

# ATTACHMENT #1

## PROPOSAL EVALUATION FORM

Consultant: GMU Date: 5/10/21

Project: City-wide Pavement Management Program

CRITERION	(a) Weight	(b) Score (0-10)	(a) x (b) Weighted Score	Remarks
<b>PROPOSAL PRESENTATION</b>				
○ Organization and Completeness	1.5	7	10.5	
○ Initiative & Creativity	1.5	8	12	
<b>QUALIFICATIONS</b>				
○ Experience of the firm on similar engagements/projects	2.5	7	17.5	
○ Qualifications & Experience of Personnel (PM and Staff)	2.0	7	14	
<b>WORK PLAN</b>				
○ Knowledge and understanding of the Project	2.5	8	20	
○ Availability	1.5	9	13.5	
○ Project Budgeting and Cost Control	2.0	8	16	
○ QA/QC	1.5	8	12	
<b>REFERENCES</b>				
○ Work quality provided to the reference(s)	2.5	7	17.5	
○ Customer service experience	2.5	8	20.	
<b>GRAND TOTAL</b>	<b>20.0</b>		<b>153</b>	

Evaluator: Mark Lplus Signature: 

## PROPOSAL EVALUATION FORM

Consultant: Bucknam Infrastructure Group Date: 5/11/21

Project: City-wide Pavement Management Program

CRITERION	(a) Weight	(b) Score (0-10)	(a) x (b) Weighted Score	Remarks
<b>PROPOSAL PRESENTATION</b>				
○ Organization and Completeness	1.5	8	12	
○ Initiative & Creativity	1.5	8	12	
<b>QUALIFICATIONS</b>				
○ Experience of the firm on similar engagements/projects	2.5	9	22.5	
○ Qualifications & Experience of Personnel (PM and Staff)	2.0	8	16	
<b>WORK PLAN</b>				
○ Knowledge and understanding of the Project	2.5	8	20	
○ Availability	1.5	9	13.5	
○ Project Budgeting and Cost Control	2.0	9	18	
○ QA/QC	1.5	9	13.5	
<b>REFERENCES</b>				
○ Work quality provided to the reference(s)	2.5	8	20	
○ Customer service experience	2.5	9	22.5	
<b>GRAND TOTAL</b>	<b>20.0</b>		<b>170</b>	

Evaluator: Mark Uphus Signature: 

# PROPOSAL EVALUATION FORM

Consultant: IMS Date: 5/12/21

Project: City-wide Pavement Management Program

CRITERION	(a) Weight	(b) Score (0-10)	(a) x (b) Weighted Score	Remarks
<b>PROPOSAL PRESENTATION</b>				
○ Organization and Completeness	1.5	9	13.5	
○ Initiative & Creativity	1.5	9	13.5	
<b>QUALIFICATIONS</b>				
○ Experience of the firm on similar engagements/projects	2.5	9	22.5	
○ Qualifications & Experience of Personnel (PM and Staff)	2.0	9	18	
<b>WORK PLAN</b>				
○ Knowledge and understanding of the Project	2.5	9	22.5	
○ Availability	1.5	9	13.5	
○ Project Budgeting and Cost Control	2.0	8	16	
○ QA/QC	1.5	9	13.5	
<b>REFERENCES</b>				
○ Work quality provided to the reference(s)	2.5	9	22.5	
○ Customer service experience	2.5	9	22.5	
<b>GRAND TOTAL</b>	<b>20.0</b>		<b>178</b>	

Evaluator: [Signature] Signature: Mark Lyness

## PROPOSAL EVALUATION FORM

Consultant: GMU Date: 5/13/21

Project: City-wide Pavement Management Program

CRITERION	(a) Weight	(b) Score (0-10)	(a) x (b) Weighted Score	Remarks
<b>PROPOSAL PRESENTATION</b>				
○ Organization and Completeness	1.5	8	12	
○ Initiative & Creativity	1.5	9	13.5	
<b>QUALIFICATIONS</b>				
○ Experience of the firm on similar engagements/projects	2.5	7	17.5	
○ Qualifications & Experience of Personnel (PM and Staff)	2.0	8	16	
<b>WORK PLAN</b>				
○ Knowledge and understanding of the Project	2.5	8	20	
○ Availability	1.5	9	13.5	
○ Project Budgeting and Cost Control	2.0	7	14	
○ QA/QC	1.5	8	12	
<b>REFERENCES</b>				
○ Work quality provided to the reference(s)	2.5	7	17.5	
○ Customer service experience	2.5	9	22.5	
<b>GRAND TOTAL</b>	<b>20.0</b>		<b>158.5</b>	

Evaluator: And Hsieh Signature: MA

## PROPOSAL EVALUATION FORM

Consultant: Bucknam Infrastructure Group Date: 5/13/21

Project: City-wide Pavement Management Program

CRITERION	(a) Weight	(b) Score (0-10)	(a) x (b) Weighted Score	Remarks
<b>PROPOSAL PRESENTATION</b>				
○ Organization and Completeness	1.5	8	12	
○ Initiative & Creativity	1.5	7	10.5	
<b>QUALIFICATIONS</b>				
○ Experience of the firm on similar engagements/projects	2.5	8	20	
○ Qualifications & Experience of Personnel (PM and Staff)	2.0	8	16	
<b>WORK PLAN</b>				
○ Knowledge and understanding of the Project	2.5	8	20	
○ Availability	1.5	9	13.5	
○ Project Budgeting and Cost Control	2.0	9	18	
○ QA/QC	1.5	7	10.5	
<b>REFERENCES</b>				
○ Work quality provided to the reference(s)	2.5	8	20	
○ Customer service experience	2.5	9	22.5	
<b>GRAND TOTAL</b>	<b>20.0</b>		<b>163</b>	

