving amended Guidelines for Implementation of the City of Garden Grove Landscape Water Efficiency Provisions, subject to the adoption and effectiveness of this Ordinance; and

WHEREAS, the City Council gave due and careful consideration to the matter.

NOW, THEREFORE, THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF GARDEN GROVE HEREBY ORDAINS AS FOLLOWS:

<u>SECTION 1:</u> The above recital are true and correct, and are incorporated herein by reference.

<u>SECTION2</u>: Consistent with the above recitals, the City Council finds that the purpose of the amendments to the City's Landscape Water Efficiency Provisions pursuant to Amendment No. A-020-2017 is to establish an alternative model acceptable under Governor Brown's April 1, 2015, Drought Executive Order (EO-B-19-25) as being "at least as effective as" the State MWELO in the context of conditions in the City in order to:

- 1. Promote the benefits of consistent landscape ordinances with neighboring local and regional agencies;
- 2. Promote the values and benefits of landscapes while recognizing the need to invest water and other resources as efficiently as possible;
- 3. Establish a structure for planning, designing, installing, and maintaining and managing water efficient landscapes in new construction and rehabilitated projects;
- 4. Establish provisions for water management practices and water waste prevention for existing landscapes;
- 5. Use water efficiently without waste by setting a Maximum Applied Water Allowance as an upper limit for water use and reduce water use to the lowest practical amount; and
- 6. Encourage the use of economic incentives that promote the efficient use of water, such as implementing a budget-based tiered-rate structure, providing rebate incentives and offering educational programs.

<u>SECTION 3:</u> The City Council finds that Amendment No. A-020-2017 is exempt from environmental review under the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA")

(California Public Resources Code Section 21000 et seq.), because pursuant to Section 15307 of the state's CEQA Guidelines (14 Cal. Code Regs., § 15307), Amendment No. A-020-2017 is covered by the CEQA Categorical Exemption for actions taken to assure the maintenance, restoration, enhancement, or protection of a natural resource where the regulatory process involves procedures for the protection of the environment. The adoption of this Ordinance will result in the enhancement and protection of water resources in the city, and will not result in cumulative adverse environmental impacts. It is therefore exempt from the provisions of CEQA.

<u>SECTION 4:</u> The City Council finds as follows:

A. Amendment No. A-020-2017 is internally consistent with the goals, objectives and elements of the City's General Plan.

B. Amendment No. A-020-2017 is deemed to promote the public interest, health, safety and welfare.

<u>SECTION 5:</u> Amendment No. A-020-2017 is hereby approved, and Title 9 of the Garden Grove Municipal Code, is hereby amended as provided in Exhibit "A", pursuant to the findings set forth herein and the facts and reasons stated in Planning Commission Resolution No. 5886-17, a copy of which is on file in the Office of the City Clerk, and which is incorporated herein by reference with the same force and effect as if set forth in full. The Guidelines for Implementation of the City of Garden Grove Landscape Water Efficiency Provisions adopted pursuant to Planning Commission Resolution No. 5886-17, and as thereafter amended, shall be attached to Title 9 as Appendix 1.

<u>SECTION 6:</u> If any section, subsection, subdivision, sentence, clause, phrase, word, or portion of this Ordinance is, for any reason, held to be invalid or unconstitutional by the decision of any court of competent jurisdiction, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this Ordinance. The City Council hereby declares that it would have adopted this Ordinance and each section, subsection, subdivision, sentence, clause, phrase, word, or portion thereof, irrespective of the fact that any one or more sections, subsections, subdivisions, sentences, clauses, phrases, words or portions thereof be declared invalid or unconstitutional.

<u>SECTION 7:</u> The Mayor shall sign and the City Clerk shall certify to the passage and adoption of this Ordinance and shall cause the same, or the summary thereof, to be published and posted pursuant to the provisions of law and this Ordinance shall take effect thirty (30) days after adoption.

The foregoing Ordinance was passed by the City Council of the City of Garden Grove on the ____ day of _____.

ATTEST:

MAYOR

CITY CLERK

STATE OF CALIFORNIA) COUNTY OF ORANGE) SS: CITY OF GARDEN GROVE)

I, TERESA POMEROY, City Clerk of the City of Garden Grove, do hereby certify that the foregoing Ordinance was introduced for first reading and passed to second reading on September 12, 2017, with a vote as follows:

AYES: COUNCIL MEMBERS:

(7) BEARD, O'NEILL, NGUYEN T., BUI, KLOPFENSTEIN, NGUYEN K., JONES

NOES: COUNCIL MEMBERS: (0) NONE ABSENT: COUNCIL MEMBERS: (0) NONE

EXHIBIT "A"

PROPOSED LANDSCAPE WATER EFFICIENCY CODE AMENDMENTS

Section 9.08.040.045 (Definitions) of Section 9.08.040 (Single-Family Residential Development Standards) of Chapter 9.08 of Title 9 of the Garden Grove Municipal Code is hereby amended and restated to read in its entirety as follows:

9.08.040.045 Landscaping-Definitions

"The following definitions are applicable to this chapter.

"Aggregate landscape areas" pertains to the areas undergoing development as one project or for production home neighborhoods or other situations where multiple parcels are undergoing development as one project, but will eventually be individually owned.

"Applied water" means the portion of water supplied by the irrigation system to the landscape.

"Backflow prevention device" means a safety device used to prevent pollution or contamination of the water supply due to the reverse flow of water from an irrigation system.

"Budget-based tiered-rate structure" means tiered or block rates for irrigation accounts charged by the retail water agency in which the block definition for each customer is derived from lot size or irrigated area and the evapotranspiration requirements of landscaping.

"Community Aesthetics Evaluation" means a process that is performed to ensure the aesthetic standards of the community and irrigation efficiency intent is maintained when a permit, plan check or design review is not required.

"Ecological restoration project" means a project where the site is intentionally altered to establish a defined, indigenous, historic ecosystem.

"Estimated applied water use" or "EAWU" means the average annual total amount of water estimated to be necessary to keep plants in a healthy state, calculated as provided in the Guidelines. It is based on the reference evapotranspiration rate, the size of the landscape area, plant water use factors, and the relative irrigation efficiency of the irrigation system. "Evapotranspiration adjustment factor" or "ETAF" of 0.55 for residential areas and 0.45 for non-residential areas, that, when applied to reference evapotranspiration, adjusts for plant factors and irrigation efficiency, two major influences upon the amount of water that needs to be applied to the landscape. The ETAF for new and existing (non-rehabilitated) Special Landscape Area shall not exceed 1.0. The ETAF for existing non-rehabilitated landscapes is 0.8.

"Evapotranspiration rate" means the quantity of water evaporated from adjacent soil surfaces and transpired by plants during a specific time.

"Guidelines" refers to the *Guidelines for Implementation of the Landscape Water Efficiency Provisions*, as adopted by the City Council, and as subsequently amended by resolution of the City Council, which describes procedures, calculations, and requirements for landscape projects subject to the landscape water efficiency provisions. The *Guidelines* are attached to Title 9 as Appendix 1 and may be amended from time to time by resolution of the City Council.

"Hardscapes" means any durable material or feature (pervious or non-pervious) installed in or around a landscaped area, such as pavements, pavers, stonework or walls. Pools and other water features are considered part of the landscaped area and not considered hardscapes for purposes of the landscape water efficiency provisions.

"Hydrozone" means a portion of the landscaped area having plants with similar water needs and typically irrigated by one valve/controller station. A hydrozone may be irrigated or nonirrigated. For example, a naturalized area planted with native vegetation that will not need supplemental irrigation once established is a non-irrigated hydrozone.

"Irrigation efficiency" means the measurement of the amount of water beneficially used, divided by the amount of water applied. Irrigation efficiency is derived from measurements and estimates of irrigation system characteristics and management practices. The irrigation efficiency for purposes of the landscape water efficiency provisions are 0.75 for overhead spray devices and 0.81 for drip systems.

"Landscaped area" means all the planting areas, turf areas, and water features in a landscape design plan subject to the Maximum Applied Water Allowance and Estimated Applied Water Use Calculations. The landscaped area does not include footprints of buildings or structures, sidewalks, driveways, parking lots, decks, patios, gravel or stone walks, other pervious or non-pervious hardscapes, and other non-irrigated areas designated for nondevelopment (e.g., open spaces and existing native vegetation).

"Landscape contractor" means a person licensed by the State of California to construct, maintain, repair, install, or subcontract the development of landscape systems.

"Landscape documentation package" means the documents required to be provided to the City for review and approval of landscape design projects, as described in the *Guidelines*.

"Landscape project" means total area of landscape in a project, as provided in the definition of "landscaped area," meeting the requirements under Section 9.08.040.055, paragraphs A, B, and C of this chapter.

