

M2 Expenditure Report

Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2023

Beginning and Ending Balances

Description		Line No.	Amount	Interest
Balances at Beginning of Fiscal Year				
A-M	Freeway Projects	1	\$ -	\$ -
O	Regional Capacity Program (RCP)	2	\$ (820,061)	\$ -
P	Regional Traffic Signal Synchronization Program (RTSSP)	3		\$ -
Q	Local Fair Share	4	\$ 1,656,144	\$ -
R	High Frequency Metrolink Service	5		\$ -
S	Transit Extensions to Metrolink	6		\$ -
T	Convert Metrolink Station(s) to Regional Gateways that connect Orange County with High-Speed Rail Systems	7		\$ -
U	Senior Mobility Program or Senior Non-Emergency Medical Program	8	\$ 361,727	\$ -
V	Community Based Transit/Circulators	9		\$ -
W	Safe Transit Stops	10		\$ -
X	Environmental Cleanup Program (Water Quality)	11		\$ -
	Other*	12	\$ 2,070,684	\$ -
Balances at Beginning of Fiscal Year		13	\$ 3,268,494	\$ -
	Monies Made Available During Fiscal Year	14	\$ 4,233,482	\$ 105,910
Total Monies Available (Sum Lines 13 & 14)		15	\$ 7,501,976	\$ 105,910
	Expenditures During Fiscal Year	16	\$ 3,102,231	\$ 105,910
Balances at End of Fiscal Year				
A-M	Freeway Projects	17	\$ -	\$ -
O	Regional Capacity Program (RCP)	18	\$ (820,681)	\$ -
P	Regional Traffic Signal Synchronization Program (RTSSP)	19	\$ -	\$ -
Q	Local Fair Share	20	\$ 3,370,272	\$ -
R	High Frequency Metrolink Service	21	\$ -	\$ -
S	Transit Extensions to Metrolink	22	\$ -	\$ -
T	Convert Metrolink Station(s) to Regional Gateways that connect Orange County with High-Speed Rail Systems	23	\$ -	\$ -
U	Senior Mobility Program or Senior Non-Emergency Medical Program	24	\$ 385,610	\$ -
V	Community Based Transit/Circulators	25	\$ -	\$ -
W	Safe Transit Stops	26	\$ -	\$ -
X	Environmental Cleanup Program (Water Quality)	27	\$ -	\$ -
	Other*	28	\$ 1,464,544	\$ -

* Please provide a specific description: This pertains to Traffic Mitigation Fees net of expenditures related to the street lights and traffic signal improvements.

M2 Expenditure Report

Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2023

Sources and Uses

Description		Line No.	Amount	Interest
Revenues:				
A-M	Freeway Projects	1	\$ -	\$ -
O	Regional Capacity Program (RCP)	2	\$ -	\$ -
P	Regional Traffic Signal Synchronization Program (RTSSP)	3	\$ -	\$ -
Q	Local Fair Share	4	\$ 3,466,401	\$ 67,328
R	High Frequency Metrolink Service	5	\$ -	\$ -
S	Transit Extensions to Metrolink	6	\$ -	\$ -
T	Convert Metrolink Station(s) to Regional Gateways that connect Orange County with High-Speed Rail Systems	7	\$ -	\$ -
U	Senior Mobility Program or Senior Non-Emergency Medical Program	8	\$ 227,183	
V	Community Based Transit/Circulators	9	\$ -	\$ -
W	Safe Transit Stops	10	\$ -	\$ -
X	Environmental Cleanup Program (Water Quality)	11	\$ -	\$ -
	Other*	12	\$ 539,898	\$ 38,582
TOTAL REVENUES (Sum lines 1 to 12)		13	\$ 4,233,482	\$ 105,910
Expenditures:				
A-M	Freeway Projects	14	\$ -	\$ -
O	Regional Capacity Program (RCP)	15	\$ 620	\$ -
P	Regional Traffic Signal Synchronization Program (RTSSP)	16	\$ -	\$ -
Q	Local Fair Share	17	\$ 1,752,273	\$ 67,328
R	High Frequency Metrolink Service	18	\$ -	\$ -
S	Transit Extensions to Metrolink	19	\$ -	\$ -
T	Convert Metrolink Station(s) to Regional Gateways that connect Orange County with High-Speed Rail Systems	20	\$ -	\$ -
U	Senior Mobility Program or Senior Non-Emergency Medical Program	21	\$ 203,300	\$ -
V	Community Based Transit/Circulators	22	\$ -	\$ -
W	Safe Transit Stops	23	\$ -	\$ -
X	Environmental Cleanup Program (Water Quality)	24	\$ -	\$ -
	Other*	25	\$ 1,146,038	\$ 38,582
TOTAL EXPENDITURES (Sum lines 14 to 25)		26	\$ 3,102,231	\$ 105,910
TOTAL BALANCE (Subtract line 26 from 13)		27	\$ 1,131,251	\$ -

* Please provide a specific description: Revenue received pertains to Traffic Mitigations Fees (Fund 211) collected. Expenditures were related to the street lights and traffic signal improvements.