Evaluator: Nick Hsieh Signature: NA

## PROPOSAL EVALUATION FORM

Consultant: IMS Date: 5/13/21

Project: City-wide Pavement Management Program

CRITERION	(a) Weight	(b) Score (0-10)	(a) x (b) Weighted Score	Remarks
<b>PROPOSAL PRESENTATION</b>				
○ Organization and Completeness	1.5	9	13.5	
○ Initiative & Creativity	1.5	9	13.5	
<b>QUALIFICATIONS</b>				
○ Experience of the firm on similar engagements/projects	2.5	9	22.5	
○ Qualifications & Experience of Personnel (PM and Staff)	2.0	9	18	
<b>WORK PLAN</b>				
○ Knowledge and understanding of the Project	2.5	9	22.5	
○ Availability	1.5	9	13.5	
○ Project Budgeting and Cost Control	2.0	7	14	
○ QA/QC	1.5	9	13.5	
<b>REFERENCES</b>				
○ Work quality provided to the reference(s)	2.5	8	20	
○ Customer service experience	2.5	9	22.5	
<b>GRAND TOTAL</b>	<b>20.0</b>		<b>173.5</b>	

Evaluator: Nick Hsieh Signature: MA

# PROPOSAL EVALUATION FORM

Consultant: IMS Date: 5/11/21

Project: City-wide Pavement Management Program

CRITERION	(a) Weight	(b) Score (0-10)	(a) x (b) Weighted Score	Remarks
<b>PROPOSAL PRESENTATION</b>				
○ Organization and Completeness	1.5	9	13.5	
○ Initiative & Creativity	1.5	9.5	14.25	
<b>QUALIFICATIONS</b>				
○ Experience of the firm on similar engagements/projects	2.5	9.5	23.75	
○ Qualifications & Experience of Personnel (PM and Staff)	2.0	9	18	
<b>WORK PLAN</b>				
○ Knowledge and understanding of the Project	2.5	9.5	23.75	
○ Availability	1.5	9	13.50	
○ Project Budgeting and Cost Control	2.0	9	18	
○ QA/QC	1.5	9	13.50	
<b>REFERENCES</b>				
○ Work quality provided to the reference(s)	2.5	9	22.5	
○ Customer service experience	2.5	9	22.5	
<b>GRAND TOTAL</b>	<b>20.0</b>		<b>183.25</b>	

Evaluator: Ana Neal Signature: [Signature]

## PROPOSAL EVALUATION FORM

Consultant: Bucknam Infrastructure Group Date: 5/17/21

Project: City-wide Pavement Management Program

CRITERION	(a) Weight	(b) Score (0-10)	(a) x (b) Weighted Score	Remarks
<b>PROPOSAL PRESENTATION</b>				
○ Organization and Completeness	1.5	9	13.5	
○ Initiative & Creativity	1.5	9	13.5	
<b>QUALIFICATIONS</b>				
○ Experience of the firm on similar engagements/projects	2.5	9.5	23.75	
○ Qualifications & Experience of Personnel (PM and Staff)	2.0	9	18	
<b>WORK PLAN</b>				
○ Knowledge and understanding of the Project	2.5	9	22.5	
○ Availability	1.5	9	13.50	
○ Project Budgeting and Cost Control	2.0	9	18	
○ QA/QC	1.5	9	13.50	
<b>REFERENCES</b>				
○ Work quality provided to the reference(s)	2.5	9	22.50	
○ Customer service experience	2.5	9	22.50	
<b>GRAND TOTAL</b>	<b>20.0</b>		<b>181.25</b>	

Evaluator: Ana Neal Signature: 



## PROPOSAL EVALUATION FORM

Consultant: GMU Date: 5/17/24

Project: City-wide Pavement Management Program

CRITERION	(a) Weight	(b) Score (0-10)	(a) x (b) Weighted Score	Remarks
<b>PROPOSAL PRESENTATION</b>				
○ Organization and Completeness	1.5	9	13.50	
○ Initiative & Creativity	1.5	8.5	12.75	
<b>QUALIFICATIONS</b>				
○ Experience of the firm on similar engagements/projects	2.5	8.5	21.25	
○ Qualifications & Experience of Personnel (PM and Staff)	2.0	9	18	
<b>WORK PLAN</b>				
○ Knowledge and understanding of the Project	2.5	9	22.50	
○ Availability	1.5	9	13.50	
○ Project Budgeting and Cost Control	2.0	9	18	
○ QA/QC	1.5	9	13.50	
<b>REFERENCES</b>				
○ Work quality provided to the reference(s)	2.5	9	22.50	
○ Customer service experience	2.5	9	22.50	
<b>GRAND TOTAL</b>	<b>20.0</b>		<b>179</b>	

Evaluator: ANA NEAL Signature: 