"Landscape water efficiency provisions" means the following sections and paragraphs of this chapter relating to landscape water efficiency: Sections 9.08.040.040; 9.08.040.045; 9.08.040.055; and 9.08.040.060 (introductory paragraph); Section 9.08.040.060, paragraphs B, P, Q, R, S, and T; and Section 9.08.040.080, paragraph C.

"Local agency" means a local water purveyor or city or county, including a charter city or charter county, that is authorized by the City to implement, administer, and/or enforce any of the landscape water efficiency provisions on behalf of the City. The local agency may be responsible for the enforcement or delegation of enforcement of the landscape water efficiency provisions, including, but not limited to, design review, plan check, issuance of permits, and inspection of a landscape project.

"Local water purveyor" means any entity, including a public agency, city, county, or private water company that provides retail water service.

"Maximum applied water allowance" or "MAWA" means the upper limit of annual applied water for the established landscaped area as specified in the Guidelines. The "MAWA" is based upon the area's reference evapotranspiration, the ET adjustment factor, and the size of the landscaped area. The estimated applied water use shall not exceed the maximum applied water allowance. MAWA = (ETo) (0.62) [(ETAF x LA) + ((1-ETAF) x SLA)].

"Mined-land reclamation projects" means any surface mining operation with a reclamation plan approved in accordance with the Surface Mining and Reclamation Act of 1975. "New construction" means, for the purposes of this section, a new building with a landscape or other new landscape such as a park, playground, or greenbelt without an associated building.

"Non-pervious" means any surface or natural material that does not allow for the passage of water through the material and into the underlying soil.

"Overspray" means the irrigation water that is delivered beyond the target landscaped area.

"Pervious" means any surface or material that allows the passage of water through the material and into the underlying soil.

"Permit" means an authorizing document issued by local agencies for new construction or rehabilitated landscape.

"Plant factor" or "plant water use factor" is a factor, when multiplied by ETo, that estimates the amount of water needed by plants. For purposes of the landscape water efficiency provisions, the plant factor range for very low water use plants is 0 to 0.1; the plant factor range for low water use plants is 0 to 0.3; the plant factor range for moderate water use plants is 0.4 to 0.6; and the plant factor range for high water use plants is 0.7 to 1.0. Plant factors cited in the landscape water efficiency provisions are derived from the publication "Water Use Classification of Landscape Species." Plant factors may also be obtained from horticultural researchers from academic institutions or professional associations as approved by the California Department of Water Resources (DWR).

"Recycled water" or "reclaimed water" means treated or recycled waste water of a quality suitable for non-potable uses such as landscape irrigation and water features. This water is not intended for human consumption.

"Reference evapotranspiration" or "ETo" means a standard measurement of environmental parameters which affect the water use of plants. ETo is expressed in inches per day, month, or year as represented in the Guidelines, and is an estimate of the evapotranspiration of a large field of four- to seven-inch tall, cool-season grass that is well watered. Reference evapotranspiration is used as the basis for determining the maximum applied water allowances.

"Rehabilitated landscape" means any re-landscaping project that meets the applicability criteria of Section 9.08.040.055.A, where the modified landscape area is greater than 2,500 square feet. "Runoff" means water that is not absorbed by the soil or landscape to which it is applied and flows from the landscaped area. For example, runoff may result from water that is applied at too great a rate (application rate exceeds infiltration rate) or when there is a slope.

"Smart automatic irrigation controller" means a timing device with non-volatile memory used to remotely control valves that operate an irrigation system and which is able to self-adjust and schedule irrigation events using either evapotranspiration (weather-based) or soil moisture data.

"Special landscape area" means an area of the landscape dedicated solely to edible plants such as orchards and vegetable gardens, areas irrigated with recycled water, water features using recycled water, and areas dedicated to active play such as parks, sports fields, golf courses, and where turf provides a playing surface.

"Turf" means a ground cover surface of mowed grass. Annual bluegrass, Kentucky bluegrass, Perennial ryegrass, Red fescue, and Tall fescue are cool-season grasses. Bermudagrass, Kikuyugrass, Seashore Paspalum, St. Augustine grass, Zoysiagrass, and Buffalo grass are warm-season grasses.

"Valve" means a device used to control the flow of water in an irrigation system.

"Water Conservation Program" means the provisions set forth in Chapter 14.40 of the Garden Grove Municipal Code, as it may be amended from time to time, and implementing regulations promulgated by the City.

"Water feature" means a design element where open water performs an aesthetic or recreational function. Water features include ponds, lakes, waterfalls, fountains, artificial streams, spas, and swimming pools (where water is artificially supplied). The surface area of water features is included in the high water use hydrozone of the landscaped area. Constructed wetlands used for on-site wastewater treatment, habitat protection or stormwater best management practices that are not irrigated and used solely for water treatment or stormwater retention are not water features and, therefore, are not subject to the water budget calculation.

Section 9.08.040.055 (Landscaping-Water Efficiency) of Section 9.08.040 (Single-Family Residential Development Standards) of Chapter 9.08 of Title

9 of the Garden Grove Municipal Code is hereby amended and restated to read in its entirety as follows:

9.08.040.055 Landscaping—Water Efficiency

A. Beginning February 1, 2016, and consistent with Executive Order No. B-29-15, the landscape water efficiency provisions shall apply to the following landscape projects:

1. New landscape projects with an aggregate landscaped area equal to or greater than 500 square feet requiring a building or landscape permit, plan check, or site plan or other discretionary review;

2. Rehabilitated landscape projects with an aggregate landscaped area equal to or greater than 2,500 square feet requiring a building or landscape permit, plan check or site plan or other discretionary review;

3. New or rehabilitated landscape projects with an aggregate landscaped area of 2,500 square feet or less may comply with the performance requirements of the landscape water efficiency provisions or conform to the prescriptive measures contained in Appendix A of the Guidelines.

4. For new or rehabilitated landscape projects using treated or untreated graywater or rainwater capture on site, any lot or parcel within the project that has less than 2,500 square feet of landscape area and meets the lot or parcel's landscape water requirement (Estimated Total Water Use) entirely with the treated or untreated graywater or though stored rainwater capture on site is subject only to Appendix A of the Guidelines.

5. At cemeteries, Sections 2.9, 2.10, and Appendix C of the Guidelines shall apply to new landscape installations and Sections 2.9, 2.10, and 3 of the Guidelines shall apply to landscape rehabilitation projects.

B. The irrigation efficiency requirements set forth in subsection T.1.c.ii of Section 9.08.040.060 (Landscaping Requirements) shall apply to:

1. All landscaped areas, whether installed prior to or after January 1, 2010; and

2. All landscaped areas installed after February 1, 2016 to which Section 9.08.040.055.A is applicable.

C. The landscape water efficiency provisions do not apply to the following:

1. Registered local, state, or federal historical sites;

2 Ecological restoration projects that do not require a permanent irrigation system; or

3. Mined-land reclamation projects that do not require a permanent irrigation system; or

4. Plant collections, as part of botanical gardens and arboretums open to the public.

D. The following submittals shall be required for all landscape projects subject to the landscape water efficiency provisions:

1. Prior to installation, a landscape documentation package shall be submitted to the City for review and approval of all landscape projects subject to the landscape water efficiency provisions. Any landscape documentation package submitted to the City shall comply with the provisions of the Guidelines.

2. The landscape documentation package shall include a certification by a professional, appropriately licensed in the state of California, stating that the landscape design and water use calculations have been prepared by, or under, the supervision of the licensed professional and are certified to be in compliance with the provisions of this chapter and the Guidelines.

a. Landscape and irrigation plans shall be submitted to the City for review and approval with appropriate water use calculations. Water use calculations shall be consistent with calculations contained in the Guidelines and shall be provided to the Water Department, as appropriate, under procedures determined by the City.

b. Verification of compliance of the landscape installation with the approved plans shall be obtained through a certificate of completion in conjunction with a certificate of use and occupancy or permit final process, as provided in the Guidelines.

Subsections P through T of Section 9.08.040.060 (Landscaping Requirements) of Section 9.08.040 (Single-Family Residential Development Standards) of Chapter 9.08 of Title 9 of the Garden Grove Municipal Code are hereby amended and restated in their entirety to read as follows: P. Landscaping and Irrigation Plans Required. Landscape and irrigation plans shall be required for all projects requiring approval by the hearing body and to which the landscape water efficiency provisions apply. Such plans shall be submitted for discretionary approval to the hearing body. Said plans shall be prepared in accordance with requirements and standards established pursuant to this Chapter and the Guidelines (specifically refer to sections on landscape design plan and irrigation design plan).