M2 Expenditure Report
Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2023
Streets and Roads Detailed Use of Funds

Type of Expenditure	Line No.	MOE	Developer / Impact Fees ¹	O	O Interest	P	P Interest	Q	Q Interest	X	X Interest	Other M2 ²	Other M2 Interest	Other*	TOTAL
Indirect and/or Overhead	1	\$ 2,256,763	\$ -	\$ 620	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,257,383
Construction & Right-of-Way															
New Street Construction	2	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Street Reconstruction	3	\$ 951,312	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,552,273	\$ 67,328	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,570,913
Signals, Safety Devices, & Street Lights	4	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Pedestrian Ways & Bikepaths	5	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Storm Drains	6	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Storm Damage	7	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Total Construction ¹	8	\$ 951,312	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,552,273	\$ 67,328	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,570,913
Right of Way Acquisition	9	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Total Construction & Right-of-Way	10	\$ 951,312	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,552,273	\$ 67,328	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,570,913
Maintenance															
Patching	11	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Overlay & Sealing	12	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Street Lights & Traffic Signals	13	\$ 1,103,940	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,103,940
Storm Damage	14	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Other Street Purpose Maintenance	15	\$ 5,259,464	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 200,000.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 5,459,464
Total Maintenance ¹	16	\$ 6,363,404	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 200,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 6,563,404
Other	17		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 203,300	\$ -	\$ 1,184,620	\$ 1,387,920
GRAND TOTALS (Sum Lines 1, 10, 16, 17)	18	\$ 9,571,479	\$ -	\$ 620	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,752,273	\$ 67,328	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 203,300	\$ -	\$ 1,184,620	\$ 12,779,620
Finance Director Confirmation	19	<p>Any California State Constitution Article XIX streets and road eligible expenditure may be "counted" in local jurisdictions' calculation of MOE if the activity is supported (funded) by a local jurisdictions' discretionary funds (e.g. general fund). The California State Controller also provides useful information on Article XIX and the Streets and Highways Code eligible expenditures in its "Guidelines Relating to Gas Tax Expenditures for Cities and Counties". I have reviewed and am aware of these guidelines and their applicability in calculating and reporting on Maintenance of Effort expenditures.</p> <p>Finance Director initial: <u>PS</u></p>													

¹ Includes direct charges for staff time² Other M2 includes A-M, R,S,T,U,V, and W

+ Transportation related only

* Please provide a specific description

Legend

Project	Description
A-M	Freeway Projects
O	Regional Capacity Program (RCP)
P	Regional Traffic Signal Synchronization Program (RTSSP)
Q	Local Fair Share
R	High Frequency Metrolink Service
S	Transit Extensions to Metrolink
T	Convert Metrolink Station(s) to Regional Gateways that connect Orange County with High-Speed Rail Systems
U	Senior Mobility Program or Senior Non-Emergency Medical Program
V	Community Based Transit/Circulators
W	Safe Transit Stops
X	Environmental Cleanup Program (Water Quality)

PROJECT NAME	AMOUNT EXPENDED
CIP-22-STREET REHAB	\$ 251,382.00
CIP-ACACIA STREET IMPROVEMENT	\$ 632.00
CIP-CHAP REHAB SPRINDAL WESTRN	\$ 399,506.90
CIP-HAZARD REHABILITATION	\$ 896,425.53
CIP-WESTMINSTR REHB-MGNLA/BSHRD	\$ 35,062.59
CIP-CHAPMAN REHAB LANDSCP MAINT	\$ 200,000.00
CP-MILL AND FILL PROJECTS	\$ 36,592.00
	\$ 1,819,601.02

M2 Expenditure Report
Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2023

I hereby certify that:

- ☒ All the information attached herein and included in schedules 1 through 4 is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge;
- ☒ The interest earned on Net Revenues allocated pursuant to the Ordinance shall be expended only for those purposes for which the Net Revenues were allocated;
- ☒ The City/County of Garden Grove is aware of the State Controller's "Guidelines Relating to Gas Tax Expenditures for Cities and Counties", which is a guide for determining MOE Expenditures for M2 Eligibility purposes;
- ☒ The City/County's Expenditure Report is in compliance with direction provided in the State Controller's "Guidelines Relating to Gas Tax Expenditures for Cities and Counties;" and
- ☒ The City/County of Garden Grove has expended in this fiscal year an amount of local discretionary funds for streets and roads purposes at least equal to or exceeding the FY 2022-23 MOE benchmark dollar amount¹¹.

Patricia Song

Director of Finance (Print Name)

12/6/2023

Date



Signature

¹¹ Jurisdictions are encouraged to submit MOE eligible expenditures higher than their MOE benchmark, so that should certain expenses be ruled ineligible during an MOE audit, the local jurisdiction still has sufficient MOE expenditures to demonstrate continued achievement of the MOE benchmark.