Q. In addition to the above, the following are requirements that shall apply to the landscape design plan and are more fully explained in the Guidelines (Appendix 1, Title 9):

Any plants may be used in a landscaped area, provided the 1. estimated applied water use in the landscaped area does not exceed the maximum applied water allowance, and that the plants meet the specifications set forth in this section. The planting of trees is encouraged wherever it is consistent with the other provisions of this section. To encourage the efficient use of water, the following are highly recommended for inclusion in the landscape design plan: protection, preservation, and selection of non-invasive water-conserving plant, tree, and turf species; selection of plants based on local climate suitability, disease and pest resistance; selection of trees based on applicable City ordinances and guidelines and on size at maturity as appropriate for the planting area; selection of plants from local and regional landscape program plant lists; and selection of plants from local fuel modification plan guidelines.

2. Except as otherwise permitted in accordance with the Guidelines, plants having similar water use shall be grouped together in distinct hydrozones.

3. Plants shall be selected appropriately based upon their adaptability to the climatic, geologic and topographical conditions of the project site. Methods to achieve water efficiency shall include one or more of the following:

a. Use the Sunset Western Climate Zone System, or equivalent generally accepted models, which takes into account temperature, humidity, elevation, terrain, latitude, and varying degrees of continental and marine influence on local climate;

b. Recognize the horticultural attributes of plants (i.e., mature plant size, invasive surface roots) to minimize damage to property or infrastructure (e.g., buildings, sidewalks, and power lines); allow for adequate soil volume for healthy root growth; and c. Consider the solar orientation of the site and how plant placement will maximize summer shade and winter solar gain.

R. Irrigation Requirements.

1. All landscaped areas shall be provided with an approved irrigation system that meets the requirements of this Chapter and the Guidelines. An irrigation design plan meeting the design criteria in the Guidelines shall be submitted as part of the landscape documentation package for those projects subject to Section 9.08.040.055.A.

2. Irrigation shall be performed in conformance with the City's Water Conservation Program.

S. System Design. For the efficient use of water, an irrigation system shall meet all the requirements listed in the Irrigation Design Plan of Guidelines and in the manufacturer's provisions the recommendations. The irrigation system and its related components shall be planned and designed to allow for proper installation, management, and maintenance. An irrigation design plan meeting the design criteria of the Guidelines shall be submitted as part of the landscape documentation package. Smart automatic irrigation controllers shall be required for irrigation scheduling in all irrigation systems, recommending U.S. EPA WaterSense labeled devices as applicable.

T. In addition to the above, the following are requirements that shall apply to the landscape design plan.

1. Irrigation Design Criteria.

a. Runoff and Overspray. Soil types and infiltration rate shall be considered when designing irrigation systems. All irrigation systems shall be designed to avoid runoff, low-head drainage, overspray or other similar conditions where irrigation water flows onto non-targeted areas, such as adjacent property, non-irrigated areas, hardscapes (walks, etc.), roadways or structures.

b. Special attention shall be given to avoid runoff on slopes and to avoid overspray on areas less than ten (10) feet in width in any direction. Such areas shall be irrigated with subsurface irrigation or other means that produce no runoff or overspray.

c. Irrigation Efficiency.

i. For new or rehabilitated landscape projects subject to Section 9.08.040.055.A, the estimated applied water use allowed for the landscaped areas shall not exceed the MAWA calculated using an ET adjustment factor of 0.55 for residential areas and 0.45 for non-residential areas, except for special landscaped areas where the MAWA is calculated using an ET adjustment factor of 1.0; or the design of the landscaped areas shall otherwise be shown to be equivalently water-efficient in a manner acceptable to the City, as provided in the Guidelines.

ii. Irrigation of all landscaped areas shall be conducted in a manner conforming to the rules and requirements, and shall be subject to penalties and incentives, for water conservation and water waste prevention as determined and implemented by the City.

iii. The project applicant shall understand and implement the requirements of the City's Water Conservation Program.

d. Equipment. The *Guidelines* provide design criteria for irrigation equipment in the "Irrigation Design Plan" provisions.

2. Recycled Water.

a. At such time as recycled water is available, the installation of recycled water irrigation systems (dual distribution systems) shall be required to allow for the current and future use of recycled water.

b. Irrigation systems shall make use of recycled water unless a written exemption has been granted by the local water agency, stating that recycled water meeting all health standards is not available and will not be available in the foreseeable future.

c. The recycled water irrigation systems shall be designed and operated in accordance with all local and State codes.

3. Irrigation Design Plan Specifications. Irrigation systems shall be designed to be consistent with hydrozones. Hydrozone areas shall be designated by number, letter, or other designation on both the Irrigation Design Plan and the Landscape Design Plan. The irrigation design plan shall be separate from, but use the same format as, the landscape design plan. The scale shall be the same as that used for the landscape design plan. The irrigation design plan shall fully meet those requirements found in the Guidelines, but at a minimum, shall contain: a. Location and size of separate water meters for the landscape;

b. Location, type and size of all components of the irrigation system, including controllers, main and lateral lines, valves, sprinkler heads, moisture sensing devices, rain switches, quick couplers and backflow prevention devices;

c. Static water pressure at the point of connection to the public water supply;

d. Flow rate (gallons per minute), application rate (inches per hour), and design operating pressure (psi) for each station;

e. Irrigation schedule parameters necessary to program smart timers specified in the landscape design;

f. The following statement: "I have complied with the Landscape Water Efficiency provisions and the design criteria in the Guidelines and applied them accordingly for the efficient use of water in the irrigation design plan;" and

g. The signature of a California-licensed landscape professional.

4. Maximum Applied Water Allowance. A project's maximum applied water allowance shall be calculated in a manner acceptable to the City, as provided in the Guidelines.

5. Irrigation Schedules. For the efficient use of water, all irrigation schedules shall be developed, managed, and evaluated to utilize the minimum amount of water required to maintain plant health. Irrigation schedules shall meet the following criteria:

a. Irrigation scheduling shall be regulated by smart automatic irrigation controllers.

b. Overhead irrigation shall be scheduled in accordance with the City's Water Conservation Program. Operation of the irrigation system outside the normal watering window is allowed for auditing and system maintenance.

6. Certificate of Completion.

a. Landscape project installation shall not proceed until the landscape documentation package has been approved by the City and any ministerial permits required are issued. b. The project applicant shall notify the City at the beginning of the installation work and at intervals, as necessary, for the duration of the landscape project work to schedule all required inspections.

c. Certification of completion of the landscape project shall be obtained through a certificate of use and occupancy or a permit final. The requirements for the final inspection and permit closure include submittal of:

i. А landscape installation certificate of completion in the form included as Appendix E in the Guidelines, which shall include: (1) certification by a landscape professional that the landscape project has been installed per the approved landscape documentation and (2) the following statement: "The package; landscaping has been installed in substantial conformance with the design plans, and complies with the City of Garden Grove Landscape Water Efficiency Provisions for the efficient use water in the landscape." Where there have been significant changes (as determined by the City) made in the field during construction, these "as-built" or record drawings shall be included with the certificate. A diagram of the irrigation plan showing hydrozones shall be kept with the irrigation controller for subsequent management purposes.

ii. Documentation of the irrigation scheduling parameters used to set the controller(s).

iii. An irrigation audit report from a local agency irrigation auditor or third party certified landscape irrigation auditor, documentation of enrollment in regional or local water purveyors' water conservation programs, and/or documentation that the MAWA and EAWU information for the landscape project has been submitted to the City or other local water purveyor, may be required at the option of the City in accordance with the Guidelines. Landscape audits shall not be conducted by the persons who designed or installed the landscape.

Section 9.12.040.075 (Definitions) of Section 9.12.040 (Multi-Family Residential Development Standards) of Chapter 9.12 of Title 9 of the Garden Grove Municipal Code is hereby amended and restated to read in its entirety as follows:

9.12.040.075 Landscaping–Definitions

"The following definitions are applicable to this chapter.

"Aggregate landscape areas" pertains to the areas undergoing development as one project or for production home neighborhoods or other situations where multiple parcels are undergoing development as one project, but will eventually be individually owned.

"Applied water" means the portion of water supplied by the irrigation system to the landscape.

"Backflow prevention device" means a safety device used to prevent pollution or contamination of the water supply due to the reverse flow of water from an irrigation system.

"Budget-based tiered-rate structure" means tiered or block rates for irrigation accounts charged by the retail water agency in which the block definition for each customer is derived from lot size or irrigated area and the evapotranspiration requirements of landscaping.

"Community Aesthetics Evaluation" means a process that is performed to ensure the aesthetic standards of the community and irrigation efficiency intent is maintained when a permit, plan check or design review is not required.

"Ecological restoration project" means a project where the site is intentionally altered to establish a defined, indigenous, historic ecosystem.

"Estimated applied water use" or "EAWU" means the average annual total amount of water estimated to be necessary to keep plants in a healthy state, calculated as provided in the Guidelines. It is based on the reference evapotranspiration rate, the size of the landscape area, plant water use factors, and the relative irrigation efficiency of the irrigation system.

"Evapotranspiration adjustment factor" or "ETAF" of 0.55 for residential areas and 0.45 for non-residential areas, that, when applied to reference evapotranspiration, adjusts for plant factors and irrigation efficiency, two major influences upon the amount of water that needs to be applied to the landscape. The ETAF for new and existing (non-rehabilitated) Special Landscape Area shall not exceed 1.0. The ETAF for existing non-rehabilitated landscapes is 0.8.

"Evapotranspiration rate" means the quantity of water evaporated from adjacent soil surfaces and transpired by plants during a specific time.

"Guidelines" refers to the *Guidelines for Implementation of the Landscape Water Efficiency Provisions*, as adopted by the City Council, and as subsequently amended by resolution of the City Council, which describes procedures, calculations, and requirements for landscape projects subject to the landscape water efficiency provisions. The *Guidelines* are attached to Title 9 as Appendix 1 and may be amended from time to time by resolution of the City Council.

"Hardscapes" means any durable material or feature (pervious or non-pervious) installed in or around a landscaped area, such as pavements, pavers, stonework or walls. Pools and other water features are considered part of the landscaped area and not considered hardscapes for purposes of the landscape water efficiency provisions.

"Hydrozone" means a portion of the landscaped area having plants with similar water needs and typically irrigated by one valve/controller station. A hydrozone may be irrigated or nonirrigated. For example, a naturalized area planted with native vegetation that will not need supplemental irrigation once established is a non-irrigated hydrozone.

"Irrigation efficiency" means the measurement of the amount of water beneficially used, divided by the amount of water applied. Irrigation efficiency is derived from measurements and estimates of irrigation system characteristics and management practices. The irrigation efficiency for purposes of the landscape water efficiency provisions are 0.75 for overhead spray devices and 0.81 for drip systems.

"Landscaped area" means all the planting areas, turf areas, and water features in a landscape design plan subject to the Maximum Applied Water Allowance and Estimated Applied Water Use Calculations. The landscaped area does not include footprints of buildings or structures, sidewalks, driveways, parking lots, decks, patios, gravel or stone walks, other pervious or non-pervious hardscapes, and other non-irrigated areas designated for nondevelopment (e.g., open spaces and existing native vegetation).
"Landscape contractor" means a person licensed by the State of California to construct, maintain, repair, install, or subcontract the development of landscape systems.

"Landscape documentation package" means the documents required to be provided to the City for review and approval of landscape design projects, as described in the *Guidelines*.

"Landscape project" means total area of landscape in a project, as provided in the definition of "landscaped area," meeting the requirements under Section 9.12.040.085, paragraphs A, B, and C of this chapter.

"Landscape water efficiency provisions" means the following sections and paragraphs of this chapter relating to landscape water efficiency: Sections 9.12.040.070; 9.12.040.075; 9.12.040.085; 9.12.040.090 (introductory paragraph); 9.12.040.090 paragraphs B, P, Q, R, S and T; and 9.12.040.110 paragraph C.

"Local agency" means a local water purveyor or city or county, including a charter city or charter county, that is authorized by the City to implement, administer, and/or enforce any of the landscape water efficiency provisions on behalf of the City. The local agency may be responsible for the enforcement or delegation of enforcement of the landscape water efficiency provisions, including, but not limited to, design review, plan check, issuance of permits, and inspection of a landscape project.

"Local water purveyor" means any entity, including a public agency, city, county, or private water company that provides retail water service.

"Maximum applied water allowance" or "MAWA" means the upper limit of annual applied water for the established landscaped area as specified in the Guidelines. The "MAWA" is based upon the area's reference evapotranspiration, the ET adjustment factor, and the size of the landscaped area. The estimated applied water use shall not exceed the maximum applied water allowance. MAWA = (ETo) (0.62) [(ETAF x LA) + ((1-ETAF) x SLA)].

"Mined-land reclamation projects" means any surface mining operation with a reclamation plan approved in accordance with the Surface Mining and Reclamation Act of 1975.

"New construction" means, for the purposes of this section, a new building with a landscape or other new landscape such as a park, playground, or greenbelt without an associated building. "Non-pervious" means any surface or natural material that does not allow for the passage of water through the material and into the underlying soil.

"Overspray" means the irrigation water that is delivered beyond the target landscaped area.

"Pervious" means any surface or material that allows the passage of water through the material and into the underlying soil.

"Permit" means an authorizing document issued by local agencies for new construction or rehabilitated landscape.

"Plant factor" or "plant water use factor" is a factor, when multiplied by ETo, that estimates the amount of water needed by plants. For purposes of the landscape water efficiency provisions, the plant factor range for very low water use plants is 0 to 0.1; the plant factor range for low water use plants is 0 to 0.3; the plant factor range for moderate water use plants is 0.4 to 0.6; and the plant factor range for high water use plants is 0.7 to 1.0. Plant factors cited in the landscape water efficiency provisions are derived from the publication "Water Use Classification of Landscape Species." Plant factors may also be obtained from horticultural researchers from academic institutions or professional associations as approved by the California Department of Water Resources (DWR).

"Recycled water" or "reclaimed water" means treated or recycled waste water of a quality suitable for non-potable uses such as landscape irrigation and water features. This water is not intended for human consumption.

"Reference evapotranspiration" or "ETo" means a standard measurement of environmental parameters which affect the water use of plants. ETo is expressed in inches per day, month, or year as represented in the Guidelines, and is an estimate of the evapotranspiration of a large field of four- to seven-inch tall, cool-season grass that is well watered. Reference evapotranspiration is used as the basis for determining the maximum applied water allowances.

"Rehabilitated landscape" means any re-landscaping project that meets the applicability criteria of Section 9.08.040.055.A, where the modified landscape area is greater than 2,500 square feet.

"Runoff" means water that is not absorbed by the soil or landscape to which it is applied and flows from the landscaped area. For example, runoff may result from water that is applied at too great a rate (application rate exceeds infiltration rate) or when there is a slope.

"Smart automatic irrigation controller" means a timing device with non-volatile memory used to remotely control valves that operate an irrigation system and which is able to self-adjust and schedule irrigation events using either evapotranspiration (weather-based) or soil moisture data.

"Special landscape area" means an area of the landscape dedicated solely to edible plants such as orchards and vegetable gardens, areas irrigated with recycled water, water features using recycled water, and areas dedicated to active play such as parks, sports fields, golf courses, and where turf provides a playing surface.

"Turf" means a ground cover surface of mowed grass. Annual bluegrass, Kentucky bluegrass, Perennial ryegrass, Red fescue, and Tall fescue are cool-season grasses. Bermudagrass, Kikuyugrass, Seashore Paspalum, St. Augustine grass, Zoysiagrass, and Buffalo grass are warm-season grasses.

"Valve" means a device used to control the flow of water in an irrigation system.

"Water Conservation Program" means the provisions set forth in Chapter 14.40 of the Garden Grove Municipal Code, as it may be amended from time to time, and implementing regulations promulgated by the City.

"Water feature" means a design element where open water performs an aesthetic or recreational function. Water features include ponds, lakes, waterfalls, fountains, artificial streams, spas, and swimming pools (where water is artificially supplied). The surface area of water features is included in the high water use hydrozone of the landscaped area. Constructed wetlands used for on-site wastewater treatment, habitat protection or stormwater best management practices that are not irrigated and used solely for water treatment or stormwater retention are not water features and, therefore, are not subject to the water budget calculation.

Section 9.12.040.085 (Landscaping Water Efficiency) of Section 9.12.040 (Multi-Family Residential Development Standards) of Chapter 9.12 of Title 9 of the Garden Grove Municipal Code is hereby amended and restated to read in its entirety as follows:

9.12.040.085 Landscaping Water Efficiency

A. Beginning February 1, 2016, and consistent with Executive Order No. B-29-15, the landscape water efficiency provisions shall apply to the following landscape projects:

1. New landscape projects with an aggregate landscaped area equal to or greater than 500 square feet requiring a building or landscape permit, plan check, or site plan or other discretionary review;

2. Rehabilitated landscape projects with an aggregate landscaped area equal to or greater than 2,500 square feet requiring a building or landscape permit, plan check, or site plan or other discretionary;

3. New or rehabilitated landscape projects with an aggregate landscaped area of 2,500 square feet or less may comply with the performance requirements of the landscape water efficiency provisions or conform to the prescriptive measures contained in Appendix A of the Guidelines.

4. For new or rehabilitated landscape projects using treated or untreated graywater or rainwater capture on site, any lot or parcel within the project that has less than 2,500 square feet of landscape area and meets the lot or parcel's landscape water requirement (Estimated Total Water Use) entirely with the treated or untreated graywater or though stored rainwater capture on site is subject only to Appendix A of the Guidelines.

5. At cemeteries, Sections 2.9, 2.10, and Appendix C of the Guidelines shall apply to new landscape installations and Sections 2.9, 2.10, and 3 of the Guidelines shall apply to landscape rehabilitation projects.

B. The irrigation efficiency requirements set forth in subsection T.1.c.ii of Section 9.12.040.090 (Landscaping Requirements) shall apply to:

1. All landscaped areas, whether installed prior to or after January 1, 2010; and

2. All landscaped areas installed after February 1, 2016 to which Section 9.12.040.085.A is applicable.

C. The landscape water efficiency provisions do not apply to the following:

1. Registered local, state, or federal historical sites;

2 Ecological restoration projects that do not require a permanent irrigation system; or

3. Mined-land reclamation projects that do not require a permanent irrigation system; or

4. Plant collections, as part of botanical gardens and arboretums open to the public.

D. The following submittals shall be required for all landscape projects subject to the landscape water efficiency provisions:

1. Prior to installation, a landscape documentation package shall be submitted to the City for review and approval of all landscape projects subject to the landscape water efficiency provisions. Any landscape documentation package submitted to the City shall comply with the provisions of the Guidelines.

2. The landscape documentation package shall include a certification by a professional, appropriately licensed in the state of California, stating that the landscape design and water use calculations have been prepared by, or under, the supervision of the licensed professional and are certified to be in compliance with the provisions of this chapter and the Guidelines.

a. Landscape and irrigation plans shall be submitted to the City for review and approval with appropriate water use calculations. Water use calculations shall be consistent with calculations contained in the Guidelines and shall be provided to the Water Department, as appropriate, under procedures determined by the City.

b. Verification of compliance of the landscape installation with the approved plans shall be obtained through a certificate of completion in conjunction with a certificate of use and occupancy or permit final process, as provided in the Guidelines.

Subsections P through T of Section 9.12.040.090 (Landscaping Requirements) of Section 9.12.040 (Multi-Family Residential Development Standards) Chapter 9.12 of Title 9 of the Garden Grove Municipal Code are hereby amended and restated in their entirety to read as follows:

P. Landscaping and Irrigation Plans Required. Landscape and irrigation plans shall be required for all projects requiring approval by the hearing body and to which the landscape water efficiency provisions apply. Such plans shall be submitted for discretionary approval to the hearing body. Said plans shall be prepared in accordance with requirements and standards established pursuant to this Chapter and the Guidelines (specifically refer to sections on landscape design plan and irrigation design plan).

Q. In addition to the above, the following are requirements that shall apply to the landscape design plan and are more fully explained in the Guidelines (Appendix 1, Title 9):

Any plants may be used in a landscaped area, provided the 1. estimated applied water use in the landscaped area does not exceed the maximum applied water allowance, and that the plants meet the specifications set forth in this section. The planting of trees is encouraged wherever it is consistent with the other provisions of this section. To encourage the efficient use of water, the following are highly recommended for inclusion in the landscape design plan: protection, preservation, and selection of non-invasive water-conserving plant, tree, and turf species; selection of plants based on local climate suitability, disease and pest resistance; selection of trees based on applicable City ordinances and guidelines and on size at maturity as appropriate for the planting area; selection of plants from local and regional landscape program plant lists; and selection of plants from local fuel modification plan guidelines.

2. Except as otherwise permitted in accordance with the Guidelines, plants having similar water use shall be grouped together in distinct hydrozones.

3. Plants shall be selected appropriately based upon their adaptability to the climatic, geologic and topographical conditions of the project site. Methods to achieve water efficiency shall include one or more of the following:

a. Use the Sunset Western Climate Zone System, or equivalent generally accepted models, which takes into account temperature, humidity, elevation, terrain, latitude, and varying degrees of continental and marine influence on local climate; b. Recognize the horticultural attributes of plants (i.e., mature plant size, invasive surface roots) to minimize damage to property or infrastructure (e.g., buildings, sidewalks, and power lines); allow for adequate soil volume for healthy root growth; and

c. Consider the solar orientation of the site and how plant placement will maximize summer shade and winter solar gain.

R. Irrigation Requirements.

1. All landscaped areas shall be provided with an approved irrigation system that meets the requirements of this Chapter and the Guidelines. An irrigation design plan meeting the design criteria in the Guidelines shall be submitted as part of the landscape documentation package for those projects subject to Section 9.12.040.085.A.

2. Irrigation shall be performed in conformance with the City's Water Conservation Program.

S. System Design. For the efficient use of water, an irrigation system shall meet all the requirements listed in the Irrigation Design Plan provisions of the Guidelines and in the manufacturer's recommendations. The irrigation system and its related components shall be planned and designed to allow for proper installation, management, and maintenance. An irrigation design plan meeting the design criteria of the Guidelines shall be submitted as part of the landscape documentation package. Smart automatic irrigation controllers shall be required for irrigation scheduling in all irrigation systems, recommending U.S. EPA WaterSense labeled devices as applicable.

T. In addition to the above, the following are requirements that shall apply to the landscape design plan.

1. Irrigation Design Criteria.

a. Runoff and Overspray. Soil types and infiltration rate shall be considered when designing irrigation systems. All irrigation systems shall be designed to avoid runoff, low-head drainage, overspray or other similar conditions where irrigation water flows onto non-targeted areas, such as adjacent property, non-irrigated areas, hardscapes (walks, etc.), roadways or structures.

b. Special attention shall be given to avoid runoff on slopes and to avoid overspray on areas less than ten (10) feet in

width in any direction. Such areas shall be irrigated with subsurface irrigation or other means that produce no runoff or overspray.

c. Irrigation Efficiency.

i. For new or rehabilitated landscape projects subject to Section 9.12.040.085.A, the estimated applied water use allowed for the landscaped areas shall not exceed the MAWA calculated using an ET adjustment factor of 0.55 for residential areas and 0.45 for non-residential areas, except for special landscaped areas where the MAWA is calculated using an ET adjustment factor of 1.0; or the design of the landscaped areas shall otherwise be shown to be equivalently water-efficient in a manner acceptable to the City, as provided in the Guidelines.

ii. Irrigation of all landscaped areas shall be conducted in a manner conforming to the rules and requirements, and shall be subject to penalties and incentives, for water conservation and water waste prevention as determined and implemented by the City.

iii. The project applicant shall understand and implement the requirements of the City's Water Conservation Program.

d. Equipment. The Guidelines provide design criteria for irrigation equipment in the "Irrigation Design Plan" provisions.

2. Recycled Water.

a. At such time as recycled water is available, the installation of recycled water irrigation systems (dual distribution systems) shall be required to allow for the current and future use of recycled water.

b. Irrigation systems shall make use of recycled water unless a written exemption has been granted by the local water agency, stating that recycled water meeting all health standards is not available and will not be available in the foreseeable future.

c. The recycled water irrigation systems shall be designed and operated in accordance with all local and State codes.

3. Irrigation Design Plan Specifications. Irrigation systems shall be designed to be consistent with hydrozones. Hydrozone areas shall be designated by number, letter, or other designation on both the

Irrigation Design Plan and the Landscape Design Plan. The irrigation design plan shall be separate from, but use the same format as, the landscape design plan. The scale shall be the same as that used for the landscape design plan. The irrigation design plan shall fully meet those requirements found in the Guidelines, but at a minimum, shall contain:

a. Location and size of separate water meters for the landscape;

b. Location, type and size of all components of the irrigation system, including controllers, main and lateral lines, valves, sprinkler heads, moisture sensing devices, rain switches, quick couplers and backflow prevention devices;

c. Static water pressure at the point of connection to the public water supply;

d. Flow rate (gallons per minute), application rate (inches per hour), and design operating pressure (psi) for each station;

e. Irrigation schedule parameters necessary to program smart timers specified in the landscape design;

f. The following statement: "I have complied with the Landscape Water Efficiency provisions and the design criteria in the Guidelines and applied them accordingly for the efficient use of water in the irrigation design plan;" and

g. The signature of a California-licensed landscape professional.

4. Maximum Applied Water Allowance. A project's maximum applied water allowance shall be calculated in a manner acceptable to the City, as provided in the Guidelines.

5. Irrigation Schedules. For the efficient use of water, all irrigation schedules shall be developed, managed, and evaluated to utilize the minimum amount of water required to maintain plant health. Irrigation schedules shall meet the following criteria:

a. Irrigation scheduling shall be regulated by smart automatic irrigation controllers.

b. Overhead irrigation shall be scheduled in accordance with the City's Water Conservation Program. Operation of the irrigation system outside the normal watering window is allowed for auditing and system maintenance.

6. Certificate of Completion.

a. Landscape project installation shall not proceed until the landscape documentation package has been approved by the City and any ministerial permits required are issued.

b. The project applicant shall notify the City at the beginning of the installation work and at intervals, as necessary, for the duration of the landscape project work to schedule all required inspections.

c. Certification of completion of the landscape project shall be obtained through a certificate of use and occupancy or a permit final. The requirements for the final inspection and permit closure include submittal of:

> А i. landscape installation certificate of completion in the form included as Appendix E in the Guidelines, which shall include: (1) certification by a landscape professional that the landscape project has been installed per the approved landscape documentation package; and (2) the following statement: "The landscaping has been installed in substantial conformance with the design plans, and complies with the City of Garden Grove Landscape Water Efficiency Provisions for the efficient use water in the landscape." Where there have been significant changes (as determined by the City) made in the field during construction, these "as-built" or record drawings shall be included with the certificate. A diagram of the irrigation plan showing hydrozones shall be kept with the irrigation controller for subsequent management purposes.

> ii. Documentation of the irrigation scheduling parameters used to set the controller(s).

iii. An irrigation audit report from a local agency irrigation auditor or third party certified landscape irrigation auditor, documentation of enrollment in local regional or water purveyors' water conservation programs, and/or documentation that the MAWA and EAWU information for the landscape project has been submitted to the City or other local water purveyor, may be required at the option of the City in accordance with the Guidelines. Landscape audits shall not be conducted by the persons who designed or installed the landscape.

Section 9.16.040.055 (Definitions) of Section 9.16.040 (Commercial/Office, Industrial Development Standards) of Chapter 9.16 of Title 9 of the Garden Grove Municipal Code is hereby amended and restated to read in its entirety as follows:

9.16.040.055 Landscaping–Definitions

"The following definitions are applicable to this chapter.

"Aggregate landscape areas" pertains to the areas undergoing development as one project or for production home neighborhoods or other situations where multiple parcels are undergoing development as one project, but will eventually be individually owned.

"Applied water" means the portion of water supplied by the irrigation system to the landscape.

"Backflow prevention device" means a safety device used to prevent pollution or contamination of the water supply due to the reverse flow of water from an irrigation system.

"Budget-based tiered-rate structure" means tiered or block rates for irrigation accounts charged by the retail water agency in which the block definition for each customer is derived from lot size or irrigated area and the evapotranspiration requirements of landscaping.

"Community Aesthetics Evaluation" means a process that is performed to ensure the aesthetic standards of the community and irrigation efficiency intent is maintained when a permit, plan check or design review is not required.

"Ecological restoration project" means a project where the site is intentionally altered to establish a defined, indigenous, historic ecosystem.

"Estimated applied water use" or "EAWU" means the average annual total amount of water estimated to be necessary to keep plants in a healthy state, calculated as provided in the Guidelines. It is based on the reference evapotranspiration rate, the size of the landscape area, plant water use factors, and the relative irrigation efficiency of the irrigation system.

"Evapotranspiration adjustment factor" or "ETAF" of 0.55 for residential areas and 0.45 for non-residential areas, that, when applied to reference evapotranspiration, adjusts for plant factors and irrigation efficiency, two major influences upon the amount of water that needs to be applied to the landscape. The ETAF for new and existing (non-rehabilitated) Special Landscape Area shall not exceed 1.0. The ETAF for existing non-rehabilitated landscapes is 0.8.

"Evapotranspiration rate" means the quantity of water evaporated from adjacent soil surfaces and transpired by plants during a specific time.

"Guidelines" refers to the *Guidelines for Implementation of the Landscape Water Efficiency Provisions*, as adopted by the City Council, and as subsequently amended by resolution of the City Council, which describes procedures, calculations, and requirements for landscape projects subject to the landscape water efficiency provisions. The *Guidelines* are attached to Title 9 as Appendix 1 and may be amended from time to time by resolution of the City Council.

"Hardscapes" means any durable material or feature (pervious or non-pervious) installed in or around a landscaped area, such as pavements, pavers, stonework or walls. Pools and other water features are considered part of the landscaped area and not considered hardscapes for purposes of the landscape water efficiency provisions.

"Hydrozone" means a portion of the landscaped area having plants with similar water needs and typically irrigated by one valve/controller station. A hydrozone may be irrigated or nonirrigated. For example, a naturalized area planted with native vegetation that will not need supplemental irrigation once established is a non-irrigated hydrozone.

"Irrigation efficiency" means the measurement of the amount of water beneficially used, divided by the amount of water applied. Irrigation efficiency is derived from measurements and estimates of irrigation system characteristics and management practices. The irrigation efficiency for purposes of the landscape water efficiency provisions are 0.75 for overhead spray devices and 0.81 for drip systems.

"Landscaped area" means all the planting areas, turf areas, and water features in a landscape design plan subject to the Maximum Applied Water Allowance and Estimated Applied Water Use Calculations. The landscaped area does not include footprints of buildings or structures, sidewalks, driveways, parking lots, decks, patios, gravel or stone walks, other pervious or non-pervious hardscapes, and other non-irrigated areas designated for nondevelopment (e.g., open spaces and existing native vegetation). "Landscape contractor" means a person licensed by the State of California to construct, maintain, repair, install, or subcontract the development of landscape systems.

"Landscape documentation package" means the documents required to be provided to the City for review and approval of landscape design projects, as described in the *Guidelines*.

"Landscape project" means total area of landscape in a project, as provided in the definition of "landscaped area," meeting the requirements under Section 9.16.040.065, paragraphs A, B, and C of this chapter.

"Landscape water efficiency provisions" means the following sections and paragraphs of this chapter relating to landscape water efficiency: Sections 9.16.040.050; 9.16.040.055; 9.16.040.065; 9.16.040.070 (introductory paragraph); 9.16.040.070 paragraphs P—T; and 9.16.040.090 paragraph C.

"Local agency" means a local water purveyor or city or county, including a charter city or charter county, that is authorized by the City to implement, administer, and/or enforce any of the landscape water efficiency provisions on behalf of the City. The local agency may be responsible for the enforcement or delegation of enforcement of the landscape water efficiency provisions, including, but not limited to, design review, plan check, issuance of permits, and inspection of a landscape project.

"Local water purveyor" means any entity, including a public agency, city, county, or private water company that provides retail water service.

"Maximum applied water allowance" or "MAWA" means the upper limit of annual applied water for the established landscaped area as specified in the Guidelines. The "MAWA" is based upon the area's reference evapotranspiration, the ET adjustment factor, and the size of the landscaped area. The estimated applied water use shall not exceed the maximum applied water allowance. MAWA = (ETo) (0.62) [(ETAF x LA) + ((1-ETAF) x SLA)].

"Mined-land reclamation projects" means any surface mining operation with a reclamation plan approved in accordance with the Surface Mining and Reclamation Act of 1975.

"New construction" means, for the purposes of this section, a new building with a landscape or other new landscape such as a park, playground, or greenbelt without an associated building. "Non-pervious" means any surface or natural material that does not allow for the passage of water through the material and into the underlying soil.

"Overspray" means the irrigation water that is delivered beyond the target landscaped area.

"Pervious" means any surface or material that allows the passage of water through the material and into the underlying soil.

"Permit" means an authorizing document issued by local agencies for new construction or rehabilitated landscape.

"Plant factor" or "plant water use factor" is a factor, when multiplied by ETo, that estimates the amount of water needed by plants. For purposes of the landscape water efficiency provisions, the plant factor range for very low water use plants is 0 to 0.1; the plant factor range for low water use plants is 0 to 0.3; the plant factor range for moderate water use plants is 0.4 to 0.6; and the plant factor range for high water use plants is 0.7 to 1.0. Plant factors cited in the landscape water efficiency provisions are derived from the publication "Water Use Classification of Landscape Species." Plant factors may also be obtained from horticultural researchers from academic institutions or professional associations as approved by the California Department of Water Resources (DWR).

"Recycled water" or "reclaimed water" means treated or recycled waste water of a quality suitable for non-potable uses such as landscape irrigation and water features. This water is not intended for human consumption.

"Reference evapotranspiration" or "ETo" means a standard measurement of environmental parameters which affect the water use of plants. ETo is expressed in inches per day, month, or year as represented in the Guidelines, and is an estimate of the evapotranspiration of a large field of four- to seven-inch tall, cool-season grass that is well watered. Reference evapotranspiration is used as the basis for determining the maximum applied water allowances.

"Rehabilitated landscape" means any re-landscaping project that meets the applicability criteria of Section 9.08.040.055.A, where the modified landscape area is greater than 2,500 square feet.

"Runoff" means water that is not absorbed by the soil or landscape to which it is applied and flows from the landscaped area. For example, runoff may result from water that is applied at too great a rate (application rate exceeds infiltration rate) or when there is a slope.

"Smart automatic irrigation controller" means a timing device with non-volatile memory used to remotely control valves that operate an irrigation system and which is able to self-adjust and schedule irrigation events using either evapotranspiration (weather-based) or soil moisture data.

"Special landscape area" means an area of the landscape dedicated solely to edible plants such as orchards and vegetable gardens, areas irrigated with recycled water, water features using recycled water, and areas dedicated to active play such as parks, sports fields, golf courses, and where turf provides a playing surface.

"Turf" means a ground cover surface of mowed grass. Annual bluegrass, Kentucky bluegrass, Perennial ryegrass, Red fescue, and Tall fescue are cool-season grasses. Bermudagrass, Kikuyugrass, Seashore Paspalum, St. Augustine grass, Zoysiagrass, and Buffalo grass are warm-season grasses.

"Valve" means a device used to control the flow of water in an irrigation system.

"Water Conservation Program" means the provisions set forth in Chapter 14.40 of the Garden Grove Municipal Code, as it may be amended from time to time, and implementing regulations promulgated by the City.

"Water feature" means a design element where open water performs an aesthetic or recreational function. Water features include ponds, lakes, waterfalls, fountains, artificial streams, spas, and swimming pools (where water is artificially supplied). The surface area of water features is included in the high water use hydrozone of the landscaped area. Constructed wetlands used for on-site wastewater treatment, habitat protection or stormwater best management practices that are not irrigated and used solely for water treatment or stormwater retention are not water features and, therefore, are not subject to the water budget calculation.

Section 9.16.040.065 (Landscaping-Water Efficiency) of Section 9.16.040 (Commercial/Office, Industrial Development Standards) of Chapter 9.16 of Title 9 of the Garden Grove Municipal Code is hereby amended and restated to read in its entirety as follows:

9.16.040.065 Landscaping Water Efficiency

A. Beginning February 1, 2016, and consistent with Executive Order No. B-29-15, the landscape water efficiency provisions shall apply to the following landscape projects:

1. New landscape projects with an aggregate landscaped area equal to or greater than 500 square feet requiring a building or landscape permit, plan check, or site plan or other discretionary review;

2. Rehabilitated landscape projects with an aggregate landscaped area equal to or greater than 2,500 square feet requiring a building or landscape permit, plan check, or site plan or other discretionary;

3. New or rehabilitated landscape projects with an aggregate landscaped area of 2,500 square feet or less may comply with the performance requirements of the landscape water efficiency provisions or conform to the prescriptive measures contained in Appendix A of the Guidelines.

4. For new or rehabilitated landscape projects using treated or untreated graywater or rainwater capture on site, any lot or parcel within the project that has less than 2,500 square feet of landscape area and meets the lot or parcel's landscape water requirement (Estimated Total Water Use) entirely with the treated or untreated graywater or though stored rainwater capture on site is subject only to Appendix A of the Guidelines.

5. At cemeteries, Sections 2.9, 2.10, and Appendix C of the Guidelines shall apply to new landscape installations and Sections 2.9, 2.10, and 3 of the Guidelines shall apply to landscape rehabilitation projects.

B. The irrigation efficiency requirements set forth in subsection T.1.c.ii of Section 9.16.040.070 (Landscaping Requirements) shall apply to:

1. All landscaped areas, whether installed prior to or after January 1, 2010; and

2. All landscaped areas installed after February 1, 2016 to which Section 9.16.040.065.A is applicable.

C. The landscape water efficiency provisions do not apply to the following:

1. Registered local, state, or federal historical sites;

2 Ecological restoration projects that do not require a permanent irrigation system; or

3. Mined-land reclamation projects that do not require a permanent irrigation system; or

4. Plant collections, as part of botanical gardens and arboretums open to the public.

D. The following submittals shall be required for all landscape projects subject to the landscape water efficiency provisions:

1. Prior to installation, a landscape documentation package shall be submitted to the City for review and approval of all landscape projects subject to the landscape water efficiency provisions. Any landscape documentation package submitted to the City shall comply with the provisions of the Guidelines.

2. The landscape documentation package shall include a certification by a professional, appropriately licensed in the State of California, stating that the landscape design and water use calculations have been prepared by, or under, the supervision of the licensed professional and are certified to be in compliance with the provisions of this chapter and the Guidelines.

a. Landscape and irrigation plans shall be submitted to the City for review and approval with appropriate water use calculations. Water use calculations shall be consistent with calculations contained in the Guidelines and shall be provided to the Water Department, as appropriate, under procedures determined by the City.

b. Verification of compliance of the landscape installation with the approved plans shall be obtained through a certificate of completion in conjunction with a certificate of use and occupancy or permit final process, as provided in the Guidelines.

Subsections P through T of Section 9.16.040.070 (Landscaping Requirements) of Section 9.16.040 (Commercial/Office, Industrial Development Standards) Chapter 9.16 of Title 9 of the Garden Grove Municipal Code are hereby amended and restated in their entirety to read as follows:

P. Landscaping and Irrigation Plans Required. Landscape and irrigation plans shall be required for all projects requiring approval by the hearing body and to which the landscape water efficiency provisions apply. Such plans shall be submitted for discretionary approval to the hearing body. Said plans shall be prepared in accordance with requirements and standards established pursuant to this Chapter and the Guidelines (specifically refer to sections on landscape design plan and irrigation design plan).

Q. In addition to the above, the following are requirements that shall apply to the landscape design plan and are more fully explained in the Guidelines (Appendix 1, Title 9):

Any plants may be used in a landscaped area, provided the 1. estimated applied water use in the landscaped area does not exceed the maximum applied water allowance, and that the plants meet the specifications set forth in this section. The planting of trees is encouraged wherever it is consistent with the other provisions of this section. To encourage the efficient use of water, the following are highly recommended for inclusion in the landscape design plan: protection, preservation, and selection of non-invasive water-conserving plant, tree, and turf species; selection of plants based on local climate suitability, disease and pest resistance; selection of trees based on applicable City ordinances and guidelines and on size at maturity as appropriate for the planting area; selection of plants from local and regional landscape program plant lists; and selection of plants from local fuel modification plan guidelines.

2. Except as otherwise permitted in accordance with the Guidelines, plants having similar water use shall be grouped together in distinct hydrozones.

3. Plants shall be selected appropriately based upon their adaptability to the climatic, geologic and topographical conditions of the project site. Methods to achieve water efficiency shall include one or more of the following:

a. Use the Sunset Western Climate Zone System, or equivalent generally accepted models, which takes into account temperature, humidity, elevation, terrain, latitude, and varying degrees of continental and marine influence on local climate; b. Recognize the horticultural attributes of plants (i.e., mature plant size, invasive surface roots) to minimize damage to property or infrastructure (e.g., buildings, sidewalks, and power lines); allow for adequate soil volume for healthy root growth; and

c. Consider the solar orientation of the site and how plant placement will maximize summer shade and winter solar gain.

R. Irrigation Requirements.

1. All landscaped areas shall be provided with an approved irrigation system that meets the requirements of this Chapter and the Guidelines. An irrigation design plan meeting the design criteria in the Guidelines shall be submitted as part of the landscape documentation package for those projects subject to Section 9.16.040.065.A.

2. Irrigation shall be performed in conformance with the City's Water Conservation Program.

S. System Design. For the efficient use of water, an irrigation system shall meet all the requirements listed in the Irrigation Design Plan provisions of the Guidelines and in the manufacturer's recommendations. The irrigation system and its related components shall be planned and designed to allow for proper installation, management, and maintenance. An irrigation design plan meeting the design criteria of the Guidelines shall be submitted as part of the landscape documentation package. Smart automatic irrigation controllers shall be required for irrigation scheduling in all irrigation systems, recommending U.S. EPA WaterSense labeled devices as applicable.

T. In addition to the above, the following are requirements that shall apply to the landscape design plan.

1. Irrigation Design Criteria.

a. Runoff and Overspray. Soil types and infiltration rate shall be considered when designing irrigation systems. All irrigation systems shall be designed to avoid runoff, lowhead drainage, overspray or other similar conditions where irrigation water flows onto non-targeted areas, such as adjacent property, non-irrigated areas, hardscapes (walks, etc.), roadways or structures.

b. Special attention shall be given to avoid runoff on slopes and to avoid overspray on areas less than ten (10)

feet in width in any direction. Such areas shall be irrigated with subsurface irrigation or other means that produce no runoff or overspray.

- c. Irrigation Efficiency.
 - i. For new or rehabilitated landscape projects subject to Section 9.16.040.065.A, the estimated applied water use allowed for the landscaped areas shall not exceed the MAWA calculated using an ET adjustment factor of 0.55 for residential areas and 0.45 for nonresidential areas, except for special landscaped areas where the MAWA is calculated using an ET adjustment factor of 1.0; or the design of the landscaped areas shall otherwise be shown to be equivalently water-efficient in a manner acceptable to the City, as provided in the Guidelines.
 - ii. Irrigation of all landscaped areas shall be conducted in a manner conforming to the rules and requirements, and shall be subject to penalties and incentives, for water conservation and water waste prevention as determined and implemented by the City.
 - iii. The project applicant shall understand and implement the requirements of the City's Water Conservation Program.

d. Equipment. The Guidelines provide design criteria for irrigation equipment in the "Irrigation Design Plan" provisions.

2. Recycled Water.

a. At such time as recycled water is available, the installation of recycled water irrigation systems (dual distribution systems) shall be required to allow for the current and future use of recycled water.

b. Irrigation systems shall make use of recycled water unless a written exemption has been granted by the local water agency, stating that recycled water meeting all health standards is not available and will not be available in the foreseeable future.

c. The recycled water irrigation systems shall be designed and operated in accordance with all local and State codes.

3. Irrigation Design Plan Specifications. Irrigation systems shall be designed to be consistent with hydrozones. Hydrozone areas shall be designated by number, letter, or other designation on both the Irrigation Design Plan and the Landscape Design Plan. The irrigation design plan shall be separate from, but use the same format as, the landscape design plan. The scale shall be the same as that used for the landscape design plan. The irrigation design plan shall fully meet those requirements found in the Guidelines, but at a minimum, shall contain:

a. Location and size of separate water meters for the landscape;

b. Location, type and size of all components of the irrigation system, including controllers, main and lateral lines, valves, sprinkler heads, moisture sensing devices, rain switches, quick couplers and backflow prevention devices;

c. Static water pressure at the point of connection to the public water supply;

d. Flow rate (gallons per minute), application rate (inches per hour), and design operating pressure (psi) for each station;

e. Irrigation schedule parameters necessary to program smart timers specified in the landscape design;

f. The following statement: "I have complied with the Landscape Water Efficiency provisions and the design criteria in the Guidelines and applied them accordingly for the efficient use of water in the irrigation design plan;" and

g. The signature of a California-licensed landscape professional.

4. Maximum Applied Water Allowance. A project's maximum applied water allowance shall be calculated in a manner acceptable to the City, as provided in the Guidelines.

5. Irrigation Schedules. For the efficient use of water, all irrigation schedules shall be developed, managed, and evaluated to utilize the minimum amount of water required to maintain plant health. Irrigation schedules shall meet the following criteria:

a. Irrigation scheduling shall be regulated by smart automatic irrigation controllers.

b. Overhead irrigation shall be scheduled in accordance with the City's Water Conservation Program. Operation of the irrigation system outside the normal watering window is allowed for auditing and system maintenance.

6. Certificate of Completion.

a. Landscape project installation shall not proceed until the landscape documentation package has been approved by the City and any ministerial permits required are issued.

b. The project applicant shall notify the City at the beginning of the installation work and at intervals, as necessary, for the duration of the landscape project work to schedule all required inspections.

c. Certification of completion of the landscape project shall be obtained through a certificate of use and occupancy or a permit final. The requirements for the final inspection and permit closure include submittal of:

- i. A landscape installation certificate of completion in the form included as Appendix E in the Guidelines, which shall include: (1) certification by a landscape professional that the landscape project has been installed per the approved landscape documentation package; and (2) the following statement: "The landscaping has been installed in substantial conformance with the design plans, and complies with the City of Garden Grove Landscape Water Efficiency Provisions for the efficient use water in the landscape." Where there have been significant changes (as determined by the City) made in the field during construction, these "as-built" or record drawings shall be included with the certificate. A diagram of the irrigation plan showing hydrozones shall be kept with the irrigation controller for subsequent management purposes.
- ii. Documentation of the irrigation scheduling parameters used to set the controller(s).
- iii. An irrigation audit report from a local agency irrigation auditor or third party certified landscape irrigation auditor, documentation of enrollment in regional or local water purveyors' water conservation programs, and/or documentation that the MAWA and EAWU information for the landscape project has been submitted to the City or other local water purveyor, may be required at the option of the City in accordance with the Guidelines. Landscape

audits shall not be conducted by the persons who designed or installed the landscape.

Section 9.18.120.025 (Water Efficiency Requirements) of Section 9.18.120 (Landscaping) of Chapter 9.18 (Mixed Use Regulations and Development Standards) of Title 9 of the Garden Grove Municipal Code is hereby amended and restated to read in its entirety as follows:

9.18.120.020 Water Efficiency Requirements

A. Applicability. The "landscape water efficiency provisions" set forth below and in Chapters 9.08, 9.12, and 9.16 of this Code (as defined in Sections 9.08.040.045, 9.12.040.075, and 9.16.040.055) shall apply to all development and landscape projects subject to this Chapter 9.18. Beginning February 1, 2016, and consistent with Executive Order No. B-29-15, the landscape water efficiency provisions shall apply to the following landscape projects:

1. New landscape projects with an aggregate landscaped area equal to or greater than 500 square feet requiring a building or landscape permit, plan check, or site plan or other discretionary review;

2. Rehabilitated landscape projects with an aggregate landscaped area equal to or greater than 2,500 square feet requiring a building or landscape permit, plan check or site plan or other discretionary review;

3. New or rehabilitated landscape projects with an aggregate landscaped area of 2,500 square feet or less may comply with the performance requirements of the landscape water efficiency provisions or conform to the prescriptive measures contained in Appendix A of the Guidelines.

4. For new or rehabilitated landscape projects using treated or untreated graywater or rainwater capture on site, any lot or parcel within the project that has less than 2,500 square feet of landscape area and meets the lot or parcel's landscape water requirement (Estimated Total Water Use) entirely with the treated or untreated graywater or though stored rainwater capture on site is subject only to Appendix A of the Guidelines.

5. At cemeteries, Sections 2.9, 2.10, and Appendix C of the Guidelines shall apply to new landscape installations and Sections 2.9, 2.10, and 3 of the Guidelines shall apply to landscape rehabilitation projects.

B. Exceptions. The landscape water efficiency provisions do not apply to the following:

1. Registered local, state, or federal historical sites;

2 Ecological restoration projects that do not require a permanent irrigation system; or

3. Mined-land reclamation projects that do not require a permanent irrigation system; or

4. Plant collections, as part of botanical gardens and arboretums open to the public.

C. Irrigation Design Criteria. Water-efficient irrigation design criteria, as set forth in this section, shall apply to: all landscaped areas, whether installed prior to or after January 1, 2010; and all landscaped areas installed after February 1, 2016 to which this Section 9.18.120.020 is applicable.

1. Runoff and Overspray. Soil types and infiltration rate shall be considered when designing irrigation systems. All irrigation systems shall be designed to avoid runoff, low-head drainage, overspray or other similar conditions where irrigation water flows onto non-targeted areas, such as adjacent property, nonirrigated areas, hardscapes (walks, etc.), roadways or structures.

2. Special attention shall be given to avoid runoff on slopes and to avoid overspray on areas less than ten (10) feet in width in any direction. Such areas shall be irrigated with subsurface irrigation or other means that produce no runoff or overspray.

3. Irrigation Efficiency.

a. For new or rehabilitated landscape projects subject to Section 9.18.120.020.A, the estimated applied water use allowed for the landscaped areas shall not exceed the MAWA calculated using an ET adjustment factor of 0.55 for residential areas and 0.45 for non-residential areas, except for special landscaped areas where the MAWA is calculated using an ET adjustment factor of 1.0; or the design of the landscaped areas shall otherwise be shown to be equivalently water-efficient in a manner acceptable to the City, as provided in the Guidelines.

b. Irrigation of all landscaped areas shall be conducted in a manner conforming to the rules and requirements, and shall be subject to penalties and incentives, for water conservation and water waste prevention as determined and implemented by the City. c. The project applicant shall understand and implement the requirements of the City's Water Conservation Program.

d. Equipment. The Guidelines provide design criteria for irrigation equipment in the "Irrigation Design Plan" provisions.

D. Documentation Required. The following shall be required of all landscape projects subject to these landscape water efficiency provisions.

1. Prior to installation, a landscape documentation package shall be submitted to the City for review and approval of all landscape projects subject to the landscape water efficiency provisions. Any landscape documentation package submitted to the City shall comply with the provisions of the Guidelines.

2. The landscape documentation package shall include a certification by a professional, appropriately licensed in the State of California, stating that the landscape design and water use calculations have been prepared by, or under, the supervision of the licensed professional and are certified to be in compliance with the provisions of this chapter and the Guidelines.

a. Landscape and irrigation plans shall be submitted to the City for review and approval with appropriate water use calculations. Water use calculations shall be consistent with calculations contained in the Guidelines and shall be provided to the Water Department, as appropriate, under procedures determined by the City.

b. Verification of compliance of the landscape installation with the approved plans shall be obtained through a certificate of completion in conjunction with a certificate of use and occupancy or permit final process, as provided in the Guidelines.

E. Landscape Water Efficiency Guidelines. Guidelines for implementation of the City of Garden Grove landscape water efficiency provisions referenced in this chapter have been adopted as an Appendix to Title 9 (Land Use Code) and are maintained on file in the offices of the Planning Division of the Community and Economic Development Department.